							2001 Session				
	X	ORIGINAL		UPDATED		LRB or Bill No. AB 159	./Adm. Rule No.				
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/96)		CORRECTED		SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment N LRB 0301/1	o. if Applicable				
Subject											
Approval of Certain Transactions Involving Telecommunication Utilities and Voting Securities of Public Utility Holding Companies											
Fiscal Effect											
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.						☑ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☑ No					
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation☐ Create New Appropriation	☐ Decrease Costs										
Local: 🗵 No local government cos	- 1										
1. Increase Costs		3. ☐ Increase R					nental Units Affected:				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator	' I	☐ Permiss		☐ Mandatory	☐ Towns	☐ Villages	- 1				
2. Decrease Costs		4. Decrease F			☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts						
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator	УІ	☐ Permiss	ive	☐ Mandatory	h. 20 Approp		W I CS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO	□PR	s □seg □	SEG-S	20.155(1)(ilations					
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal			<u> JLG-U</u>	20.100(1)(.9/						
If this bill became law, it would require additional staff resources to investigate applications under Wis. Stat. § 196.80. Applications under this section would include, but not be limited to, mergers between large telecommunications providers, mergers between small telecommunications providers, and the sale of exchanges from one provider to another provider. It is estimated that compliance with this section of the law would require 2 FTE positions (Program and Planning Analyst 2's). This estimate is based on prior experience, experience of other states with similar laws, use of current staff, and the frequency of such applications. Since the level of activity for these types of transactions is uncertain due to the changing telecommunications industry and positioning of companies fro competition, it may be appropriate for the positions to be authorized on a project basis. This would allow for an evaluation as to the ongoing level of activity of mergers, consolidations, and similar other transactions involving telecommunications utilities. The bill also removes an exception contained in s. 196.795 (I)(h) which allowed certain public utility holding companies that hold telecommunications utilities to have a person acquire more than 10 percent of the outstanding voting securities in the holding company. Under the bill, the PSC would be required to determine if an acquitision of more than 10 percent would be in the best interests of utility consumers, investors and the public. This provision would not have a significant fiscal effect on the PSC.											
				*.							
Long-Range Fiscal Implications											
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone N	o.)	Auti	horized	Signature/Teleph	one No.	,	Date				
PSC/ Gordon Grant 267-9	086			Lue M	Bu	ه ا ر	03/02/01				

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET					2001 Session						
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect ☑ ORIGINAL DOA-2047 (R10/96) ☐ CORRECTED			☐ UPDATED☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or E		m. Rule No.	lo. Amendment No.				
Subjec	t										
	al of Certain Transactions Involving Te										
l. C	One-time Costs or Revenue Impa	acts for State and	i/or Local Governme	nt (do no	t include	in annuali	zed fiscal	effect):			
II.	\$12,800 Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:									
11, 7	Annuanzea Oosis.		reased Co		Decreased Costs						
A. \$	State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries	and Fringes		\$ 77	7,300	s	; -				
	(FTE Position Changes)			(2.0	FTE)	(-	FTE)			
	State Operations - Other Co	osts		8	3,400		-				
	Local Assistance				. <u>.</u>		•				
	Aids to Individuals or Organ	izations					-				
	TOTAL State Costs by	Category			5,700	\$					
В. 9	State Costs by Source of Fun	ds		Inc	reased Co	osts	Decreas	ed Costs			
	GPR			\$	1	\$; -				
	FED					201					
	PRO/PRS			85	5,700			·			
	SEG/SEG-S						-				
	State Revenues Complete this onl	Inc	reased R	lev.	Decreas	sed Rev.					
	revenues (e.g., ta GPR Taxes	x increase, decrease i	n license lee, etc.)	\$		\$					
•	GPR Earned						<u> </u>				
	FED						<u>.</u>				
	PRO/PRS						-	·			
	SEG/SEG-S										
	TOTAL State Revenue	S		\$		\$.				
		NET ANNU	JALIZED FISCAL IN State	IPACT		· <u>L</u>	<u>OCAL</u>				
NET C	HANGE IN COSTS	\$_	85,700		\$_		0				
NET C	HANGE IN REVENUES	\$_	· - 		\$_						
Agenc	y/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)		Authorized Signatur	$_{\cdot}$ $V\!m$	ne No.	•	Date				
PSC/	Gordon Grant 267-908	96	Lue) [[]		1.0.	03/02/0	11			