Correction   Cor	FISCAL ESTIMATE	FORM		20	01 Session	
CORRECTED   SUPPLEMENTAL   Admin. Rule #	LRB# 01-0230/2				<u> </u>	
Subject   Index Working Families, Married Couple, and School Property Tax Credits for Inflation		☐ UPDATED	INTRODUCTION	INTRODUCTION # AB 25		
Index Working Families, Married Couple, and School Property Tax Credits for Inflation	☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #			
No State   Flocal Effect   Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation   Increase Existing Revenues   Decrease Costs   Increase Revenues   Increase Costs   Increase Revenues   Increase Revenues   Increase Costs   Increase Revenues   Incre			······································			
Check columns below only if bit makes a direct appropriation or affects a sun wifficent appropriation   Increase Existing Appropriation   Increase Existing Appropriation   Decrease Costs   Decrease Revenues   Decrease Costs   Decrease Costs   Decrease Revenues   Decrease Revenues   Decrease Costs   Decrease Costs   Decrease Revenues   Decrease Rev		-amilies, Married Coup	ole, and School Prope	erty Tax Credits for Infl	ation	
Decrease Existing Appropriation	Check columns	below only if bill makes a dire	ct appropriation or affects a	-		
Create New Appropriation   Create New Appropriation   Create New Appropriation   Create New Appropriations   Countries   Cou		· · =	·	D Doggeste		
Increase Costs   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive				☐ Decrease Costs		
Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   Counties   Chies   Chies   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   School Districts   WTCS Distric	<del></del>		anna Davianiaa	F Types of Level Covernm	antal I Inite Affactad:	
2.	· <b>-</b>			1		
Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   School Districts   WTCS Districts					<del></del>	
Fund Sources Affected    GPR   FED   PRO   PRS   SEG   SEG-S	_	" <b>-</b>				
Assumptions Used In Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:  The bill indexes for inflation, beginning in tax year 2001, the working families tax credit and the school property tax credit. Indexing is to be based on the change in the consumer price index (CPI) to August of the previous year from August 1999. The bill also indexes the married couples tax credit beginning in tax year 2002 based on the change in the CPI to August of the previous year from August 2000.  Specifically, the bill indexes the eligible income thresholds and phase-out ranges for the working families tax credit to the nearest \$10. For the married couple tax credit, the bill indexes the maximum credit amounts and rounds these to the nearest \$10. Finally, the bill indexes the maximum amount of eligible property taxes or rent that can be claimed for the school property tax/rent credit and rounds these figures to the nearest \$10.  The table below shows the credit parameters for tax years 2001, 2002 and 2003 based on the inflation forecast published in November by Standard & Poor's DRI. The relevant cumulative indexing factors used for the working families and school property tax/rent credits for each year are 3.4%, 5.6%, and 7.3%, respectively; for the married couple credit, indexing factors used were 2.2% in 2002 and 3.9% in 2003. Tax year 2000 information (and 2001 information for the married couple credit) is provided as a baseline.  Based on simulations using the 1999 Wisconsin Individual Income Tax Model (adjusted to reflect current law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and \$16.8 million in tax year 2003. By credit, the fiscal effects for each year can be broken down as follows:    Continued on page two)   Long-Range Fiscal Implications:		Mandatory   L			VV I CS Districts	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:  The bill indexes for inflation, beginning in tax year 2001, the working families tax credit and the school property tax credit. Indexing is to be based on the change in the consumer price index (CPI) to August of the previous year from August 1999. The bill also indexes the married couples tax credit beginning in tax year 2002 based on the change in the CPI to August of the previous year from August 2000.  Specifically, the bill indexes the eligible income thresholds and phase-out ranges for the working families tax credit to the nearest \$10. For the married couple tax credit, the bill indexes the maximum credit amounts and rounds these to the nearest \$10. Finally, the bill indexes the maximum amount of eligible property taxes or rent that can be claimed for the school property tax/rent credit and rounds these figures to the nearest \$10.  The table below shows the credit parameters for tax years 2001, 2002 and 2003 based on the inflation forecast published in November by Standard & Poor's DRI. The relevant cumulative indexing factors used for the working families and school property tax/rent credits for each year are 3.4%, 5.6%, and 7.3%, respectively; for the married couple credit, indexing factors used were 2.2% in 2002 and 3.9% in 2003. Tax year 2000 information (and 2001 information for the married couple credit) is provided as a baseline.  Based on simulations using the 1999 Wisconsin Individual Income Tax Model (adjusted to reflect current law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and \$16.8 million in tax year 2003. By credit, the fiscal effects for each year can be broken down as follows:  Long-Range Fiscal Implications:  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.		00 E 800 E 650 E		Appropriations		
The bill indexes for inflation, beginning in tax year 2001, the working families tax credit and the school property tax credit. Indexing is to be based on the change in the consumer price index (CPI) to August of the previous year from August 1999. The bill also indexes the married couples tax credit beginning in tax year 2002 based on the change in the CPI to August of the previous year from August 2000.  Specifically, the bill indexes the eligible income thresholds and phase-out ranges for the working families tax credit to the nearest \$10. For the married couple tax credit, the bill indexes the maximum credit amounts and rounds these to the nearest \$10. Finally, the bill indexes the maximum amount of eligible property taxes or rent that can be claimed for the school property tax/rent credit and rounds these figures to the nearest \$10.  The table below shows the credit parameters for tax years 2001, 2002 and 2003 based on the inflation forecast published in November by Standard & Poor's DRI. The relevant cumulative indexing factors used for the working families and school property tax/rent credits for each year are 3.4%, 5.6%, and 7.3%, respectively; for the married couple credit, indexing factors used were 2.2% in 2002 and 3.9% in 2003. Tax year 2000 information (and 2001 information for the married couple credit) is provided as a baseline.  Based on simulations using the 1999 Wisconsin Individual Income Tax Model (adjusted to reflect current law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and \$16.8 million in tax year 2003. By credit, the fiscal effects for each year can be broken down as follows:    Authorized Signature/Telephone No.   Date   D	⊠ GPR ∐ FED ∐ PF	(O    PRS    SEG	SEG-S			
property tax credit. Indexing is to be based on the change in the consumer price index (CPI) to August of the previous year from August 1999. The bill also indexes the married couples tax credit beginning in tax year 2002 based on the change in the CPI to August of the previous year from August 2000.  Specifically, the bill indexes the eligible income thresholds and phase-out ranges for the working families tax credit to the nearest \$10. For the married couple tax credit, the bill indexes the maximum credit amounts and rounds these to the nearest \$10. Finally, the bill indexes the maximum amount of eligible property taxes or rent that can be claimed for the school property tax/rent credit and rounds these figures to the nearest \$10.  The table below shows the credit parameters for tax years 2001, 2002 and 2003 based on the inflation forecast published in November by Standard & Poor's DRI. The relevant cumulative indexing factors used for the working families and school property tax/rent credits for each year are 3.4%, 5.6%, and 7.3%, respectively; for the married couple credit, indexing factors used were 2.2% in 2002 and 3.9% in 2003. Tax year 2000 information (and 2001 information for the married couple credit) is provided as a baseline.  Based on simulations using the 1999 Wisconsin Individual Income Tax Model (adjusted to reflect current law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and \$16.8 million in tax year 2003. By credit, the fiscal effects for each year can be broken down as follows:    Continued on page two)   Long-Range Fiscal Implications:	Assumptions Used in Arri	ving at Fiscal Estimate:				
forecast published in November by Standard & Poor's DRI. The relevant cumulative indexing factors used for the working families and school property tax/rent credits for each year are 3.4%, 5.6%, and 7.3%, respectively; for the married couple credit, indexing factors used were 2.2% in 2002 and 3.9% in 2003. Tax year 2000 information (and 2001 information for the married couple credit) is provided as a baseline.  Based on simulations using the 1999 Wisconsin Individual Income Tax Model (adjusted to reflect current law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and \$16.8 million in tax year 2003. By credit, the fiscal effects for each year can be broken down as follows:  (continued on page two)  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	property tax credit. Indexing is to be based on the change in the consumer price index (CPI) to August of the previous year from August 1999. The bill also indexes the married couples tax credit beginning in tax year 2002 based on the change in the CPI to August of the previous year from August 2000.  Specifically, the bill indexes the eligible income thresholds and phase-out ranges for the working families tax credit to the nearest \$10. For the married couple tax credit, the bill indexes the maximum credit amounts and rounds these to the nearest \$10. Finally, the bill indexes the maximum amount of eligible property taxes or rent that can be claimed for the school property tax/rent credit and rounds these figures to the nearest \$10.					
law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and \$16.8 million in tax year 2003. By credit, the fiscal effects for each year can be broken down as follows:  (continued on page two)  Long-Range Fiscal Implications:  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	forecast published in November by Standard & Poor's DRI. The relevant cumulative indexing factors used for the working families and school property tax/rent credits for each year are 3.4%, 5.6%, and 7.3%, respectively; for the married couple credit, indexing factors used were 2.2% in 2002 and 3.9% in 2003. Tax					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.  Date	law and statutory indexing of tax brackets and the standard deduction for future years), the revenue loss associated with this bill is estimated to be \$4.7 million in tax year 2001, \$11.0 million in tax year 2002, and					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.  Date						
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.  Date						
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature/Telephone No.  Date			(continue	ed on page two)		
Veens Eng Prous	Long-Range Fiscal Implica	itions:				
Veens Eng Prous	Agency/Prepared by: (Nar	ne & Phone No.) Au	ıthorized Signature/Telepho	ne No.	Date	
			eang-Eng Braun	D L	1/3//0	

(608) 266-2700

Meredith Krejny, (608) 261-8984

## Revenue Loss By Credit, Tax Years 2001 - 2003

	Tax Year			
Credit	2001	2002	2003	
Working Families Credit	\$ 360,000	\$ 590,000	\$ 800,000	
Married Couple Credit	N/A	3,800,000	7,500,000	
School Property Tax/Rent Credit	4,400,000	6,650,000	8,500,000	

The effects of these tax changes will occur in FY 02, FY 03 and FY 04 respectively since withholding tables are typically not adjusted to account for changes in credits.

Credit Parameters: Tax Years 2000 through 2003

	Tax Year			
Credit	2000	2001	2002	2003
Working Families Tax Credit				
Eligible Income Thresholds				-
Single/Head of Household/Married Separate	\$9,000	\$9,310	\$9,500	\$9,660
Married Joint Filers	18,000	18,610	19,010	19,310
Phase-out Ceiling				
Single/Head of Household/Married Separate	10,000	10,340	10.560	10,730
Married Joint Filers	19,000	19,650	20,060	20,390
Married Couple Credit				
Maximum Credit	\$440	\$480	\$490	\$500
Implied Maximum Eligible Earnings	16,000	16,000	16,333	16,666
School Property Tax/Rent Credit				
Maximum Tax/Rent Amount Fligible				
Single/Head of Household/Married Joint	\$2,500	\$2,590	\$2,640	\$2,680
Married Separate Filers	1,250	1,290	1,320	1,340
Maximum Credit				
Single/Head of Household/Married Joint	300	311	317	322
Married Separate Filers	150	155	158	161

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	2001 Session		
☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED	LRB # 01-0230/2		Admin. Rule #
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION # AB	25	
Subject Index Working Families, Married Cou	ple, and School Property T	ax Credits for Inflatio	n
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/o	or Local Government (do not includ	le in annualized fiscal effec	it):
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact	on State funds from:
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	:	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
(FTE Position Changes)		( FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs			
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	SV-FA-		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
		\$	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS		·	-
SEG/SEG-S			-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposa revenues (e.g., tax increase, deci	al will increase or decrease state rease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ - See Text
GPR Earned			•
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			•
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ - See Text
NE	T ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT		LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	<u>STATE</u> \$	\$	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ See Text	\$	
THE STATE OF THE S		_	
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone	No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Yeang-Eng Braun	0	l da l
Meredith Krojny, (608) 261-8984	(608) 266-2700 Years	By Brann	1/31/01