

(99-1718/2) 1999 Session

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
AB 268

Amendment No. if Applicable

FISCALESTIMATE
DOA-2048 N(R10/98)

ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

Subject
Prostitution

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb
Within Agency's Budget Yes No

Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory
4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
 Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill expands the present laws relating to prostitution and provides for a deferred prosecution program for certain offenders charged under the prostitution laws.

In 1998, there were an estimated 400 cases filed in the circuit courts for prostitution offenses. The great majority of these cases (90%) were filed in Milwaukee County. It is impossible to predict by what degree this will increase the caseload or the number of deferred prosecutions that will result. Any increase in caseload is expected to be absorbed by existing staff.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

Director of State Courts

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

6-6984
Sheryl Berner

Date

5/4/99

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

1999 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect DOA-2047 (R10/94)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL CORRECTED	<input type="checkbox"/> UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. AB 268	Amendment No.
--	---	--	---	---------------

Subject: Prostitution

I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:

A. State Costs by Category	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations – Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations – Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -

B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-

III. State Revenues –	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	
	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	STATE	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ + indeter.	\$ + indeter.
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	\$

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Director of State Courts	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. <i>Sheryl Dewar</i> 6-6584	Date 5/4/99
--	--	----------------