Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Sup	plemental						
LRB Number 01-0670/1	Introduction Number AB-29)1						
Subject Statute of limitations for sexual assault and postconviction relief based on DNA testing								
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Increase Costs Increase Costs Indeterminate I. Increase Costs Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Increase Costs Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Costs Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Costs Increase Costs Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Incre								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DOJ/ JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932	JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932	4/17/01						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 4/18/01

LRB Number 01-0670/1	Introduction Number AB-291	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject								
Statute of limitations for sexual assault and postconviction relief based on DNA testing								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill make two primaries changes to state law. It removes the statute of limitations for sexual assault cases where DNA evidence is present for a specified time period and it creates a post conviction DNA testing procedure. The department believe this bill could have a significant fiscal impact on the crime lab DNA testing sections if it is utilized by defendants on a regular basis. However, it is indeterminable how this new testing will be utilized by defendants. The new statute, 974.07 provides for postconviction testing and 974.0/(8) authorizes the trial court to order the crime lab to do the testing. The statute also creates new retention of evidence requirements that will impact either the lab or the submitting law enforcement agencies. Again, it is difficult to determine how many cases have the type of scenario that would merit such a procedure being ordered by the courts.

In order to prepare for the possible retention of material, especially material that has not been tested with the most updated DNA technology, the Crime Labs estimate that they will need at least 2 additional storage freezers, one at Milwaukee and one at Madison. Technical changes to the legislation may clear up the question of what material must be retained and when the materials may be discarded. If not clarified, it may be necessary to purchase even more storage units and maintain additional material that is not currently retained by the labs.

It may also be necessary for the larger law enforcement agencies to expand their evidence space if a broader interpretation is given to the definition. If narrowly interpreted, most agencies should be able to accommodate this change.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	01-0670/	1 .	Intro	duction Nu	mber .	AB-291	
Subject Statute of limitations for sexual assault and postconviction relief based on DNA testing								
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):								
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:					act on funds from:	
	<u>.</u>				Increased Cos	sts	Decreased Costs	
	te Costs by							
State	e Operations	- Salaries and	d Fringes	V* .		\$		
<u> </u>	Position Ch							
		- Other Costs			11,4	.00		
\vdash	al Assistance							
		s or Organizat		1 % 1			.	
T	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory		\$11,4	100	\$	
		Source of Fu	nds				· · · · <u>-</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
GPF				1 1 1	11,4	00		
FED		···						
)/PRS							
	S/SEG-S							
III. Sta (e.g., t	te Revenues ax increase	s - Complete t , decrease in	this only wher license fee, et	n proposal ts.)			e state revenues	
<u> </u>					Increased R		Decreased Rev	
$oldsymbol{\sqcup}$	R Taxes					\$	\$	
	R Earned		·					
FED						· _		
)/PRS							
\vdash	S/SEG-S		<u> </u>				Α	
T	OTAL State	Revenues				\$	\$	
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
						ate	Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$11,4		\$ \$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE \$ \$								
Agency/Prepared By Authorized Signature					Date			
_		ard (608) 267-	1932	JoAnna Richard (608) 267-1932 4/17/01			4/17/01	