•					
FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM				2001 Session	
		LRB#	1110/	1	
X ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	INTRO	DUCTIO	ON # AB87	
☐ CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. F			
Subject Elimination of Campus Parking Fines During Finals and One Week Thereafter					
Fiscal Effect	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
State: No State Fiscal Effect					
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.				☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes X No	
 ☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Create New Appropriation 				☐ Decrease Costs	
Local: No local government costs					
Increase Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory	3 ☐ Increase Re	Increase Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory		5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities	
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease R			☐ Counties ☐ Others	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissi	ive □ Mar		☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts h. 20 Appropriations	
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO □PRS	SEG D SEG-S		Allected O	n. 20 Appropriations	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate: LRB#1110/1 (AB 87) would prohibit the University of Wisconsin System and the technical college district boards from charging fines for campus parking violations which occur during the period beginning on the first day of final exams and ending seven days after the last final exam in each semester. It would permit parking throughout the campus at these times, without fear of parking citations. The only exception to this would be parking in designated handicapped areas, violations of which would still be ticketable.					
This proposed legislation would affect all University of Wisconsin system campuses, with the exception of the UW Colleges. However, it would most adversely affect the UW-Madison and UW-Milwaukee campuses because of their size, location and parking pressures. In addition, on most smaller campuses, there is the option of purchasing a one-day parking permit at an extremely low cost.					
Were this legislation to be enacted, it is estimated that the UW System institutions in total would forfeit approximately \$190,000 per year of potential parking citation revenues. According to ss 20.285 (1)(h), moneys collected from citations are to be used for the parking operations on the campuses on which they are collected.					
There are, in addition, a number of consequences for which fiscal effects cannot be calculated. While the number of parking violations and dollars levied can be quantified, there is no way to estimate the effect of the displacement of staff members with legal parking permits by those who park without the necessary permit. In addition, if this type of parking arrangement were to be legalized, the levels of infringement could be assumed to increase from present levels and further exacerbate the situation. Finally, it is not clear, with this legislation, whether parking fines will be continued in the event of parking in prohibited areas (fire lanes, crosswalks, in front of fire hydrants, etc.). If these situations do not result in violations and fines, the campuses will also face the potential for increased risk and liability. This fiscal note makes the following assumptions:					
This fiscal note makes the following as	sumpuons.				

1. Violation levels are considered to be constant and fines are estimated at present levels.

2. Parking violations considered are only those of unpermitted parking or parking at an expired meter. Although this legislation does not specifically exempt ticketing for parking in prohibited spaces (in front of hydrants, no parking zones), it is assumed that parking in these areas will continue to be ticketable offenses. These represent a small percentage of the violations during the periods in question.

3. Cashiered lots will still be in use during this period. Parking fees in these lots will continue to be collected.

4. Loss of revenue from parking meters will not be considered.

5. UW Colleges are not included in developing this fiscal estimate, as these are small, commuter campuses on which parking is readily available for students. This legislation is assumed to have no effect on these campuses.

6. No fiscal effect was developed for the cost of staff members displaced from their paid parking spaces by parkers without permits.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

AB 87 could cause an increase in the costs of auxiliary operations Campus parking is an auxiliary and, according to ss 20.285(1)(h) the moneys gained in this activity should be used for its operation, maintenance and capital expenditures. If the campuses did not obtain these dollars, there is the strong possibility that the cost of long term parking permits

might have to be increased.

Prepared By: / **Phone #** / **Agency Name**University of Wisconsin System Administration 263-5679

Authorized Signature / Telephone No.

Date /

2/14/01

State Operations - Other Costs - Local Assistance - Aids to Individuals or Organizations - TOTAL State Costs by Category \$ - B. State Costs by Source of Funds Increased Costs Decreased Cost GPR \$ - FED - PRO/PRS - SEG/SEG-S	ion
Subject Campus Parking Violations I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds fro Increased Costs A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes (FTE Position Changes) (FTE) State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance Aids to Individuals or Organizations TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S	Rule #
Campus Parking Violations I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Decreased Costs Increased Costs CFTE Position Changes) (FTE Position Changes) State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance Aids to Individuals or Organizations TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds froe Increased Costs Decreased Cost Decreased Cost S - Decreased Cost Decreased Cost S - PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S	
II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Decreased Costs: Increased Costs: (FTE Position Changes) State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance Aids to Individuals or Organizations TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from Increased Costs Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes (FTE Position Changes) (FTE) State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Increased Costs Decreased Costs	.):
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes (FTE Position Changes) (FTE) State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Increased Costs Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes \$ - (FTE Position Changes) (FTE Position Changes) (FTE) (- FE) (- F	
State Operations - Other Costs - Local Assistance - Aids to Individuals or Organizations - TOTAL State Costs by Category \$ - B. State Costs by Source of Funds Increased Costs Decreased Cost GPR	
Local Assistance - Aids to Individuals or Organizations - TOTAL State Costs by Category \$	FTE)
Aids to Individuals or Organizations TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S	
TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S \$	· .
B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Increased Costs Decreased Costs	
### State Costs by Source of Funds GPR	
FED - PRO/PRS - SEG/SEG-S -	sts
PRO/PRS - SEG/SEG-S -	·
SEG/SEG-S	
Daywood Po	
. State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state Increased Rev. Decreased Rev.	ev.
revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) GPR Taxes \$ -	
GPR Earned	
FED -	,
PRO/PRS -\$190,000	
SEG/SEG-S -	
TOTAL State Revenues \$ -	
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT STATE LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES (\$190,000) \$	
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name University of Wisconsin System Administration 263-5679 Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Date 2/14/01	