## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1808/1dn JTK:cjs&wlj:kjf

January 4, 2001

Currently, ch. 11., stats., generally requires disclosure of financial activity by individuals and committees seeking to influence the election or defeat of candidates for state or local office [see ss. 11.01 (6), (7), (11) and (16), 11.05 and 11.06, stats.], unless a disbursement is made or obligation incurred by an individual other than a candidate or by a committee which is not organized primarily for political purposes, the disbursement is not a contribution as defined in the law, and the disbursement is not made to expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate [see s. 11.06 (2), stats.]. This language pretty closely tracks the holding of the U.S. Supreme Court in *Buckley v. Valeo, et al.*, 96 S. Ct. 612, 656–664 (1976), which prescribes the boundaries of disclosure that may be constitutionally enforced (except as those requirements affect certain minor parties and independent candidates).

Proposed s. 11.01 (16) (a) 3., which requires registration and reporting by individuals who or committees that make certain mass communications within 60 days of an election containing a name or likeness of a candidate at that election, an office to be filled at that election or a political party, appears to extend beyond the boundaries which the court permitted in 1976. As a result, its enforceability at the current time appears to rest upon a shift by the court in its stance on this issue.

Jeffery T. Kuesel Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–6778