Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☑ Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB Number	01-3774/5		Intro	duction Numbe	r A	B-621	
Subject Access to public recaller ID blocking; p	cords; use of SS privacy impact sta	numbers; prison tements; place (er activitie of certain t	s; prescription and crials; DEG officers	credit/de	ebit card information;	
Fiscal Effect		<u> </u>					
Local:	e Existing tions Existing	Revenue	s Existing	absorb wi	ithin ag Yes	May be possible to ency's budget	
2. 🔀 Decrea	e Costs sive Mandator se Costs sive Mandator	4. Decrease	/e Mano Revenue	⊂ ⊠ Count	cted s [2 ies [Village Cities Others WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected GPR PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared	Ву	Au	thorized \$	Signature		Date	
CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984			Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984			12/4/01	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 12/5/01

LRB Number 01-3774/5	Introduction Number AB-621	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject						
Access to public records; use of SS numbers; prisoner activities; prescription and credit/debit card information; caller ID blocking; privacy impact statements; place of certain trials; DEG officers						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill revises the privacy laws to include, among other things, permitting juvenile and municipal courts to share juvenile records with law enforcement, other courts, intake workers, district attorneys and other justice system representatives. The person receiving the information must keep it confidential.

Present law requires judges to review the requests for release of this information on a case by case basis when juvenile records are involved. In 2000, there were an estimated 25,300 juvenile cases filed in the circuit courts involving CHIPS and delinquency actions. With the implementation of the Consolidated Court Automation Program(CCAP) electronic sharing of this information is possible in 69 of 72 counties for justice system partners. This bill would remove the necessity of individual orders or hearings by the circuit court for each request and would save the time of all parties involved in the request. It would result in an indeterminate savings to both the state and the county.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Corrected	Supplemental					
LRB Number 01-3774/5	Introduction Numb	oer AB-621					
Subject							
Access to public records; use of SS numbers; prisoner activities; prescription and credit/debit card information; caller ID blocking; privacy impact statements; place of certain trials; DEG officers							
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in							
annualized fiscal effect):							
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$						
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs							
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
GPR FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
III. State Revenues - Complete this only who (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, o	ets.)	lecrease state revenues					
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev					
GPR Taxes	\$	\$					
GPR Earned							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues							
	\$	\$					
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	State \$-indeterminate	Local \$-indeterminate					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$						
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE \$ \$							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984	Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-698	4 12/4/01					