Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corr	rected	Supplemental
LRB Number 01-1583/3	Introducti	ion Number 🔏	AB-820
Subject Parental liability, small claims jurisdictional amount and game approvals	ount, garnishment, as	signment of debt, wo	orthless checks for fish
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	Increase Costs absorb within a Yes Decrease Costs	No
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	ease Revenue nissive Mandatory rease Revenue nissive Mandatory	5.Types of Local C Units Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Government Village Cities Others WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	-1	Affected Ch. 20 App s.20.680(2)(j)	propriations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signat	ture	Date
CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984	Sheryl Gervasi (60	8) 266-6984	2/19/02

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 2/19/02

Parental liability, small claims jurisdictional amount, garnishment, assignment of debt, worthless checks for fish and game approvals				
	al amount, garnishment,	al amount, garnishment, assignment of debt, worth		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill increases the jurisdictional limit in small claims from \$5,000 to \$10,000 except for actions based upon negligence, that remain at \$5,000. It also increases the amounts of attorney fees recoverable in certain civil actions.

In 2000, there were an estimated 144,400 small claims actions filed in the circuit courts. The total filing cost in small claims is \$61 of which \$10.20 goes to the county, \$31 to the state, \$2 to the fund for the Justice Information System(BJIS) and \$17.80 to the program revenue fund under s.20.680(2)(j) for the Consolidated Court Automation Program(CCAP). Cases above \$5,000 are filed in large claims civil court. There were an estimated 28,200 large claims cases filed in 2000. The total filing fee cost in these cases is \$184 of which \$30 goes to the county, \$131 goes to the state, \$21 goes to CCAP and \$2 to BJIS.

It is impossible to predict the loss and gain of cases between the large claims and small claims caseload that will result because of the change in the limit. The loss in large claims caseload does not necessarily mean a corresponding increase in small claims caseload. For example purposes only, if the large claims caseload decreases by 20% or 5,640 cases, and the small claims caseload increases by the same number, the following would be the annual fiscal impact upon court revenues:

Decrease in Large Claims(-20%) 5,640 cases at \$184 filing fee -169,200 for the county, -738,840 for the state, -118,440 for CCAP and -11,280 for BJIS

Increase in Small Claims

5,640 cases at \$61 filing fee + 57,528 for the county, +174,840 for the state, +1000,392 for CCAP and +11,280 for BJIS

Net Total -111,672 for the county, -564,000 for the state, -18,048 for CCAP and 0 for BJIS.

Presently, the small claims caseload in the more populous counties is handled by court commissioners while in those counties without commissioners the clerks handle the initial return dates. This would decrease the circuit judge's large claim civil caseload while increasing the caseload for commissioners and clerks. This would result in a shift of the burden of cost for handling cases between \$5,000 and \$10,000 from the state to the county. It is unknown how many counties would utilize the option under this bill of using court commissioners to handle the additional small claims caseload. The clerks of court from Milwaukee and Dane Counties have projected that additional staff would be required. Milwaukee, which presently has three full-time small claims court commissioners, could require one full-time additional court commissioner (\$125,000/yr.), 1/2 time deputy clerk (\$23,500/yr.), and two clerk-typists (\$64,000/yr.). Dane County would need a 1/2 time clerk (\$20,500/yr. These salaries include fringe benefits but not space and supplies costs. The additional costs for other counties is impossible to predict.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Update	ed Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 01-1583/3	Introduction Num	ber AB-820		
Subject				
Parental liability, small claims jurisdictiona for fish and game approvals	al amount, garnishment, assignment	t of debt, worthless checks		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts annualized fiscal effect):	for State and/or Local Governme	nt (do not include in		
amidalized liscal effect):	_			
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:		
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$			
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Costs				
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this onle.g., tax increase, decrease in license	y when proposal will increase or of fee, ets.)	decrease state revenues		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes	\$	\$		
GPR Farned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$		
NET AN	NUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
	<u>State</u>	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$-indeterminate	\$+indeterminate		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-indeterminate	\$-indeterminate		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984	Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-698	4 2/19/02		