Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

### Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

⊠ Ori	ginal		Updated		Corrected		Supplem	nental
LRB Nur	mber <b>01-27</b>	'85/1	···	Intro	duction Nu	mber <b>A</b> l	B-248	
Subject Expressing	child support as	a fixed s	sum					
Indete	rate Fiscal Effect erminate crease Existing opropriations ecrease Existing opropriations reate New Appropriations cocal Government erminate Increase Costs Permissive Ma	Costs	Rever Decre	ase Existing nues se Revenue ssive Manc ase Revenue	absolution	ease Costs - orb within age Yes rease Costs s of Local Go Affected Cowns	ency's bud	lget ⊠No
	Permissive Maces Affected	RO [		SEG SE		Ch. 20 Appr	Districts  opriations	\$
Agency/Pro	epared By			Authorized 9	Signature		E	Date
				Kimberly Mar	Simberly Markham (608) 267-3200 4/1			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DWD 4/20/01

LRB Number <b>01-2785/1</b>	Introduction Number AB-248	Estimate Type	Original
Subject			
Expressing child support as a f	ixed sum		

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

State statutes currently allow Wisconsin courts to express child support orders as a fixed dollar amount, as a percentage of income (percentage-expressed) or as a mixed order (e.g., 17% or \$200 per month, whichever is greater.) This legislation requires that child or family support be expressed as a fixed sum.

This fiscal estimate indicates \$1,467,440 million in one-time GPR costs. Although the legislation only repeals the prospective use of percentage-expressed orders, costs will be incurred because local child support agencies will need to convert existing percentage-expressed orders to fixed dollar amounts. This legislation provides the statutory authority to make these conversions by amending current law to say "...the court is not required to make a finding of a substantial change in circumstances to change to a fixed sum the manner in which the amount of child or family support is expressed in the judgement or order."

The conversion of existing percentage-expressed orders to a fixed sum is necessary in order for the state to meet federal distribution and delinquency monitoring requirements. Wisconsin has approximately 66,400 percentage-expressed child support orders. The one-time costs were derived by estimating a cost of \$65.00 per case conversion times 66,400 percentage-expressed orders. The total cost equals \$4,316,000 for which there is a 66%federal match, resulting in a need for \$1,467,440 GPR.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The Department of Workforce Development has been advised by the federal Department of Health and Human Services that the continued use of percentage-expressed orders has the potential to cause severe financial penalties to the State, including the loss of both child support and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funding. Wisconsin currently receives approximately \$380 million per year in child support and TANF funding.

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	01-2785/	1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-248		
Subje	ct			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Expre	ssing child su	ipport as a fixe	d sum						
annua	ilized fiscal (	effect):	-			·	not include in		
		is oi \$1,467,44 ises. See narra			conversion of 6	0,400 exi	sting percentage-		
II. Anı	nualized Cos	its:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
					Increased Co	sts	Decreased Costs		
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category		·					
Sta	te Operations	- Salaries and	Fringes			\$			
(FT	E Position Ch	nanges)				I			
Sta	e Operations	- Other Costs					THE TAX SECTION ASSESSED.		
Loc	al Assistance	<b>)</b>							
$\rightarrow$		ls or Organizat							
T	OTAL State	Costs by Cate	egory			\$	\$		
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fur	nds		<u> </u>				
GPI	3						<u></u>		
FE									
	D/PRS				· . ·				
SEC	G/SEG-S								
III. Sta (e.g.,	ate Revenue: tax increase	s - Complete t , decrease in l	his only wh license fee,	en proposal ets.)	will increase	or decrea	ase state revenues		
					Increased R	ev	Decreased Rev		
GP	R Taxes					\$	\$		
GP	R Earned								
FE	)								
PR	O/PRS								
SE	G/SEG-S								
T	OTAL State					\$	\$		
			NET ANNUA	ALIZED FISC					
					Sta	ate	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	\$			
NET C	CHANGE IN I	REVENUE				\$	\$		
A 67.015	Agency/Prepared By Authorized Signature Date						Date		
	-	-	7205		arkham (608) 2	67 <u>-</u> 3200	4/19/01		
עטעטן	Connie Che	snik (608) 267-	7230	Trainbeny Ma	21 (000) Z	01-0200	4/13/01		