Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Supp	olemental			
LRB Number 01-3151/1	Introduction Number AB-47	6			
Subject Property tax credit based on county timber sales					
Appropriations Rever Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Pecrease Existing Rever Appropriations Create New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permi 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre	ease Existing absorb within agency's	budget No nent ge Cities rs sS icts			
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	10/1/01			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 10/1/01

LRB Number 01-3151/1	Introduction Number	AB-476	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject						
Property tax credit based on county timber sales						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: This bill would take the net proceeds a county receives from selling timber off of county forest land and distribute it equally amongst all parcel holders of taxable property in a county. The sum would show as a credit on the property tax bill for each parcel. This would apply only to timber proceeds from land enrolled in the County Forest program covered in Chapter 28 of the WI. Stats.

Fiscal Estimate: Increases in workload would be expected by County staff in calculating the credit to each tax parcel holder. Initial impacts would be a decrease in funds to the twenty nine counties involved in the county forest program. It is anticipated that the decrease in revenue would be counteracted through an additional levy imposed by the Counties. Counties with smaller county forests would experience a considerable workload with a very small impact in taxes to the average tax parcel holder.

It is assumed that the 20% counties are required to pay the state for balances due in their respective forestry fund accounts (s.s. 28.11(9) WI. Stats.) is part of the operating expenditures of the county forest and NOT part of the "net" proceeds. Similarly, it is assumed that the 10% stumpage proceeds due the towns under s.s. 28.11 (9)(2)d WI. Stats. is also part of the operating expenditures and NOT part of the "net" proceeds.

In analyzing the expenditures on county forests it is assumed the forestry budgets sampled in Juneau, Barron and Lincoln counties are representative of the county forest system. Expenditures do not include costs associated with Parks operation, although that is commonly part of a county forest administrator's responsibility.

See Page 2 for assumptions and examples used in arriving at conclusions.

Two examples were used to illustrate the fiscal impact. Barron county (4th smallest) representing the smaller County Forests and Lincoln county (11th largest) the larger ones.

Barron County Example Annual Income from Timber (5 yr. average FY 1997-2001) - \$167,011 Expenditures (FY 2001) - \$88,238 *20% Payment to Forestry Fund (5 yr. ave. FY 1997-2001) - \$ 18,103 *No payments were due in 97 & 98 since there was no balance due in Account **10% Payment to Towns (5 yr. ave. FY 1997-2001) - \$ 6,701 **Barron County voluntarily pays 30% to towns but only 10% as required by law was used NET proceeds from Timber Sales to be distributed to taxable parcel holders - \$43,969 # of Taxable Parcel Holders in Barron County (from Tax Lister) - 38,000 CREDIT FROM TIMBER SALES WHICH WOULD BE ATTRIBUTED TO EACH TAXABLE PARCEL HOLDER * \$1.16 *

Lincoln County Example
Annual Income from Timber (5 yr. average FY 1997-2001) - \$ 775,040
Expenditures (FY 2001) - \$ 490,000

*20% Payment to Forestry Fund (5 yr. ave. FY 1997-2001) - \$ 15,024 *No payments were due in 97-00 since no balance due in Account 10% Payment to Towns (5 yr. ave. FY 1997-2001) - \$ 77,504 NET proceeds from Timber Sales to be distributed to taxable parcel holders - \$ 192,512 # of Taxable Parcel Holders in Lincoln County (from Tax Lister) - 35,000 CREDIT FROM TIMBER SALES WHICH WOULD BE ATTRIBUTED TO EACH TAXABLE PARCEL HOLDER * \$ 5.50 *

Statewide Totals (All 29 County Forests)
Annual Income from Timber (5 yr. average FY 1997-2001) - \$ 14,593,510
*Estimated expenditures (using acreage/expenditure ratio from) - \$ 11,394,000
(Lincoln, Barron and Juneau Counties)
20% Payments to Forestry Fund (5 yr. ave. FY 1997-2001) - \$ 1,267,397 (Payments only made if balance due)
10% Payments to Towns (5 yr. ave. FY 1997-2001) - \$ 1,459,351
ESTIMATED NET PROCEEDS from Timber Sales to be distributed to taxable parcel holders - \$ 472,762
ESTIMATED # OF TAX. PARCELS IN 29 COUNTIES IN COUNTY FOREST SYSTEM - 500,000 TO 1,000,000

CREDIT FROM TIMBER SALES WHICH WOULD BE ATTRIBUTED TO EACH TAXABLE PARCEL HOLDER ** LESS THAN \$1 **

Labor expense in generating the "credit" would involve County Clerk's Office, County Treasurer's Office and each individual Town Clerk. Assumption that it will take the collective labor efforts of the above 15 seconds to process each transaction in the 29 counties. Average number of parcels per county assumed to be 30,000. $30,000 \times 29 = 870,000$ transactions at 4/minute = 3625 hours $250,000 \times 29 = 870,000$ (\$20/hr plus 40% fringe) = \$101,500

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

There would be on-going workload for county staff in calculating the credit for each parcel holder. Accelerating land parcelization suggests this process would require more labor in the future. Losses in county revenues would need to be replaced in order to provide current services in the future.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updat	ed Corrected	Supplemental
LRB Number 01-3151/1	Introduction Numb	er AB-476
Subject		
Property tax credit based on county timbe	er sales	
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts annualized fiscal effect):	for State and/or Local Governmen	t (do not include in
Impact to Local Goverment only - Costs a Estimated \$5000	associated with software reprogramm	ing, ordinance changes -
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	cal Impact on funds from:
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	s \$	
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
III. State Revenues - Complete this onl (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license		ecrease state revenues
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earnod		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$
NET A	NNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT	······································
	<u>State</u>	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$101,500
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$-472,762
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	10/1/01