## 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

## Bill

Wanted: Soon  For: Phil Montgomery (608) 266-5840  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Received By: kahlepj  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Rose Smyrski  Drafter: kahlepj											
									May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:		
									Subject:	Insurar	ice - other inst	Extra Copies:				
									Submit	via email: <b>NO</b>						
Request	er's email:															
Pre To	pic:					3										
No spec	ific pre topic gi	ven														
Topic:																
Require loss	property to be	occupied prima	rily as dwel	ling for insur	ance policy limits to	o be taken as	amount of									
Instruc	tions:	·														
See Atta	ached															
Draftin	g History:				·	<del> </del>	,									
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required									
/?	kahlepj 04/30/2001	jdyer 05/01/2001														
/1			martykr 05/01/200	01	lrb_docadmin 05/01/2001	lrb_docadn 05/01/2001										

05/01/2001 11:20:50 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

## 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 04/30/2001	Received By: kahlepj			
Wanted: Soon	Identical to LRB:			
For: <b>Phil Montgomery</b> (608) 266-5840	By/Representing: Rose Smyrski  Drafter: kahlepj			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO				
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Insurance - other insurance	Extra Copies:			
Submit via email: NO				
Requester's email:				
Pre Topic:				
No specific pre topic given				
Topic:				
Require property to be occupied primarily as dwell loss	ling for insurance policy limits to be taken as amount of			
Instructions:				
See Attached				
Drafting History:				
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed /? kahlepj	Proofed Submitted Jacketed Required			

Pose - montgomenys Office 4-30
draft companion to Breskess LRB-0995
LRB-0995

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0995/P2dn PJK:jld:km

April 9, 2001

We normally do not define terms in the statutes if the definition is the common or dictionary meaning of the term, which the definition of "primarily" is in this case. If you are concerned that "primarily" will be taken to mean something other than "mainly" or "chiefly," then we should use "mainly" or "chiefly" in place of "primarily" in s. 632.05 (2).

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.state.wi.us

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly do enact as follows:

- Section 1: 632.05(2) of the statutes as amended to read:
- 2 (2) TOTAL LOSS. Whenever any policy insures real property which is owned and occupied
- 3 by the insured <u>primarily</u> as a dwelling and the property is wholly destroyed, without criminal
- 4 fault on the part of the insured or the insured's assigns, the amount of the loss shall be taken
- 5 conclusively to be the policy limits of the policy insuring the property. <u>In this subsection</u>,
- 6 "primarily" means that the property's chief or main use is as a dwelling.

MN123156\_1.DOC



*5m*: *Se*nt: To: Subject: Vance, Vaughn Thursday, April 05, 2001 11:49 AM Kahler, Pam FW: valued policy law change



Pam:

Thanks for the help on the previous draft. I am forwarding you a revised draft of the Seider fix. Please let me know if you have questions. Also, please feel free to call Noreen (below).

Thanks again.

#### VAUGHN

----Original Message-----

From: Eric Englund [mailto:eenglund@tds.net] Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2001 10:25 AM

To: Vance Vaughn (E-mail)

Cc: Ron Kuehn (E-mail); Eileen Mallow (E-mail); Noreen Parrett (E-mail);

Smyrski Rose (E-mail)

Subject: valued policy law change

#### Vaughn

Attached is the language change for the valued policy law that responds to Seider and works for us and the agent groups. Could you send it over to have it drafted. I know you had an earlier draft...but we need it this way. If the drafter has technical questions/concerns they can contact Attorney Noreen Parrett at 284 2615...assuming the drafter is Pam she has worked with Noreen in the past.

We have sent the draft to OCI for review/comment. We are not inclined to request introduction until OCI has signed off on the draft.

## WISBAR

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT CASELAW



2000 WI 76

#### SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.: 98-1223

Complete Title

of Case:

Richard Seider and Jean Seider,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

Connie O'Connell, Commissioner of Insurance,

Defendant-Respondent-Petitioner.

ON REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

Reported at: 222 Wis.2d 80, 585 N.W.2d 885

(Ct. App. 1998-Published)

Opinion Filed: June 30, 2000

Submitted on Briefs:

Oral Argument: September 8, 1999

Source of APPEAL

COURT: Circuit

COUNTY: Dane

JUDGE: P. Charles Jones

JUSTICES:

Concurred:



### State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

3204/1 LRB-0095/P2 PJK/Idikm

PREMIMARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

Regen

AN ACT to amend 632.05 (2) of the statutes; relating to: limiting to property that

is primarily residential the type of property for which the amount of loss is the

insurance policy limits.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law provides that, if real property that is owned and occupied as a dwelling is wholly destroyed, the amount of the loss, for insurance purposes, is the limits of any policy covering the property. A Wisconsin administrative rule provides that, if property owned and occupied as a dwelling is also used for commercial purposes, except on an incidental basis, the statute regarding the amount of loss in case of destruction does not apply to the property. The Wisconsin supreme court, in Seider v. O'Connell, we Wis. 2d w, N.W. 2d w (2000), determined that the administrative rule is invalid because it exceeds the statutory authority of the office of the commissioner of insurance, which promulgated the rule. Thus, if a property that is used for both commercial and residential purposes, such as a business over which the business owner lives, is wholly destroyed, the amount of the loss is the policy limits of any insurance policy covering the property. This bill provides that the statute requiring the policy limits to be the loss amount for wholly destroyed property applies only to property that is owned and occupied primarily as a dwelling.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

ME

1

2

3

SECTION 1.	632.05 (2	) of the statutes is	amended to read:
DISCITOR AS	002.00 (2	, or our prancipes is	amenueu w reau.

632.05 (2) Whenever any policy insures real property which that is owned and occupied by the insured primarily as a dwelling and the property is wholly destroyed, without criminal fault on the part of the insured or the insured's assigns, the amount of the loss shall be taken conclusively to be the policy limits of the policy insuring the property.

(END)