Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	01-3997/1		Intro	duction Nun	nber <b>A</b>	B-702	
<b>Subje</b> d Paying		operty taxes in ir	nstallments					
Fiscal	Effect							
Local:	No Local Goo Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease Permiss	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriation vernment Costs e e Costs sive Mandator se Costs	Revenue	s Existing s Revenue re Mano	5.Types Units A Satory	ounties [chool [stricts	overnmer Village Others WTCS Districts	dget No No t Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe		PRS SE	G 🔲 SE	Affected C	ch. 20 Appı	ropriatior	ıs
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву	Au	thorized S	Signature			Date
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700						1/11/02		

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 1/11/02

LRB Number	01-3997/1	Introduction Number	AB-702	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Paying person	al property taxes in in	stallments			

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, property taxes levied on personal property are payable in full on or before January 31 of each year. Taxes on real property are payable either in full on or before January 31 or in two equal instalments. The first instalment is due on or before January 31, and the second instalment is due on or before July 31. Current law allows a municipality, by ordinance, to collect real property taxes in three or more instalments. Under current law, property taxes on real property that are less than \$100 must be paid in full on or before January 31.

Under the bill, payment of personal property taxes would be treated the same as taxes on real property. Personal property taxes would be payable either in full on or before January 31 or in two equal instalments. The bill allows a municipality, by ordinance, the option of collecting personal property taxes in three or more instalments. Personal property taxes less than \$100 would be payable in full on or before January 31.

Under the bill, the municipality would, as part of the February tax settlement, continue to pay in full for all personal property taxes levied. While the bill does not specifically direct counties to settle for collections of the second instalment of personal property taxes with the municipality, it can be assumed that the counties would return all personal property taxes collected to the municipalities as part of the August tax settlement. Thus, municipalities would advance to the other taxing jurisdictions the postponed personal property tax payments from February 20 through August 20.

The bill would result in increased local government costs associated with foregone interest earnings and programming and processing changes.

Interest Costs. In 2000/01, \$227.8 million were levied on personal property statewide on approximately 240,000 personal property accounts. Assuming 75% of personal property taxes would be paid in two instalments, the payment of approximately \$85.4 million ((\$227.8 million x 75%)/2) would be postponed until July 31. Municipalities would advance to the other taxing jurisdictions \$85.4 million in postponed payments for 6 months. Assuming an annual interest rate of 2.25%, municipalities would lose approximately \$960,000 in foregone interest earnings (\$85.4 million x 2.25% x 6/12).

Programming Costs. Under current law, municipalities maintain the personal property tax roll throughout the tax year; as a result, county payment systems do not include processes or information on personal property tax payments. The bill would require that counties collect the payment of second instalments of personal property taxes; as a result, counties would incur costs associated with programming changes to adapt their payment systems to process payments of second instalments of personal property taxes. To the extent that their payment systems currently include two instalment payments for real property, the programming costs are not expected to be significant.

Processing Costs. Assuming 75% of the 240,000 personal property accounts would be paid in two instalments, counties would incur increased costs to process and settle for payments of 180,000 second instalments of personal property taxes. These costs are not expected to be significant.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

### **Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session**

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	01-3997/	1	Intro	duction No	umber	AB-702			
<b>Subje</b> Paying		operty taxes in	installments							
	-time Costs Ilized fiscal (		mpacts for St	ate and/or l	ocal Govern	ment (do	not include in			
II. Anr	nualized Cos	sts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
					Increased Co	osts	Decreased Costs			
	te Costs by									
		s - Salaries and	d Fringes			\$				
	E Position Ch									
		s - Other Costs	;							
Loc	al Assistance	)								
Aids	to Individua	ls or Organiza	tions							
T	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory			\$	\$			
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fu	nds							
GPI	3									
FED	)									
PRO	D/PRS									
SEG/SEG-S										
III. Sta (e.g.,	ate Revenue tax increase	s - Complete , decrease in	this only whe license fee, e	n proposal ts.)	will increase	or decre	ase state revenues			
					Increased	Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPI	R Taxes					\$	\$			
GP	R Earned									
FE	)									
PR	O/PRS									
SE	SEG/SEG-S									
TOTAL State Revenues					\$	\$				
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT					
					2	State	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS						\$	\$See			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$	\$-960,000				
Agen	cy/Prepared	Bv		Authorized	Signature		Date			
					ian Pahnke (608) 266-2700					