

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/01/2000

Received By: kunkemd

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Dombrowski

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kunkemd

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Occupational Reg. - misc

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Dombrowski -

Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kunkemd 11/02/2000	chanaman 11/02/2000					State
/1	kunkemd 01/27/2001	jdyer 01/29/2001	kfollet 11/03/2000		lrb_docadmin 11/03/2000		State
/2			martykr 01/29/2001		lrb_docadmin 01/29/2001		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/01/2000

Received By: kunkemd

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Dombrowski

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kunkemd

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Occupational Reg. - misc

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Dombrowski -

Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kunkemd 11/02/2000	chanaman 11/02/2000					State
/1		1/2 1/29 jld	kfollet 11/03/2000		lrb_docadmin 11/03/2000		

FE Sent For:

km 1/29
km 1/27
<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/01/2000

Received By: **kunkemd**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Administration-Budget**

By/Representing: **Dombrowski**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **kunkemd**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Occupational Reg. - misc**

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Dombrowski - DOA.....Dom

Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kunkemd		<i>[Handwritten initials]</i> 11/3	<i>[Handwritten initials]</i> 11/3			

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

CANCEL - -
REPLACE
w/
902

Bill

Received: 10/25/2000

Received By: kunkemd

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Dombrowski

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kunkemd

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Occupational Reg. - misc

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Dombrowski -

Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kunkemd 10/26/2000	jdye 10/26/2000					State
/1			rschluet 10/26/2000		gretskl 10/26/2000		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 10/25/2000

Received By: kunkemd

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Dombrowski

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kunkemd

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Occupational Reg. - misc

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Dombrowski -



Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kunkemd	1 10/26 JLD	 10-26-00	 km 10-26-00			

FE Sent For:

<END>

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
101 East Wilson Street, Madison, Wisconsin

TOMMY G. THOMPSON
GOVERNOR

GEORGE LIGHTBOURN
SECRETARY



Division of Executive Budget and Finance
Post Office Box 7864
Madison, WI 53707-7864
Voice (608) 266-1736
Fax (608) 267-0372
TTY (608) 267-9629

Date: October 18, 2000
To: Steve Miller, LRB
From: Cynthia Dombrowski, DOA
267-5878
Subject: Regulation and Licensing Statutory Language

Please convert the following drafts to Budget Drafts and note that I should be the primary contact:

- ✓1. LRB-2559/1 Continuing Education Rules - 01-0100/1
- ✓2. LRB-0026/1 Continuing Education and Good Standing Certificates for Funeral Directors
- ✓3. LRB-0154/1 Notice of Credential Renewal by Mail or Electronic Transmission
- ✓4. LRB-0155/1 Examination Fee Revenue
- ✓5. LRB-0064/1 Temporary Permits for Private Security Persons
- ✓6. LRB-0157/1 Renewal Dates for Private Detective Agency Licenses
- ✓7. LRB-0159/1 Private Security Person Agency License
- ✓8. LRB-2558/1 Draft of Changes to Make Disciplinary Process Enhancements

Your help is appreciated. Please let me know if you have any questions. *- 01-0153 (with changes based on DOAL instructions)*

Thanks.

Cc: Dan Caucutt

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 09/07/2000

Received By: kunkemd

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Regulation and Licensing 6-0011

By/Representing: Bill Dusso

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kunkemd

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Occupational Reg. - misc

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	kunkemd 09/13/2000	wjackson 09/14/2000	kfollet 09/14/2000	_____	lrb_docadmin 09/14/2000		State

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 09/07/2000

Received By: **kunkemd**

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: **Regulation and Licensing 6-0011**

By/Representing: **Bill Dusso**

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: **kunkemd**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Occupational Reg. - misc**

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Examination fee revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	kunkemd	1 wlj 9/14	9/14 kjf 9/14	9/14 kjf/self 9/14			

FE Sent For:

<END>

APPENDIX J

ACCOUNTING FOR STATE FUNDS IN THE STATE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

Increasingly, state government is under pressure to reduce spending while maintaining or increasing services. Among the accepted methods employed to reduce costs is to "privatize" agency functions.

However, there is a tendency to believe that functions that are privatized are no longer "state" functions accounted on state books. This belief is in error. All revenue created by law, unless specifically exempted from state control, is considered to be state money to be accounted for as any revenue, tax, fee, or assessment. By law, all state money must be deposited with the State Treasurer. Thus, even though a function is funded by its own revenue stream, that revenue stream is state money and must be deposited with the State Treasurer.

The Wisconsin Constitution prohibits state money from being paid out of the treasury unless authorized through an appropriation. This means agencies must budget for the expenditures to be paid to a contractor/vendor for services rendered.

Agencies should review all contract arrangements to determine how the contractor is receiving or is to receive payment for services provided. Any contract that provides for a direct payment from the receiver of the service to the vendor, without the payment first being deposited with the State Treasurer, must be amended. Agencies must make payments to vendors based on an invoice detailing services provided. In addition, revenues from fees or charges for services must be deposited to the Treasury.

Agencies must include in their budget requests sufficient budget authority to cover the costs of programs already in operation or being proposed.

DORL

Exam Provider

Applicant

what is nature of contract
btw DORL & Exam provider?
e.g. hydrology
3 exam providers
DORL sends RFP to providers for an exam
Proposal comes back w/
what will charge applicant
- No money change hands
btw DORL & exam provider
- applicant pays exam provider directly

State of Wisconsin
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING
CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

Date: August 31, 2000
Memo to: Mark Kunkel
From: William Dusso
Subject: Budget Draft ID#s from last session

I believe the following are the drafts from last session that correspond to some of the requests for this budget:

Department Item	LRB DRAFT No.
A. Renewal Fee Changes Required by Statute	Last budget = LRB-0635
B. Disciplinary Process Enhancements	You may have - I could not find
C. Uniform Procedures for Administration of Continuing Education Programs	You may have - I could not find
D. Streamline Funeral Director Renewal and Eliminate Good Standing Certificate	1999 Assembly Bill 869 LRB-0736/4
E. Tailor Statutes to Accommodate Internet and E-Commerce Initiatives	new
F. Define the Term Revenue for the Purpose of Administering Revenue and Appropriation Provisions for the Department	new
G. Wall Certificate Fee	new
H. Revise Temporary Permits for Private Security Persons	LRB-0643
I. Revise Renewal Requirements For Private Detectives And Private Security Personnel	LRB-0644
J. Revise renewal dates - private security personnel and private detective agencies	You may have - I could not find

Bill Dusso - 9/11

① private security persons
 - initial \$ (renewal is 100 agency

② auto license for private security persons
 - check JEO dept 95-0655/2
 (so similar to private detective agency)

④ revenue issue

Same as private detective agency lic. - for initial fee
 but renewal is 100
 (2) (computer consent app. into lic. +

Kunkel, Mark

From: Dusso, William
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2000 7:30 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: Budget request

Mark: Here is our initial draft of the budget language request. Would you look it over and call me. Item F. will need some discussion. Little else is new. Thanks. WLD



REQST01 .doc

**Draft of
August 14, 2000**

2001-03 BIENNIAL BUDGET

REQUESTS FOR STATUTORY CHANGES

September 15, 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

Marlene A. Cummings, Secretary

CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE
A. RENEWAL FEE CHANGES REQUIRED BY STATUTE	1
B. DISCIPLINARY PROCESS ENHANCEMENTS	1
C. UNIFORM PROCEDURES FOR ADMINISTRATION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS	2
D. STREAMLINE FUNERAL DIRECTOR RENEWAL AND ELIMINATE GOOD STANDING CERTIFICATE	5
E. TAILOR STATUTES TO ACCOMMODATE INTERNET AND E-COMMERCE INITIATIVES	8
F. DEFINE THE TERM <i>REVENUE</i> FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADMINISTERING REVENUE AND APPROPRIATION PROVISIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT.	8
G. WALL CERTIFICATE FEE	9
H. REVISE TEMPORARY PERMITS FOR PRIVATE SECURITY PERSONS	9
I. REVISE RENEWAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PRIVATE DETECTIVES AND PRIVATE SECURITY PERSONNEL	9
J. REVISE RENEWAL DATES – PRIVATE SECURITY PERSONNEL AND PRIVATE DETECTIVE AGENCIES	10

◇ Certificate in Good Standing Holders who have had a regular license within the last 5 years have six months to apply for renewal of a regular license and provide evidence of having completed 15 hours of continuing education within 2 years of applying.

◇ Certificate in Good Standing Holders who have not had a regular license for more than 5 years have six months to apply for renewal of a regular license and take an examination.

Certificate in Good Standing Holders who have had a regular license within the last 5 years have six months to apply for renewal of a regular license and provide evidence of having completed 15 hours of continuing education within 2 years of applying.

Proposed Statutory Language

See Appendix E: 1999 Assembly Bill 869

LRB-0736/4

MDK:cmh&jlg:ch

E. TAILOR STATUTES TO ACCOMMODATE INTERNET AND E-COMMERCE INITIATIVES.

Summary and Justification

(A) Authorize use of fax and e-mail as a means of notifying credential holders of renewal as addition to regular mail.

(B)

Proposed Statutory Language

(A) Notice of renewal by mail and electronic transmission.

440.08(1) of the statutes is amended to read:

(1) Notice of renewal. The department shall ~~mail a~~ give notice of renewal to the last address provided to the department by each holder of a credential at least 30 days prior to the renewal date of the credential. Notice may be given by mail to the last address provided to the department by the credential holder or by electronic transmission. Failure to receive a notice of renewal is not a defense in any disciplinary proceeding against the holder or in any proceeding against the holder for practicing without a credential. Failure to receive a notice of renewal does not relieve the holder from the obligation to pay a penalty for late renewal under sub. (3).

~~Initial applicability of this proposal: effective date of budget act.~~

F. DEFINE THE TERM REVENUE FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADMINISTERING REVENUE AND APPROPRIATION PROVISIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT.

~~GA~~ Wall Certificate Fee.



State of Wisconsin
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0155/1

MDK:/:....
wlj

D-NOTE

2001 BILL

BY Friday
9/15
TOOAY by
7:00

GEN

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: examination fees for certain occupational and
2 professional credentials

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, an applicant for a credential issued by the department of regulation and licensing (DORL) or a board in DORL may be required to take an examination and pay an examination fee.

This bill provides that such an applicant is required to pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or the board administers the examination.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

3 SECTION 1. 440.05 (1) (b)[✓] of the statutes is amended to read:
4 440.05 (1) (b) Examination: If an examination is required, the applicant shall
5 pay an examination fee to the department if the examination is administered by the
6 department or a credentialing board. The fee for an examination administered by
7 the department or a credentialing board shall be an amount equal to the

BILL

1 department's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering and
2 grading the examination or obtaining and administering an approved examination
3 from a test service.

History: 1977 c. 29, 418; 1979 c. 34; 1979 c. 175 s. 53; 1979 c. 221 s. 2202 (45); 1983 a. 27; 1985 a. 29; 1987 a. 264, 265, 329, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 229, 307, 316, 336, 340, 341, 359; 1991 a. 39, 269, 278, 315; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27, 96; 1999 a. 9.

4 (END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0155/1dn

MDK:f.....

WJ

Bill Dusso:

This bill specifies that an applicant must pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or a credentialing board actually administers the examination. If DORL or a credentialing board does not administer an examination (and instead arranges for an examination provider to administer the examination), then the applicant is not required to pay an examination fee and no moneys are received under s. 440.05 (1) (b), as amended by the bill. If no moneys are received, then the language of s. 20.165 (1) (i), stats., is not triggered.

I hope this approach addresses your concerns. If not, please contact me.

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-0155/1dn
MDK:wlj:kjf

September 14, 2000

Bill Dusso:

This bill specifies that an applicant must pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or a credentialing board actually administers the examination. If DORL or a credentialing board does not administer an examination (and instead arranges for an examination provider to administer the examination), then the applicant is not required to pay an examination fee and no moneys are received under s. 440.05 (1) (b), as amended by the bill. If no moneys are received, then the language of s. 20.165 (1) (i), stats., is not triggered.

I hope this approach addresses your concerns. If not, please contact me.

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

D-NOTE

JLD+
-0791/1
-0902/1

2001 BILL

✓ OCCUPATIONAL REGULATION head

Regen not gen.

✓ the budget

1 AN ACT to amend 440.05 (1) (b) of the statutes; relating to: examination fees for
2 certain occupational and professional credentials.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, an applicant for a credential issued by the department of regulation and licensing (DORL) or a board in DORL may be required to take an examination and pay an examination fee.

This bill provides that such an applicant is required to pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or the board administers the examination.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

3 SECTION 1. 440.05 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
4 440.05 (1) (b) Examination: If an examination is required, the applicant shall
5 pay an examination fee to the department if the examination is administered by the
6 department or a credentialing board. The fee for an examination administered by
7 the department or a credentialing board shall be an amount equal to the

BILL

1 department's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering and
2 grading the examination or obtaining and administering an approved examination
3 from a test service.

4 (END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0155/1dn
MDK:wlj:kjf

New date { ~~September 14, 2000~~ }
September 14, 2000

Jld+
-0791/1dn

Cynthia Dombrowski

~~Bill Dusso:~~

This bill specifies that an applicant must pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or a credentialing board actually administers the examination. If DORL or a credentialing board does not administer an examination (and instead arranges for an examination provider to administer the examination), then the applicant is not required to pay an examination fee and no moneys are received under s. 440.05 (1) (b), as amended by the bill. If no moneys are received, then the language of s. 20.165 (1) (i), stats., is not triggered.

DORL'S

I hope this approach addresses ~~your~~ concerns. If not, please contact me.

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

which is identical to LRB-0155/1 ✓

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0902/

cdn

cdn

.....

MDK i jhd

November 2, 2000

This bill, LRB-0902^v, replaces LRB-0791. A computer system error irreparably damaged LRB-0791. As a result we ask that you please destroy LRB-0791. We apologize for any inconvenience this computer system error may have caused.

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0791/1dn
MDK:jld&wlj:rs

October 26, 2000

Cynthia Dombrowski:

This bill, which is identical to LRB-0155/1, specifies that an applicant must pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or a credentialing board actually administers the examination. If DORL or a credentialing board does not administer an examination (and instead arranges for an examination provider to administer the examination), then the applicant is not required to pay an examination fee and no moneys are received under s. 440.05 (1) (b), as amended by the bill. If no moneys are received, then the language of s. 20.165 (1) (i), stats., is not triggered.

I hope this approach addresses DORL's concerns. If not, please contact me.

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0902/1dn
MDK:jld:kjf

November 3, 2000

This bill, LRB-0902, replaces LRB-0791. A computer system error irreparably damaged LRB-0791. As a result we ask that you please destroy LRB-0791. We apologize for any inconvenience this computer system error may have caused.

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

Kunkel, Mark

From: Dombrowski, Cynthia
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2001 11:13 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 01-0902/1 Examination fee revenue

Hi Mark: Can you please make change proposed by the agency in the attached word document? Please let me know if you have any questions...

Thanks,
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Riedasch, Gail-DRL
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2001 2:49 PM
To: Dombrowski, Cynthia
Cc: Caucutt, Dan
Subject: RE: LRB Draft: 01-0902/1 Examination fee revenue

I am attaching a proposed change for this section of statutory language. Please let me know if you have any questions. Gail



examteeslang.doc

-----Original Message-----

From: Follett, Kathy
Sent: Friday, November 03, 2000 2:44 PM
To: Dombrowski, Cynthia
Cc: Caucutt, Dan; Currier, Dawn; Hanaman, Cathlene; Haugen, Caroline
Subject: LRB Draft: 01-0902/1 Examination fee revenue

Following is the PDF version of draft 01-0902/1.

<< File: 01-0902/1 >> << File: 01-0902/1dn >>

440.05 (1) * * *

(b) Examination: If an examination is required, the applicant shall pay an examination fee to the department. If the department prepares, administers or grades the examination, [t]he fee for examination to the department shall be an amount equal to the department's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering and or grading the examination or obtaining and administering an approved examination from a test service. If the Department approves an examination prepared administered and graded by a test service provider the applicant shall pay to the department an amount equal to the department's best estimate of the actual cost of approving the examination, including selection, evaluation and review.

*deliberate?
change to "or" ?*

SOON

O-NOTE

2

RM NOT RUN

DOA:.....Dombrowski - Examination fee revenue

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

INSERT 1-2 ✓

INSERT 1A ✓

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Don't gen

If an examination is required, the applicant must

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau
OCCUPATIONAL REGULATION

to DORL

Under current law, an applicant for a credential issued by DORL or a board in DORL may be required to take an examination and pay an examination fee.

~~This bill provides that such an applicant is required to pay an examination fee to DORL only if DORL or the board administers the examination.~~

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2 SECTION 1. 440.05 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

3 440.05 (1) (b) Examination: If an examination is required, the applicant shall
4 pay an examination fee to the department if the examination is administered by the
5 department or a credentialing board. The fee for an examination administered by
6 the department or a credentialing board shall be an amount equal to the

SECTION 1

1 department's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering and
2 grading the examination or obtaining and administering an approved examination
3 from a test service.

4

(END)

D-note

2001-2002 DRAFTING INSERT
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0902/2ins
MDK:.....

1

INSERT 1-A:

#3 The fee must be an amount equal to the DORL's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering, or grading the examination or obtaining and administering an approved examination from a test service.

This bill changes the requirement regarding the amount of the fee. Under the bill, if DORL prepares, administers, or grades the examination, the fee must be equal to DORL's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering, or grading the examination. If DORL approves an examination prepared, administered, and graded by a test service provider, the fee must be equal to DORL's best estimate of the actual cost of approving the examination, including selecting, evaluating, and reviewing the examination.

2

INSERT 1-2:

3

SECTION 1. 440.05 (1) (b) [✓] of the statutes is amended to read:

4

440.05 (1) (b) Examination: If an examination is required, the applicant shall

5

pay an examination fee. ~~The~~ to the department. [✓] If the department prepares,

6

administers, or grades the examination, the fee for examination to the department

7

shall be an amount equal to the department's best estimate of the actual cost of

8

preparing, administering [✓] and [✓] or grading the examination ^{or plain} ~~or obtaining and~~

9

~~administering an approved examination from a test service.~~ If the department

10

approves an examination prepared, administered, and graded by a test service

11

provider, the fee to the department shall be an amount equal to the department's best

12

estimate of the actual cost of approving the examination, including selecting,

13

evaluating, and reviewing the examination. [✓]

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0902/2dn

MDK:.....

JLd

Cynthia Dombrowski:

in s. 440.05(1)(b)

This version incorporates the changes requested by Gail Riedasch of DORL. Please note the following minor points:

1. I made the last sentence consistent with the ^{second} ~~first~~ sentence so that they both refer to "the fee to department". Therefore, the last sentence differs slightly than that in the instructions. the
2. The last sentence refers to DORL approving an examination prepared, administered, **and** graded by a test service. It might not matter, but should it refer instead to an examination prepared, administered, **or** graded by a test service?

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0902/2dn
MDK:jld:km

January 29, 2001

Cynthia Dombrowski:

This version incorporates the changes requested by Gail Riedasch of DORL. Please note the following minor points:

1. I made the last sentence in s. 440.05 (1) (b) consistent with the second sentence so that they both refer to "the fee to the department." Therefore, the last sentence differs slightly than that in the instructions.
2. The last sentence refers to DORL approving an examination prepared, administered, *and* graded by a test service. It might not matter, but should it refer instead to an examination prepared, administered, *or* graded by a test service?

Mark D. Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us



State of Wisconsin
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0902/2
MDK:jld&wlj:km

DOA:.....Dombrowski - Examination fee revenue

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

OCCUPATIONAL REGULATION

Under current law, an applicant for a credential issued by DORL or a board in DORL may be required to take an examination. If an examination is required, the applicant must pay an examination fee to DORL. The fee must be an amount equal to the DORL's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering, or grading the examination or obtaining and administering an approved examination from a test service.

This bill changes the requirement regarding the amount of the fee. Under the bill, if DORL prepares, administers, or grades the examination, the fee must be equal to DORL's best estimate of the actual cost of preparing, administering, or grading the examination. If DORL approves an examination prepared, administered, and graded by a test service provider, the fee must be equal to DORL's best estimate of the actual cost of approving the examination, including selecting, evaluating, and reviewing the examination.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

