# Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

	Original		Updated	<b>3</b>	Corrected	Supr	olemental
LRB	Number	01-0254/1	***************************************	Introd	luction Number	AB-268	
Subjec	;t						
Reven	ue limit adju	stment for security	measures				
Fiscal	Effect						
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Increase Revenue Decrease Revenue	s Existing	Increase Costs within agency's  Yes Decrease Cost	s budget S	sible to absorb
	ndeterminat  1. Increas Permis  2. Decrea	e Costs sive Mandatory	4. Decrease	Mandatory	5.Types of Local of Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Government U  Village Others WTCS District	Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe		PRS S	SEG 🔲 SE	<b>Affected Ch. 20</b> GS 20.255 (2) (ac)	Appropriatio	ns
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized Si	gnature		Date
DPI/ TI	nomas Johns	son (608) 266-281	9	Brian Pahnke	(608) 266-2804		4/3/01

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 4/4/01

LRB Number 01-0254/1	Introduction Number	AB-268	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject	bject						
Revenue limit adjustment for sec	urity measures						

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill increases a school district's revenue limit in any school year by the amount spent by the school district in that school year for security measures designed to prevent criminal activity in schools, but not more than an amount equal to \$100 multiplied by the number of pupils enrolled. If this bill had been in effect in 2000-01, and each school district in the state had incurred costs for security measures, it is estimated that school districts' revenue limit statewide could have increased by a maximum of approximately \$87 million (870,000 pupils X \$100).

#### State Fiscal Effect:

The bill would increase the amount needed for the state to meet its commitment to fund two-thirds of partial school revenues by a maximum of \$58 million GPR (\$87 million X 2/3). Because the amount that school districts will spend on security measures is unknown, the exact increase in state aid necessary to meet the state's two-thirds funding commitment is indeterminate.

The bill would result in additional calculations for school district revenue limits and, thus, increased workload for staff on the school financial services team. It is assumed that these increases could be absorbed within the agency's operating budget.

### Local Fiscal Effect:

Local school districts could realize an increase in revenues as a result of this bill. Because the department does not collect data on the amount that local school districts annually spend on security measures designed to prevent criminal activity, the local fiscal effect of this bill is indeterminate. If all school districts in the state were to raise their revenue limit by \$100 per pupil for security purposes as provided under this bill, local school property taxes could have increased by a maximum of \$29 million in FY01 (\$87 million X 1/3), on a statewide basis.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications