2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB889)

| Receive | eu: 03/06/200 2 | • | | Received By: rkite | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Wanted | l: As time perm | nits | Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Judy Drafter: rkite | | | | | | |
| For: M | arlin Schneidei | r (608) 266-02 | | | | | | | |
| This fil | e may be shown | to any legislat | | | | | | | |
| May Contact: | | | | | Addl. Drafters: | | | | |
| Subject: Trade Regulation - other | | | | | Extra Copies: | | | | |
| Submit | via email: NO | | | | | | | | |
| Pre To | pic: | | | | | | | | |
| No spec | cific pre topic gi | ven | | | | , | | | |
| Topic: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| Prohibi | ting encryption | of certain broad | least and ca | ble service pr | ogramming | | | | |
| Instruc | ctions: | | | | | | | | |
| See Att | ached | | | | | | | | |
| Draftir | ng History: | | | | | | | | |
| Vers. | <u>Drafted</u> | Reviewed | Typed | Proofed | <u>Submitted</u> | Jacketed | Required | | |
| /? | rkite 03/06/2002 | csicilia 03/06/2002 | | | | | | | |
| /1 | | | kfollet 03/06/20 | 02 | lrb_docadmin 03/06/2002 | lrb_docadmin 03/06/2002 | | | |
| FE Sent | For: | | | ÷ | | | | | |
| | | | | <end></end> | | | | | |

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB889)

Received: 03/06/2002

Received By: rkite

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Marlin Schneider (608) 266-0215

By/Representing: Judy

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: rkite

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Trade Regulation - other

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Prohibiting encryption of certain broadcast and cable service programming

Instructions:

See Attached

FE Sent For:

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Typed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

rkite

<END>

| 2001 Date (time) RM Not LRBa 1473/1 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AMENDMENT AMENDMENT RNK: ES: | | | | | | | | |
| See form AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS. | | | | | | | | |
| S A AMENDMENT TO S A AMENDMENT (LRBa), TO S A SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT / (LRBs), | | | | | | | | |
| TO 2001 SB SJR SR AB AJR AR 889 (LRB | | | | | | | | |
| At the locations indicated, amend the Substitute amendment as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| (fill ONLY if "engrossed" or "as shown by") | | | | | | | | |
| #. Page line/: | | | | | | | | |
| #. Page, line: #. Page, line: | | | | | | | | |
| #. Page, line: | | | | | | | | |
| #. Page, line: | | | | | | | | |

[rev: 8/28/00 2001DF04(fm)]

2001 BHL

#. Page 2, line 3: after "retes;" insert, AN ACT to ereate 100 2005 of the statutes, relating top prohibiting persons who 1 2 provide broadcast and cable television services from transmitting programming that is encrypted in a certain manner Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau This bill prohibits the provider of any broadcast/audio or video service or any cable television telecommunications service from transmitting from this state any programming that is encrypted in such a manner that the programming may not be ecorded by the recipient of the programming for the recipient's personal use Current federal law specifies the extent to which a recipient may record programming for personal use. The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows: Page 5, line 2: after that line insert: (CSECTION $\mathfrak{F}_{7}100.2065$ of the statutes is created to read: 4 100.2065 Encryption of audio and video programming prohibited. (1) 5 In this section: 6 7

(a) "Broadcast service" has the meaning given in s. 196.01 (1m).

\mathbf{BILL}

1

2

3

4

5

6

| (b) "Cable television service" has the meaning given s. 196.01 (| (b) | "Cable television | service" | has the | meaning | given s | . 196.01 | (1p |). |
|--|-----|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----|----|
|--|-----|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----|----|

(2) No person who provides a broadcast service or a cable television service may transmit from this state programming that is encrypted in such a manner that the programming may not be recorded by a recipient of the programming for the recipient's personal use, unless the personal use is prohibited under federal law.

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

A 1473/ LRB-\$882/Air RNK:###h;#

45

February 26, 2002

have placed the encryption prohibition created in this draft in chapter 100 of the standes / That chapter is generally administered by DATCP. It it was not your intention to have DATCP administer this prohibition, please let me know and I will reduct accordingly.

It is possible that a court might conclude that the encryption prohibition created in this draft is preempted by federal law. Generally, federal law preempts state law if Congress has expressed an intent to occupy a given field. The encryption issue concerns the fields of radio and television broadcasting and of copyright. Both of these complicated areas are heavily regulated under federal law. Furthermore, the FCC has been involved in the proposed license for an encryption technology for digital television known as DFAST (Dynamic Feedback Arrangement Scrambling Technique). This technology would enable the program provider to mark a given program with instructions about whether the program may be recorded. The recording device will not be able to record a program for which a "no copy" instruction is given. Because the FCC appears to support the right of a content provider to scramble or encrypt its programming, and because the broadcasting and copyright fields are heavily regulated under federal law, it is possible that a challenge to the prohibition created in this draft on preemption grounds might be successful. If you would like me to research this area in greater depth, please let me know.

Robin N. Kite

Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa1473/1dn RNK:cjs:kjf

March 6, 2002

It is possible that a court might conclude that the encryption prohibition created in this draft is preempted by federal law. Generally, federal law preempts state law if Congress has expressed an intent to occupy a given field. The encryption issue concerns the fields of radio and television broadcasting and of copyright. Both of these complicated areas are heavily regulated under federal law. Furthermore, the FCC has been involved in the proposed license for an encryption technology for digital television known as DFAST (Dynamic Feedback Arrangement Scrambling Technique). This technology would enable the program provider to mark a given program with instructions about whether the program may be recorded. The recording device will not be able to record a program for which a "no copy" instruction is given. Because the FCC appears to support the right of a content provider to scramble or encrypt its programming, and because the broadcasting and copyright fields are heavily regulated under federal law, it is possible that a challenge to the prohibition created in this draft on preemption grounds might be successful. If you would like me to research this area in greater depth, please let me know.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us