Wisconsin Department of Administration
Division of Executive Budget and Finance
DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☒ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number **01-2175/1**	Introduction Number **AB-297**

Subject

Baiting of deer

Fiscal Effect

State:

☐ No State Fiscal Effect
☐ Indeterminate
- ☐ Increase Existing Appropriations
- ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriations
- ☐ Create New Appropriations
- ☐ Increase Existing Revenues
- ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues
- ☒ Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget ☒ Yes ☐ No
- ☐ Decrease Costs

Local:

☐ No Local Government Costs
☐ Indeterminate
1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
3. ☐ Increase Revenue ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
4. ☐ Decrease Revenue ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory

5. Types of Local Government Units Affected
☐ Towns ☐ Village ☐ Cities ☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected **Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.370(3)(mu) and (8)(mu)

Agency/Prepared By	**Authorized Signature**	**Date**
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	4/17/01

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 4/17/01

LRB Number **01-2175/1**	Introduction Number **AB-297**	Estimate Type **Original**
Subject		
Baiting of deer		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: This bill restricts baiting and feeding of deer for hunting to: (a) plant matter that is left or deposited as a result of normal agricultural or wildlife management practices or (b) liquid bait weighing not more than 2 ounces. It also gives the Department the authority to write rules to regulate the recreational and supplemental feeding of deer for purposes other than hunting.

Fiscal Estimate:

Ongoing Costs: There will be no fiscal impact on the Wildlife Management program.

The Bureau of Law Enforcement anticipates that there will be a need to address increased numbers of complaints due to the baiting of deer. However, it is assumed that the costs incurred from this enforcement will be offset by a redirection of efforts from complaints of baiting violations related to bait over 10 gallons in size.

One-Time Costs: Deer baiting is a very controversial issue, and it is anticipated that the rule development required by the bill for regulating the supplemental feeding of deer for purposes other than hunting will require a substantial amount of staff time on a one-time basis. It is estimated that approximately 1,000 hours of Wildlife Management staff time and 200 hours of legal staff time will be required to devote to the rule development effort. This cost represents an estimated $34,950 in staff costs (1,000 hours X $18.00 X 37.6% fringe = $24,768 in Wildlife staff time, plus 200 hours X $37.00 X 37.6% fringe = $10,182 in legal staff time). These costs are in-house staff time, and will be absorbed within the Department's appropriations.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Wisconsin Department of Administration
Division of Executive Budget and Finance
DOA-2047 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☒ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number **01-2175/1**	Introduction Number **AB-297**

Subject

Baiting of deer

I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

Promulgating rules to regulate the recreational and supplemental feeding of deer for purposes other than hunting is anticipated to be controversial, and will cost an estimated $34,950 in staff time ($24,768 in Wildlife staff and $10,182 in legal staff time.)

II. Annualized Costs:	**Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:**	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	$	
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
TOTAL State Costs by Category	**$**	**$**
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		

III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)

	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	$	$
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
TOTAL State Revenues	**$**	**$**

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	State	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	$	$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	$	$

Agency/Prepared By	**Authorized Signature**	**Date**
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	4/17/01