



## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 10/24/01

LRB Number 01-3657/1	Introduction Number AB-547	Estimate Type Original
<b>Subject</b> Environmental standards applied to mining, notice of those standards		

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

**Bill Summary:**The bill would alter a number of statutory provisions dealing with metallic mining. Specifically, the proposal would change the manner in which groundwater quality is regulated at mining sites, prohibit the Department from granting exemptions, variances or modifications to the waste management rules, require the application of hazardous waste regulations to mining waste if the mining waste displays hazardous properties and would require application of the most stringent standards to mining projects in the case of overlapping regulatory authority. Each of these changes would necessitate the review and revision of various administrative codes including Chapters NR 132, 140 and 182 and various chapters of the NR 600 series.

**Fiscal Estimate:** The bill would not result in any direct significant fiscal impacts on state or local governments. The primary fiscal impact of the bill will be in terms of short-term allocation of staff time and resources for rule-writing activities. Revision of the mining rules and other codes affected by this legislation would be a very controversial and time-consuming process. It is estimated that 1.5 FTE would be required over a period of two years in order to complete the revision. The fiscal impact would be approximately \$105,000 per year for a two year period.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

There are no long range fiscal impacts associated with the legislation.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 01-3657/1		<b>Introduction Number</b> AB-547	
<b>Subject</b>			
Environmental standards applied to mining, notice of those standards			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>			
\$105,000 per year for a two year period.			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	
	(FTE Position Changes)		
	State Operations - Other Costs		
	Local Assistance		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
	<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
	GPR		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$
	GPR Earned		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
	<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$
	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	10/24/01