Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Sup	plemental							
LRB Number <b>01-3378/1</b>	Introduction Number AB-66	0							
Subject									
Definition and registration period for antique snowmobiles									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Reverse Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Appropriations Reverse Create New Appropriations  Local:  No Local Government Costs Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permi	absorb within agency's nues  Yes Decrease Costs  5.Types of Local Governm Units Affected Towns Village Counties Othe	budget No nent ge Cities rs S							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
E GIR E FED E FRO PRO M	SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date							
ONR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794									

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 12/10/01

LRB Number	01-3378/1	Introduction Number	AB-660	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject							
Definition and registration period for antique snowmobiles							

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Bill Summary: This bill changes existing legislation that pertains to the registration of antique snowmobiles. Currently the law provides for any snowmobile of pre-1967 vintage to be exempt from annual registration after an initial registration as an antique. The new law would change that to allow any snowmobile 30 years of age or older to be exempt after its initial registration as an antique.

Fiscal Estimate: The change would have a negative impact on the amount of revenue coming into the conservation fund from registration revenues and a negative impact on the revenue generated from the formula transfer of gasoline excise tax. Registration revenues are used by the Department in a number of different portions of the snowmobile program, while gas tax funds are solely for grants to counties for trail work.

Based on figures obtained in 2001, there are approximately 5,135 snowmobiles that are currently registered that would be exempted from the two year public use registration requirements under this bill. This would translate into a loss of \$154,050 of registration revenue (5,135 x \$30 registration fee) over the 2001 – 2003 biennium, \$77,025 annually. In succeeding years as the 30 year "window " moves forward, the annual loss of snowmobiles from public registration would be lower. However, the cumulative impact would continue to rise. The current estimates are a loss of 3,000 1973 model snowmobiles in 2003 and 2,500 1974 model snowmobiles in 2004. There is no reliable estimate of the percentage loss of snowmobiles withdrawn from use versus the number that may be registered as antiques. For the purpose of this analysis it is assumed that the total number of snowmobiles that qualify will be registered as antiques.

Assuming that the provision goes into effect prior to the end of February 2002, the loss of fiscal 02 revenue directly to the trail grant program to counties arising from the gas tax formula transfer would be \$85,190 (5,135 x 50 gal x \$0.273 x 1.4). Using the estimates of loss of 3,000 and 2,500 snowmobiles for 2003 and 2004, respectively, the losses would grow to \$134,960 and \$182,735.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

Long Range Fiscal Implications: In the future, it is not known how many snowmobiles that would qualify as antiques would be withdrawn from use (i.e. jurked) versus those that may be registered as antiques. As a conservative estimate approximately \$100,000 per year would be lost in registration funds to the general snowmobile program and \$200,000 from the gas tax formula transfer for trail grant uses.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original [	Updated	Corrected	Suppl	emental		
LRB	Number <b>01-33</b>	78/1	Introduction Numb	oer <b>AB-66</b>	0		
Subje	ect	···					
Defini	tion and registration per	riod for antique sno	wmobiles				
I. One annua	e-time Costs or Revent alized fiscal effect):	ue Impacts for Sta	te and/or Local Governmer	nt (do not includ	le in		
II. An	nualized Costs:		Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
			Increased Costs	Decre	eased Costs		
	ite Costs by Category				. <u></u>		
	te Operations - Salaries	and Fringes	\$				
_	E Position Changes)						
	te Operations - Other C	osts					
H	al Assistance				•		
$\vdash$	s to Individuals or Orgar						
	OTAL State Costs by		\$		\$		
_	te Costs by Source of	Funds					
GP							
FEI	······································						
<u> </u>	O/PRS						
	G/SEG-S						
III. Sta (e.g.,	ate Revenues - Completax increase, decrease	ete this only when e in license fee, et	<u> </u>				
Lon			Increased Rev	Dec	reased Rev		
<del></del>	R Taxes		\$		\$		
$\vdash$	R Earned						
FEI							
_	D/PRS				-162,215		
	G/SEG-S						
	OTAL State Revenues		\$		\$-162,215		
		NET ANNUAL	IZED FISCAL IMPACT	***			
NET OLIANOE IN OCOTO		<u>State</u>	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$ 100.045	\$				
INE!	MANGE IN REVENUE		\$-162,215		\$		
Agency/Prepared By Auth			uthorized Signature		Date		
				12/7/01			
	· ,						