## 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

### Bill

Received: 11/23/2001					Received By: shoveme			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: <b>Terese Berceau</b> (608) 266-3784					By/Representing: Tom Powell			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: shoveme			
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Munis - zoning Counties - zoning					Extra Copies:			
Submit v	via email: NO							
Pre Top	oic:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
No speci	ific pre topic gi	ven		· ·				
Topic:								
Control (	of municipal co	omprehensive p	lans over cer	rtain town an	d county plans			
Instruct	tions:			·				
See attac	ched. Muni con	np. plans contr	ol over comp	peting town a	and county plans in	extraterritoria	ıl area.	
Drafting	g History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/1	shoveme 01/17/2002	rschluet 01/23/2002	jfrantze 01/24/200	2	lrb_docadmin 01/24/2002	lrb_docadm 02/07/2002		
FE Sent	For: at W	troductio	$\sim$					

<END>

## 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received:	11/23/2001	Received By: shoveme			
Wanted: A	s time permits	Identical to LRB:			
For: Teres	e Berceau (608) 266-3784	By/Representing: Tom Powell  Drafter: shoveme			
This file m	ay be shown to any legislator: NO				
May Conta	act:	Addl. Drafters:			
Subject:	Munis - zoning Counties - zoning	Extra Copies:			
Submit via	email: NO				
Pre Topic	:				
No specific	c pre topic given				
Topic:					
Control of	municipal comprehensive plans over certain	town and county plans			
Instruction	ns:				
See attache	ed. Muni comp. plans control over competing	ng town and county plans in extraterritorial area.			

**Drafting History:** 

Vers. Reviewed **Drafted** Typed Proofed Submitted **Jacketed** Required /1 shoveme rschluet jfrantze 01/24/2002 lrb\_docadmin S&L 01/17/2002 01/24/2002 01/23/2002

FE Sent For:

<END>

### 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/23/2001

Received By: shoveme

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Terese Berceau (608) 266-3784

By/Representing: Tom Powell

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: shoveme

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

**Munis - zoning Counties - zoning** 

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Control of municipal comprehensive plans over certain town and county plans

**Instructions:** 

See attached. Muni comp. plans control over competing town and county plans in extraterritorial area.

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Typed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/? -

shoveme

FE Sent For:

<END>



202 State Street Suite 300 Madison, Wisconsin 53703-2215

608/267-2380 800/991-5502 Fax: 608/267-0645

E-mail: league@lwm-info.org www.lwm-info.org

Date: October 2, 2001

To: Tom Powell, Aide to Representative Terese Berceau

From: Curt Witynski, Assistant Director

Re: Enhancement of Municipal Extraterritorial Powers

As a follow up to our recent conversation about municipal extraterritorial zoning and plat approval powers, I've attached several outlines and articles summarizing municipal authority in the extraterritorial area.

sel 1.2

You asked for any suggestions we might have for legislative changes that would enhance a municipality's extraterritorial zoning and plat approval authority. In response, I'm submitting the following three legislative proposals for your and Representative Berceau's consideration:

- Authorize municipalities to require developers to install public improvements, such as streets, curbs, sidewalks and sewers, as a condition of approving plats located in a municipality's extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction. Under current law, as interpreted by the courts, only the town or municipality in which the subdivision lies can require the installation of public improvements as a condition of plat approval. Thus, in the extraterritorial area, the city or village cannot impose such a requirement. Rice v. Oshkosh, 148 Wis.3d 78 (1989).
- Authorize municipalities to condition approval of subdivisions contiguous to municipalities and located within their extraterritorial plat review jurisdiction on annexation. In *Hoepker v. City of Madison Plan Commission*, (1997), the City of Madison conditioned approval of Hoepker's plat on annexation to the City because the City was concerned about having a sixty two lot development on its immediate fringe which would not be served by public sewer and water. The Supreme Court held that the City could not condition approval of the plat on annexation. In its decision, the Court suggested that the City's legitimate concerns about allowing unsewered developments to occur on its fringe would have to be addressed by the legislature. The Court said:

We have not overlooked the City's and the League of Wisconsin Municipalities' assertion that municipalities should have authority to condition plat approval on annexation, because otherwise municipalities will be forced to approve unsewered development on their immediate fringe. While this may very well be good public policy, the question of

whether municipalities should have such authority is a matter for the legislature.

• Specify that municipal comprehensive plans shall control over competing town and county plans in the extraterritorial area. In 2010, as a result of the smart growth legislation, all municipal land use decisions, including zoning approvals, annexations and subdivision approvals, must be consistent with the municipality's comprehensive plan. The smart growth legislation does not address the question of competing comprehensive plans. Specifically, current law does not answer the question of which plan controls when a town, county and municipality have adopted comprehensive plans covering the same area. Section 59.69(3)(e), Stats., provides some guidance. It states that the municipal comprehensive plan controls over the county's development plan with in the municipality's extraterritorial jurisdiction. However, similar language should be added to sec. 66.1001, Stats., the comprehensive planning statute, indicating that the municipality's comprehensive plan controls over the town's within the municipality's extraterritorial jurisdiction.



Please give me a call if you have any questions or need additional information about the above suggestions. Also, I'd be happy to meet with you, Rep. Berceau, and City of Madison staff to discuss these or any other ideas for legislation enhancing municipal extraterritorial zoning and plat approval powers.



## State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-4349/ MESS:.....KM

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

in unincorporated
territory

AN ACT ...; relating to: the applicability of city and village comprehensive plans

### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, a county board may engage in zoning and land use planning by creating a county planning agency or by designating a previously constituted county committee or commission as the county planning agency. If a county board creates or designates such an agency, the agency is required to direct the preparation of a county development plan for the physical development of the towns within the county and for the cities and villages within the county whose governing bodies agree to have their areas included in the county plan.

Also under current law, a city or village, or certain towns that exercise village powers, may create a city, village or town plan commission to engage in zoning and land use planning. If a city, village or town creates such a commission, the commission is required to adopt a master plan for the physical development of the city, village or town including in some instances, in the case of a city or village, unincorporated areas outside of the city or village which are related to the city's or village's development.

Beginning on January 1, 2010, any program or action of a local governmental unit that affects land use must be consistent with that local governmental unit's

1

(A)

1

2

3

4

5

6

comprehensive plan. The actions to which this requirement applies include zoning ordinances, municipal incorporation procedures, annexation procedures, agricultural preservation plans, and impact fee ordinances. Also beginning on January 1, 2010, if a local governmental unit engages in any program or action that affects land use, the comprehensive plan must contain at least all of the required planning elements.

Current law authorizes cities and village to exercise zoning authority within the extraterritorial zoning jurisdiction. Whis extraterritorial zoning jurisdiction consists of unincorporated areas (town or county territory) within miles of the corporate limits of a first, second, or third class city or within and 1/2 miles of a fourth class city or a village.

Under this bill, beginning on January 1, 2010, a comprehensive plan of a city or village that affects the city's or village's extraterritorial zoning jurisdiction shall control over a town or county comprehensive plan that affects that same area. This provision is similar to a current law provision that states that a city or village master plan and official map controls in the city's or village's extraterritorial zoning jurisdiction over a county development plan that affects that same area.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 66.1001 (5) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1001 (5) EXTRATERRITORIAL ZONING JURISDICTION. Beginning on January 1, 2010, a city or village comprehensive plan shall control over a town or county comprehensive plan in the city's or village's extraterritorial zoning jurisdiction, as that term is used in s. 62.23 (7a) (a).

(END)



### Barman, Mike

From:

Shovers, Marc

Sent:

Thursday, February 07, 2002 4:01 PM

To:

Barman, Mike

Subject:

FW: LRB 01-4349/1

Please jacket this bill. Thanks.

### Marc

----Original Message--From: Pov

Powell, Thomas

Sent:

Thursday, February 07, 2002 3:06 PM

To: Subject: Shovers, Marc RE: LRB 01-4349/1

Marc,

We never got a jacketted copy of this bill. Did you receive our request for one?

## Thanks,

#### Tom P.

----Original Message-----

From: Sent: Shovers, Marc Thursday, January 24, 2002 12:08 PM

To:

Powell, Thomas

Subject:

LRB 01-4349/1

<< File: 01-4349/1 >>

Marc E. Shovers

Senior Legislative Attorney Legislative Reference Bureau

Phone: (608) 266-0129 Fax: (608) 264-8522

e-mail: marc.shovers@legis.state.wi.us