## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number <b>01-2979/3</b>	Introduction Number A	B-444		
Subject  Court interpreter fees				
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations  Decrease Existing  Appropriations  Create New Appropriations	crease Existing evenues ecrease Existing evenues  Increase Costs - I absorb within age Tyes Decrease Costs			
Permissive Mandatory Pe  2. Decrease Costs 4. De  Permissive Mandatory Pe	ecrease Revenue	vernment Village Cities Others WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations				
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS	SEG SEGS ss. 20.625(1)(c), 20.68	0(2)(a)		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984	Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984	6/4/01		

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 6/11/01

LRB Number <b>01-2979/3</b>	Introduction Number	AB-444	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Court interpreter fees				:

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, the state reimbursement rate for fees paid to interpreters in the circuit courts is set at \$35 per half day. This bill increases that rate to \$35 per hour. It also creates a 2 year project position in the director of state courts office to assist in developing a program for the training and testing of qualified court interpreters.

Currently, counties initially pay for the costs of interpreters used in the circuit courts and the clerk of court submits the bills for reimbursement by the state at a rate not to exceed \$35 per half day. Presently counties are averaging a \$40 per hour payment rate to court interpreters. In FY 2000 the director of state courts office had a base budget of \$188,800 to reimburse counties for these costs which are expected to be \$292,500. Under this bill the state reimbursement rate would be \$35 per hour and based on FY 2000 numbers it is expected that the counties would need to be reimbursed an additional \$210,100 in FY 2002 and \$395,200 in FY 2003 over the present base budget. The increase in the second year is due to an increase in judicial awareness of when interpreters should be appointed and who is an appropriate person to serve as an interpreter. This bill would provide and additional \$311,200 annually in those two years for the director's office to reimburse counties for interpreter costs.

The project position will be for a 2 year period and the bill provides for an appropriation of \$100,000 annually to assist the director of state courts office in developing a certification and training program for circuit court interpreters. It is expected that the position would cost \$198,600 in the two year period.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original	Updated	Corrected	Supplemental
LRB Number <b>01-297</b> 9	)/3	Introduction Numb	per <b>AB-444</b>
Subject Court interpreter fees			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue annualized fiscal effect): State - 1 Project Position at \$19			nt (do not include in
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fis	scal Impact on funds from:
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries a	nd Fringes	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Cos	ts		
Local Assistance		311,200	
Aids to Individuals or Organiz	ations		
TOTAL State Costs by Ca	itegory	\$311,200	\$
B. State Costs by Source of F	unds	- <del></del>	
GPR		311,200	
FED			
PRO/PRS			<u>-</u>
SEG/SEG-S			<del>-                                    </del>
III. State Revenues - Complete (e.g., tax increase, decrease in	this only when license fee, ets	proposal will increase or c	lecrease state revenues
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$
	NET ANNUALI	ZED FISCAL IMPACT	
	_	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$311,200	\$-311,200
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
Agency/Prepared By	ΙΔ.	Ithorized Signature	Date
CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-		neryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984	