## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	01-1340/2		Introdu	uction Numbe	er A	B-109	
Subjec	et .							<del>'''</del>
Survey	s and questi	onnaires of pupi	ls					
Fiscal	Effect							
Local:	·	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	Increase absorb w Decrease	ithin ag Yes	May be ք ency's bu	
	Indeterminate  I. Increase Permiss  2. Decrease	e e Costs sive Mandato	y Permi 4. Decre	se Revenue ssive Mandat ase Revenue ssive Mandat	☐ Count	cted s ties	overnmer Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe PR FED		PRS	SEG SEG	Affected Ch. 2	20 Appı	ropriation	ıs
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized Sig	gnature			Date
DOJ/ JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932				JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932				4/10/01

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 4/10/01

LRB Number 01-134	0/2	Introduction Number	AB-109	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject								
Surveys and questionnaires of pupils								

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under AB 109, any person who knowingly violates the provisions of this bill shall forfeit not less than \$25 nor more than \$300 for each violation. Each survey or questionaire of each pupil constitutes a separate violation. Forfeitures under this section shall be enforced by action on behalf of the state by the attorney general or, upon verified complaint of any person, by the district attorney of any county where a violation occurs.

These provision appear similar to the provisions found under the state's open meetings law. Based on our department's experience with that law, we would estimate that the department would need an additional .5 FTE attorney positions to handle the complaints and questions from citizens.

Local effect: It is difficult to determine local costs. Given the multi-jursidictional nature of some school districts, most complaints will probably fall upon the attorney generals office. However, district attorneys would receive some of the complaints and the State Prosecutor's office would be better able to give a more accurate estimate.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

see above

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Upda	ted Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number <b>01-1340/2</b>	Introduction Number	Introduction Number AB-109				
Subject Surveys and questionnaires of pupils						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts annualized fiscal effect):	s for State and/or Local Government (	do not include in				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisca	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	es \$29,076					
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance	(B) (B)					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$29,076	<u> </u>				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Section and the section of the secti					
GPR	29,076					
FED		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S	·					
III. State Revenues - Complete this on (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license						
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET A	NNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$29,076	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOJ/ JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932	JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932	4/10/01				