Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supple	emental				
LRB Number 01-1387/1	Introduction Number AB-277					
Subject						
Regulation of historic buildings	·	1				
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Rever	ease Existing absorb within agency's bu					
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS s. 20.143 (3) (j)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
COMM/ Debra Bresser (608) 266-8603	Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629	04/12/2001				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 04/12/2001

LRB Number 01-1387/1	Introduction Number	AB-277	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Regulation of historic buildings				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The proposed bill would revise and create additional provisions regarding the regulation of historic buildings, the income and franchise tax credit that supplements the tederal historic rehabilitation tax credit, the historic buildings code, awarding grants to owners of historic agricultural buildings, requiring a liberal interpretation of local regulations applicable to historic buildings and structures, and making appropriations.

The Department of Commerce currently regulates the preservation and restoration of qualified historic buildings per authority granted in s. 101.121 and 101.13 (9). This authority is administered through Comm 70, the State's historic building code. AB 277 proposes regulatory mandates regarding historic buildings and would affect the workload of Commerce in three areas. One of the proposed statutory provisions would potentially decrease the revenue collected by Commerce by waiving the fees for its review of building plans and its construction inspections for historic buildings over 100 years old. Commerce estimates that it reviews approximately 10 historic projets per year of this type. Typically, these projects are small in size, ranging between 5,000 and 10,000 square feet. Based upon these assumptions, the department would expect to realize an annual loss of \$5,800 with the waiver of fees. Commerce believes it can absorb the loss without significantly affecting operations or staffing levels.

Under another provision, Commerce would be responsible for preparing and maintaining an informational pamphlet for owners of historic buildings about the historic building code. Commerce currently publishes many other types of informational pamphlets and brochures regarding the scope, nature and application of its buildings codes. It is anticipated that the development of a pamphlet for historic buildings can be absorbed into the current workloads without additional staff and with minimal costs.

Finally, the proposed legislation would, at the request of a historic building owner, involve Commerce in reviewing local ordinances or decisions that would affect a historic building project. Since, by current law, the application and use of the historic code supersedes local regulations, Commerce considers this new provision as an extension of its existing consultation services. Therefore, Commerce does not anticipate this additional duty as significantly affecting existing workloads.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

No long-range fiscal implications are anticipated at this time.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Dotailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	01-1387/	1	Intro	duction N	lumber	AB-277		
Subje	ct								
Regula	ation of histor	ic buildings							
			npacts for S	State and/or I	ocal Gove	nment (do	not include in		
annua	llized fiscal e	effect):							
							•		
II. Anr	nualized Cos	ts:					npact on funds from:		
			1.0		Increased (Costs	Decreased Costs		
_	te Costs by								
-		- Salaries and	Fringes			\$0	0		
_ ``	E Position Ch				(0.0	FTE)	(0.0 FTE)		
		- Other Costs				0	0		
	al Assistance					0	0		
		s or Organizat				0			
		Costs by Cate				\$0			
		Source of Fur	nds						
GPF						0	0		
FEC					0		0		
	D/PRS (0)					0	0		
	B/SEG-S (0)					0	0		
III. Sta (e.g., t	ite Revenues tax increase,	s - Complete t , decrease in l	his only wh icense fee,	en proposal ets.)			ase state revenues		
					Increased	Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPF	R Taxes					\$0	\$0		
GPF	R Earned					0	0		
FED)					0	0		
PRO	D/PRS (PRO)					0	-5,800		
SEC	S/SEG-S					0	0		
Т	OTAL State					\$0	\$-5,800		
		-	NET ANNU	ALIZED FISC			·		
						<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>		
	HANGE IN C					\$0	\$\$0		
NET C	HANGE IN F	REVENUE			\$-5	5,800	\$\$0		
-					0:		Deta		
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date		
COMN	// Debra Bres	ser (608) 266-	·8603	Louie Corne	lius (608) 26	6-8629	04/12/2001		