DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2921/1dn MGG:kmg:rs

April 3, 2001

Attention: Dan Johnson

In response to your question in your drafting instructions concerning boundary disputes, you are correct in stating that this is a concern. I have rewritten this so that the legislation is not directing the U.S. army corps of engineers to do anything, but I think the rewrite achieves your intent.

For the time frame for the inspection authority, I arbitrarily chose 20 days. We try to avoid the use of vague time frames such as "reasonable amount of time" in the statutes. Also, if this phrase is used, DNR will have the authority to determine what that means, and I am not sure that that is what is intended. Please let me know if you want any changes.

In the temporary process provisions, under subsection (1) (b) 1., I made some changes. I changed the verb tense in the first clause and deleted the reference to "activity" since the "discharge" is what is exempt. Let me know if you have any questions concerning this.

Mary Gibson–Glass Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 267–3215