

**2001 DRAFTING REQUEST****Bill**Received: **12/30/2000**Received By: **champra**Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Administration-Budget**By/Representing: **Montgomery**This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **champra**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **State Finance - bud generally**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

DOA:.....Montgomery -

**Topic:**

State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

**Instructions:**

See Attached.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/P1	champra 01/23/2001	hhagen 01/24/2001	kfollet 01/24/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/24/2001		State
/1	champra 01/25/2001	wjackson 01/25/2001	pgreensl 01/25/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/25/2001		State
/2	champra 01/27/2001	hhagen 01/29/2001	pgreensl 01/29/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/29/2001		State
/3	champra 01/30/2001	hhagen 01/30/2001	rschluet 01/31/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/31/2001		State
/4	champra	gilfokm	jfrantze	_____	lrb_docadmin		State

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
	02/03/2001	02/03/2001	02/03/2001	_____	02/03/2001		

FE Sent For:

<END>

**2001 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: **12/30/2000**

Received By: **champra**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Administration-Budget**

By/Representing: **Montgomery**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **champra**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **State Finance - bud generally**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

DOA:.....Montgomery -

**Topic:**

State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

**Instructions:**

See Attached.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/P1	champra 01/23/2001	hhagen 01/24/2001	kfollet 01/24/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/24/2001		State
/1	champra 01/25/2001	wjackson 01/25/2001	pgreensl 01/25/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/25/2001		State
/2	champra 01/27/2001	hhagen 01/29/2001	pgreensl 01/29/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/29/2001		State
/3	champra 01/30/2001	hhagen 01/30/2001	rschluet 01/31/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/31/2001		State

*1/4-2/3-01  
1/4-Rmg  
2/3  
2/3*

FE Sent For:

**<END>**

**2001 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 12/30/2000

Received By: champra

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Montgomery

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: champra

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: State Finance - bud generally

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

DOA:.....Montgomery -

**Topic:**

State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

**Instructions:**

See Attached.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/P1	champra 01/23/2001	hhagen 01/24/2001	kfollet 01/24/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/24/2001		State
/1	champra 01/25/2001	wjackson 01/25/2001	pgreensl 01/25/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/25/2001		State
/2	champra 01/27/2001	hhagen 01/29/2001	pgreensl 01/29/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/29/2001		State

*13 hnt  
1/30/01*

*[Signature]*  
1-30-1

*[Signature]*  
1-31-1

FE Sent For:

<END>

### 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

#### Bill

Received: 12/30/2000

Received By: champra

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Montgomery

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: champra

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: State Finance - bud generally

Extra Copies:

#### Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Montgomery -

#### Topic:

State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

#### Instructions:

See Attached.

#### Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/P1	champra 01/23/2001	hhagen 01/24/2001	kfollet 01/24/2001	<del>_____</del> <i>hg</i>	lrb_docadmin 01/24/2001		State
/1	champra 01/25/2001	wjackson 01/25/2001	pgreensl 01/25/2001	<del>_____</del> <i>PS/B</i>	lrb_docadmin 01/25/2001		State

FE Sent For:

*12 hmk  
1/29/01  
1/29  
PG*

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/2000

Received By: champra

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Montgomery

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: champra

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: State Finance - bud generally

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Montgomery -

Topic:

State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

Instructions:

See Attached.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/P1	champra 01/23/2001	hhagen 01/24/2001	kfollet 01/24/2001	<u>1/25</u>	lrb_docadmin 01/24/2001		State

FE Sent For:

1 WLj 1/25

Yes  
P8

P8/IS

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/2000

Received By: champra

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Montgomery

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: champra

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: State Finance - bud generally

Extra Copies: JTK

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Montgomery -

Topic:

State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

Instructions:

See Attached.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	champra	1P1 kmh 1/24/01	KJL 1/24	KJL/RS 01/24			


FE Sent For:

<END>



**Date:** December 21, 2000

**To:** Steve Miller, Chief  
Legislative Reference Bureau

**From:** John Montgomery,  Assistant Administrator  
Division of Executive Budget and Finance

**Subject:** Budget Bill Draft

The Governor's budget will likely recommend that a permanent budget reduction be made in selected state agencies' state operations appropriations. The dollar amount of the reduction will vary from agency to agency. (I'll forward a list of the specific agencies and the exact amounts later.) Virtually all the reductions will occur in GPR appropriations. We may also do the same for the SEG state operations of DNR, DOT and the Lottery. The reduction will be taken from each agency's largest state operations appropriation in the Chapter 20 schedule, so an actual base reduction will occur in the Governor's bill. However, no language is needed to effectuate the cut in the Chapter 20 schedule. It will simply be a reduction in the schedule.

For most of the agencies affected by this reduction, the Executive Budget Book would state this intent by including the following provision:

"Reduce the agency's largest GPR state operations appropriation in the amounts shown to create additional operational efficiencies. If within 90 days of the publication of the budget bill the agency submits a plan to the Department of Administration and the Joint Committee on Finance to reallocate some or all of the reductions to other sum certain state operations appropriations within the agency, the Secretary of the Department of Administration will forward any approved reallocation plans to the Joint Committee on Finance for their review and approval".

We would need statutory language to effectuate this procedure so please draft the following provisions for the Governor's 2001-03 budget bill:

1. A non-statutory provision displaying a table with the specific amount of reductions shown by fiscal year, for each affected agency. The table will show total GPR (or SEG) reductions by appropriation.
2. The agency will have flexibility to propose reallocating these reductions. If an agency wants to recommend allocating some or all of these reductions to one or more of their other sum certain GPR state operations appropriations, they may do so by submitting a plan to the secretary of administration and the Joint Committee on Finance within 90 days of the budget bill's publication. The plan is approved if the secretary of administration forwards it to the Joint Committee on Finance and the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary of administration that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the plan within 14 working days after the date of the plan's submittal by the secretary of

administration. If within 14 working days after the date of the plan's submittal, the cochairpersons of the committee schedule a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the committee shall approve, disapprove or modify the plan at the scheduled meeting. If the committee approves or modifies the plan, the amounts specified in the plan shall be allocated as approved or modified by the committee.

3. This will be a passive review type process similar to that under s.16.505 or s.16.515. No change from the allocation in the budget could be made without the approval of both the secretary of administration and the Joint Committee on Finance.
4. All reductions must be to sum certain appropriations.
5. If an agency has only one sum certain state ops appropriation, the agency would not be included in the table since the reduction could only be made to that same appropriation.

## Miller, Steve

---

**From:** Montgomery, John  
**Sent:** Monday, January 22, 2001 12:29 PM  
**To:** Miller, Steve  
**Subject:** FW: LRB Budget Bill Draft

This e-mail pertains to LRB 1718/P1. I don't know who this has been assigned to but this e-mail provides the numbers to accompany the intent instructions I sent you in a December 21, 2000 memo.

Use the attached spreadsheet to fill in the table to effectuate the reallocation provision for across-the-board cuts to GPR state operations appropriations being recommended in the Governor's budget. The numbers in the far right column represent the cuts the State Budget Office will make to each agency's largest GPR state ops appropriation. The numbers are rounded to the nearest one hundred dollars as shown, as we will cut them in the Ch. 20 schedule and as the LRB should include in the bill draft. However, the agencies are grouped into four groups:

- Agencies that will be Included in the bill draft because they have the ability to reallocate the cut to other GPR state ops appropriations, i.e., they have more than one though we are taking the cut in just one.
- Agencies that won't be in the bill draft because they only have one GPR state ops appropriation and therefore, have no ability to reallocate the reduction to another GPR state operations appropriation in their agency.
- An agency that won't be in the bill because it only has sum sufficient GPR state ops appropriations, i.e., the Governor's Office, and there is no point in reallocating a cut when there is no allotment control over the sum sufficient appropriations anyway.
- Agencies that won't be in the bill because they are not in the executive branch of government and are not considered within the definition of state agency.

Again, only those in the first group will show up in the ATB cuts bill draft for the Governor's bill due to the circumstances described above. Please call me if you or the drafter would like to discuss this. Actually, let me know who the drafter is. Thanks.



Basecut2.xls

Calculation of GPR Agency 5% Base Reduction Amounts

Basecut

No.	Dept. Name	GPR Adj Base	GPR Base St Ops	GPR Ops Debt	GPR Base Utilities	Base Reduction Basis	5% Reduction	Required Reduction
<b>Agencies in the bill draft</b>								
115	Agriculture	28,477,500	20,548,200	284,700		20,263,500	1,013,175	1,013,200
143	Commerce	21,886,400	8,234,800	0		8,234,800	411,740	411,700
225	Educational Communications Board	7,276,200	6,946,200	845,300	425,200	5,675,700	283,785	283,800
245	Historical Society	12,167,000	12,167,000	1,309,900	340,200	10,516,900	525,845	525,800
255	Public Instruction	4,491,371,800	29,561,200	1,130,000	348,000	28,083,200	1,404,160	1,404,200
285	University of Wisconsin System	1,015,282,900	1,004,569,900	80,313,700	42,267,000	881,989,200	44,099,460	6,345,000 a
292	Wisconsin Technical College System	145,268,100	3,456,700	0		3,456,700	172,835	172,800
370	Natural Resources	168,858,700	73,075,900	23,593,800	0	40,482,100	2,474,105	2,474,100
380	Tourism	11,957,100	11,957,100	0		11,957,100	597,855	597,900
410	Corrections	764,363,700	654,099,400	59,753,500	9,882,700	584,463,200	29,223,160	1,756,300 b
435	Health and Family Services	1,781,054,400	173,187,700	10,469,900	2,008,100	160,709,700	8,035,485	8,035,500
445	Workforce Development	234,339,000	45,397,500	0		45,397,500	2,269,875	502,600 c
455	Justice	38,721,700	35,400,400	0		35,400,400	1,770,020	1,770,000
465	Military Affairs	19,807,300	12,193,900	2,993,600	1,518,600	7,681,500	384,075	384,100
485	Veteran Affairs	2,544,700	2,544,700	1,421,100	38,000	1,085,600	54,280	54,300
505	Administration	27,535,900	14,479,600	98,800		14,380,800	719,040	719,000
512	Employment Relations Department	6,097,000	6,097,000	0		6,097,000	304,850	304,900
550	Public Defender Board	64,738,600	64,738,600	0		64,738,600	3,236,930	3,236,900
566	Revenue	84,326,000	84,326,000	0		84,326,000	4,216,300	4,216,300
585	State Treasurer	163,400	163,400	0		163,400	8,170	8,200
<b>Agencies not in the bill draft - only state ops appropriation</b>								
215	Arts Board	2,555,200	344,900	0		344,900	17,245	17,200
235	Higher Educational Aids Board	63,858,400	784,900	0		784,900	39,245	39,200
275	TEACH Board	42,624,100	3,624,100	2,921,900		702,200	35,110	35,100
425	Employment Relations Commission	2,783,300	2,783,300	0		2,703,900	139,165	139,200
432	Board on Aging	628,500	628,500	0		628,500	31,425	31,400
434	Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention and Pregnancy Services	111,000	23,100	0		23,100	1,155	1,200
510	Elections Board	913,800	913,800	0		913,800	45,690	45,700
521	Ethics Board	236,500	236,500	0		236,500	11,825	11,800
540	Office of the Lieutenant Governor	525,600	525,600	0		525,600	26,280	26,300
547	Personnel Commission	897,700	897,700	0		897,700	44,885	44,900
525	Office of the Governor	3,425,600	3,397,600	0		3,397,600	169,880	169,900
<b>Agencies not in the bill draft - only state ops appropriation</b>								
625	Circuit Courts	77,493,100	53,826,200	0		53,826,200	2,691,310	2,691,300
660	Court of Appeals	7,892,100	7,892,100	0		7,892,100	394,606	394,600
665	Judicial Commission	232,700	232,700	0		232,700	11,635	11,600
680	Supreme Court	10,340,100	10,340,100	0		10,340,100	517,005	517,000
765	Legislature	60,328,900	60,328,900	0		60,328,900	3,016,445	3,016,400
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>9,200,544,000</b>	<b>2,409,925,200</b>	<b>185,136,200</b>	<b>56,828,000</b>	<b>2,167,961,000</b>	<b>108,398,050</b>	<b>41,409,400</b>

\* Agency has only one state ops appropriation

\*\* Agency has only sum sufficient st. ops. appropriations

\*\*\* Not state agency per se

a) 5% reduction to System, campus and extension admin only (from red book)

b) 5% reduction to DOC central office costs only

c) 5% reduction to DWD base less DES & DVR



State of Wisconsin  
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/P1

RAC: /.....  
hmk

DOA:.....Montgomery State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

*Do NOT SIGN*

1

AN ACT...; relating to: the budget.

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

**STATE GOVERNMENT**

**STATE FINANCE**

This bill requires that certain state agencies reduce from the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue certain amounts in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

The state agencies affected by the bill are <sup>the</sup> DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, <sup>the</sup> DVA, DOA, DER, and DOR, public defender board, ~~office of the state treasurer,~~ UW System, the Wisconsin technical college system, the departments of commerce and tourism, the educational communications board (ECB), and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

**SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other.**

(1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.

(3) (a) *Appropriations reductions.* Except as provided in ~~par~~ (b), <sup>paragraph</sup> the following state agencies shall reduce from the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue the following amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

State Agency	Amount of Reduction	
	2001-02 Fiscal Year	2002-03 Fiscal Year
Agriculture, department of	\$1,013,200	\$1,013,200
Commerce, department of	411,700	411,700
Educational communications board	283,800	283,800
Historical society	525,800	525,800
Public instruction, department of	1,404,200	1,404,200
University of Wisconsin System	6,345,000	6,345,000
Wisconsin technical college system	172,800	172,800
Natural resources, department of	2,474,100	2,474,100
Tourism, department of	597,900	597,900
Corrections, department of	1,756,300	1,756,300

1	Health and family services, department of	8,035,500	8,035,500
2	Workforce development, department of	502,600	502,600
3	Justice, department of	1,770,000	1,770,000
4	Military affairs, department of	384,100	384,100
5	Veteran affairs, department of	54,300	54,300
6	Administration, department of	719,000	719,000
7	Employment relations, department of	304,900	304,900
8	Public defender board	3,236,900	3,236,900
9	Revenue, department of	4,216,300	4,216,300
10	State treasurer	8,200	8,200

LPS: check spacing here

11 ~~(b)~~ (b) Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration. No later than  
 12 90 days after the effective date of this act, any state agency specified in ~~par~~ (a) may <sup>paragraph</sup>  
 13 submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the <sup>car</sup>  
 14 agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain appropriations for  
 15 state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary <sup>paragraph</sup>  
 16 does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in ~~par~~  
 17 (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint  
 18 committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the  
 19 secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing  
 20 the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal,  
 21 the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days  
 22 after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify  
 23 the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of

1 reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is  
2 approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

3 (END)



## Champagne, Rick

---

**From:** Montgomery, John  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 24, 2001 7:36 PM  
**To:** Champagne, Rick  
**Subject:** LRB 1718/P1

I'm faxing you my suggested changes to this. My main concern is that the reduction shown in the draft will already have been taken in the ch. 20 schedule in the budget bill. All the 1718 procedure does is establish a process for how they agency can reallocate the cut. The cut is that specified in 1718, but the budget will already have been reduced by that much (in the affected agencies' largest GPR state ops appropriation). I think my changes clarify this. Thanks.

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION  
 DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE  
 DCA-5167 N(R0999)

## FACSIMILE COVER MESSAGE

**CONFIDENTIALITY:** This facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information which is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law.

If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, use copying, or dissemination or distribution of the contents other than to the addressee of this communication, is strictly prohibited.

If you received this communication in error, notify the sender immediately by telephone. If required for confidential purposes, the sender will provide instructions for returning the FAX message by U.S. mail.

THE FACSIMILE MACHINE COPIES ONE SIDE OF DOCUMENT

TO		
Name	Rick Champagne	Facsimile Telephone Number
Location	100 N. Hamilton	Room Number 5th Floor
		Telephone Number 6-9930
FROM (Sender)		
Name	John Montgomery	Facsimile Telephone Number (608) 267-0372
Location	101 East Wilson Street, 10th Floor, Madison, WI 53702	Number of Pages including This Cover Sheet 4
		Telephone Number 6-1353

### COMMENTS / INSTRUCTIONS

Changes to LRB 1715. Please see me 1/24  
 7:30 PM e-mail. Call me

2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/P1

RAC:hmh:kjf

DOA:.....Montgomery - State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

STATE GOVERNMENT

STATE FINANCE

This bill requires that certain state agencies reduce from the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue certain amounts in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

s by specific amounts

X
X
X
X

2001 - 2002 Legislature

- 2 -

LRB-1718/P1  
RAC:hmh:kjf

The state agencies affected by the bill are DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, DVA, DOA, DER, and DOR, the public defender board, the UW System, the Wisconsin technical college system, the departments of commerce and tourism, the educational communications board (ECB), and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

***The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:***

**SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other.**

**(1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.**

**(a) Appropriations reductions.** Except as provided in paragraph (b), the following state agencies shall reduce from the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the <sup>following</sup> agency <sup>are reduced by</sup> from general purpose revenue the following amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

	<u>State Agency</u>	<u>Amount of Reduction</u>	
		<u>2001-02 Fiscal Year</u>	<u>2002-03 Fiscal Year</u>
7	Agriculture, department of	\$1,013,200	\$1,013,200
8	Commerce, department of	411,700	411,700
9	Educational communications board	283,800	283,800
10	Historical society	525,800	525,800
11	Public instruction, department of	1,404,200	1,404,200
12	University of Wisconsin System	6,345,000	6,345,000
13	Wisconsin technical college system	172,800	172,800
14	Natural resources, department of	2,474,100	2,474,100
15	Tourism, department of	597,900	597,900
16	Corrections, department of	1,756,300	1,756,300
17	Health and family services, department of	8,035,500	8,035,500

2001 - 2002 Legislature

- 3 -

LRB-1718/P1  
RAC:hmh:kjf  
SECTION 9159

1	Workforce development, department of	502,600	502,600
2	Justice, department of	1,770,000	1,770,000
3	Military affairs, department of	384,100	84,100
4	Veteran affairs, department of	54,300	54,300
5	Administration, department of	719,000	719,000
6	Employment relations, department of	304,900	304,900
7	Public defender board	3,236,900	3,236,900
8	Revenue, department of	4,216,300	4,216,300

9           (b) *Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration.* No later than  
10 90 days after the effective date of this act, any state agency specified in paragraph  
11 (a) may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the  
12 agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain appropriations for  
13 state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary  
14 does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in  
15 paragraph (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to  
16 the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify  
17 the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of  
18 reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's  
19 submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14  
20 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the  
21 committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the  
22 purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until  
23 it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

24

(END)



State of Wisconsin  
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/PI 1

RAC:hmh:km

RMR <sup>WJ</sup>

*SOA*

DOA:.....Montgomery State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

DO NOT GEN

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

*of certain state agencies*

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

STATE GOVERNMENT

STATE FINANCE

*by specific amounts*

This bill requires that certain state agencies reduce <sup>s</sup> from the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue ~~certain amounts~~ in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating <sup>the</sup> reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

The state agencies affected by the bill are DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, DVA, DOA, DER, and DOR, the public defender board, the UW System, the Wisconsin technical college system, the departments of commerce and tourism, the educational communications board (ECB), and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

**SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other. ✓**

**(1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.**

(a) *Appropriations reductions.* Except as provided in paragraph (b), the

following state agencies shall reduce from the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue, the following amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

State Agency	Amount of Reduction	
	2001-02 Fiscal Year	2002-03 Fiscal Year
Agriculture, department of ✓	\$1,013,200	\$1,013,200
Commerce, department of ✓	411,700	411,700
Educational communications board ✓	283,800	283,800
Historical society ✓	525,800	525,800
Public instruction, department of ✓	1,404,200	1,404,200
University of Wisconsin System ✓	6,345,000	6,345,000
Wisconsin technical college system board ✓	172,800	172,800
Natural resources, department of ✓	2,474,100	2,474,100
Tourism, department of ✓	597,900	597,900
Corrections, department of ✓	1,756,300	1,756,300
Health and family services, department of ✓	8,035,500	8,035,500

board of regents

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Board of Regents of

FL

FL

in the 2001-03 fiscal biennium there is reduced by

1	Workforce development, department of ✓		502,600		502,600
2	Justice, department of ✓		1,770,000		1,770,000
3	Military affairs, department of ✓		384,100		384,100
4	Veteran affairs, department of ✓		54,300		54,300
5	Administration, department of ✓	FL	719,000	FL	719,000
6	Employment relations, department of ✓		304,900		304,900
7	Public defender board ✓		3,236,900		3,236,900
8	Revenue, department of ✓		4,216,300		4,216,300

9 (b) *Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration.* No later than  
10 90 days after the effective date of this <sup>paragraph</sup> ~~act~~, any state agency specified in paragraph  
11 (a) may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the  
12 agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain appropriations for  
13 state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary  
14 does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in  
15 paragraph (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to  
16 the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify  
17 the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of  
18 reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's  
19 submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14  
20 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the cochairpersons of the  
21 committee notify the secretary that the committcc has scheduled a meeting for the  
22 purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until  
23 it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

(END)



## Champagne, Rick

---

**From:** Montgomery, John  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 27, 2001 7:34 AM  
**To:** Champagne, Rick  
**Subject:** LRB 1718

A few more small changes:

1. Please list the agencies alphabetically. This is how it was done four years ago and makes it easier to find a particular agency. Be sure to keep the associated cut amount.
2. Reduce the cut for Public Instruction in FY03 only to a total of \$1,122,600.
3. Reduce the cut for the Treasurer in FY03 only to a total of \$4,600.

Thank you.



State of Wisconsin  
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/1 2

RAC:hmh&wlj:pg

Stays  
RMR

seen

DOA:.....Montgomery – State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

Do not gen

1

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

**STATE GOVERNMENT**

**STATE FINANCE**

This bill reduces by specific amounts the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations of certain state agencies from general purpose revenue in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating the reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

The state agencies affected by the bill are DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, DVA, DOA, DER, and DOR, the public defender board, the UW System, board of regents, the technical college system board, the departments of commerce and tourism, the educational communications board, and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*


1 SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other.

2 (1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.

3 (a) *Appropriations reductions.* Except as provided in paragraph (b), the largest  
4 sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the following state agencies  
5 from general purpose revenue in the 2001-03 fiscal biennium is reduced by the  
6 amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

*Please see  
put agencies in  
alphabetical  
order.*

State Agency	Amount of Reduction	2001-02 Fiscal Year	2002-03 Fiscal Year
Agriculture, department of	Adm Ag	\$1,013,200	\$1,013,200
Commerce, department of	Comm Corrections	411,700	411,700
Educational communications board	Educator Care Bd Employment Relations Wages & Family Sec Historical Society	283,800	283,800
Historical society	Tech	525,800	525,800
Public instruction, department of	Widely Open National Home Public Defender Public Trust	1,404,200	<del>1,404,200</del> 1,122,600
University of Wisconsin System	Univ	6,345,000	6,345,000
Technical college system board	Tech Coll	172,800	172,800
Natural Resources Department	Nat Res	2,474,100	2,474,100
Tourism Department	Tourism	597,900	597,900
Corporation Development	Corp Dev	1,756,300	1,756,300



1	Health and family services, department of	8,035,500	8,035,500
2	Workforce development, department of	502,600	502,600
3	Justice, department of	1,770,000	1,770,000
4	Military affairs, department of	384,100	384,100
5	Veteran affairs, department of	54,300	54,300
6	Administration, department of	719,000	719,000
7	Employment relations, department of	304,900	304,900
8	Public defender board	3,236,900	3,236,900
9	Revenue, department of	4,216,300	4,216,300

10           (b) *Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration.* No later than  
11 90 days after the effective date of this paragraph, any state agency specified in  
12 paragraph (a) may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration  
13 concerning the agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain  
14 appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose  
15 revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the  
16 reductions as provided in paragraph (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she  
17 shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the  
18 committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting  
19 for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date  
20 of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the  
21 plan. If, within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the  
22 cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has  
23 scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may

1 not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as  
2 modified.

3 (END)

## Champagne, Rick

---

**From:** Montgomery, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 30, 2001 1:36 PM  
**To:** Champagne, Rick  
**Cc:** Grinde, Kirsten  
**Subject:** FW: LRB Draft: 01-1718/2 State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

Another change. With the proposed creation of the new Dept. of Parks and Forestry, the across-the-board reduction for DNR in FY03 should be \$2,045,900 and the reduction for Parks and Forestry should be \$428,200. The FY02 reduction for DNR is unchanged and Parks and Forestry would not yet exist. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Greenslet, Patty  
**Sent:** Monday, January 29, 2001 12:48 PM  
**To:** Montgomery, John  
**Cc:** Currier, Dawn; Hanaman, Cathlene; Haugen, Caroline  
**Subject:** LRB Draft: 01-1718/2 State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

Following is the PDF version of draft 01-1718/2.



01-1718/2



State of Wisconsin  
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/2 3

RAC:hmh&wlj:pg

Estays  
RMR

Joan

DOA:.....Montgomery – State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1

AN ACT <sup>do not gen</sup> ...; relating to: the budget.

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

**STATE GOVERNMENT**

**STATE FINANCE**

This bill reduces by specific amounts the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations of certain state agencies from general purpose revenue in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating the reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

*(circled) parks and forestry*

The state agencies affected by the bill are DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, DVA, DOA, DER, and DOR, the public defender board, the UW System, board of regents, the technical college system board, the departments of commerce and tourism, the educational communications board, and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

1           **SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other.**

2           (1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.

3           (a) *Appropriations reductions.* Except as provided in paragraph (b), the largest  
 4 sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the following state agencies  
 5 from general purpose revenue in the 2001-03 fiscal biennium is reduced by the  
 6 amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

		<b>Amount of Reduction</b>	
<b>State Agency</b>		<b>2001-02 Fiscal Year</b>	<b>2002-03 Fiscal Year</b>
7	Administration, department of	719,000	719,000
8	Agriculture, department of	\$1,013,200	\$1,013,200
9	Commerce, department of	411,700	411,700
10	Corrections, department of	1,756,300	1,756,300
11	Educational communications board	283,800	283,800
12	Employment relations, department of	304,900	304,900
13	Health and family services, department of	8,035,500	8,035,500
14	Historical society	525,800	525,800
15	Justice, department of	1,770,000	1,770,000
16	Military affairs, department of	384,100	384,100



Parks and forestry, department of - 0 - 428,200

2,045,900

1	Natural resources, department of	2,474,100	<del>2,474,100</del>
2	Public defender board	3,236,900	3,236,900
3	Public instruction, department of	1,404,200	1,122,600
4	Revenue, department of	4,216,300	4,216,300
5	Technical college system board	172,800	172,800
6	Tourism, department of	597,900	597,900
7	University of Wisconsin System, board of regents of	6,345,000	6,345,000
8			
9	Veteran affairs, department of	54,300	54,300
10	Workforce development, department of	502,600	502,600

11 (b) *Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration.* No later than  
 12 90 days after the effective date of this paragraph, any state agency specified in  
 13 paragraph (a) may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration  
 14 concerning the agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain  
 15 appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose  
 16 revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the  
 17 reductions as provided in paragraph (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she  
 18 shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the  
 19 committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting  
 20 for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date  
 21 of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the  
 22 plan. If, within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the  
 23 cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has  
 24 scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may

1 not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as  
2 modified.

3 (END)

~~XXXX~~ IF LRB 01-1512, <sup>creating</sup> creating the department of parks and forestry, is not included in the budget bill, then this subsection <sup>must</sup> must be redrafted

## Champagne, Rick

---

**From:** Montgomery, John  
**Sent:** Friday, February 02, 2001 6:05 PM  
**To:** Champagne, Rick  
**Subject:** LRB 1718/3

A few more changes. Hopefully these are it.

- ✓ 1. We are not going to create a new Dept. of Parks and Forestry. Thus, delete p.3 line 4, and increase the FY03 amount to be cut for DNR on line 3 to make the cut \$2,474,100 (the same as the FY02 cut).
- ✓ 2. Delete p.3, line 12 from the draft. Vets will be getting a different cut and will not be subject to the process in this draft.
- ✓ 3. On p.3 line 11, the UW's cut is \$6,345,000 in each year. I don't know where the figure came from that is in the current draft but I just noticed it and it is very wrong.

Thanks.



State of Wisconsin  
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/3  
RAC:hmh&wlj:rs

Today

RMR

DOA:.....Montgomery – State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

ETMg

1

AN ACT <sup>Don't GEN. CAT.</sup> relating to: the budget.

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

**STATE GOVERNMENT**

**STATE FINANCE**

This bill reduces by specific amounts the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations of certain state agencies from general purpose revenue in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating the reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.

The state agencies affected by the bill are DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, ~~DWA~~ DOA, DER, and DOR, the public defender board, the UW System, board of regents, the technical college system board, the departments of commerce, ~~parks and forests~~, and tourism, the educational communications board, and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

**SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other.**

(1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.

(a) *Appropriations reductions.* Except as provided in paragraph (b), the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the following state agencies from general purpose revenue in the 2001-03 fiscal biennium is reduced by the amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

*trade and consumer protection*

State Agency	Amount of Reduction	
	2001-02 Fiscal Year	2002-03 Fiscal Year
✓ Administration, department of	\$ 719,000	\$ 719,000
✓ Agriculture, department of	1,013,200	1,013,200
✓ Commerce, department of	411,700	411,700
✓ Corrections, department of	1,756,300	1,756,300
✓ Educational communications board	283,800	283,800
✓ Employment relations, department of	304,900	304,900
✓ Health and family services, department of	8,035,500	8,035,500
✓ Historical society	525,800	525,800

1	✓ Justice, department of	1,770,000	1,770,000
2	✓ Military affairs, department of	384,100	384,100
3	✓ Natural resources, department of	2,474,100	2,147,100 <del>2,045,900</del>
4	<del>Parks and forestry, department of</del>	-0-	428,200
5	✓ Public defender board	3,236,900	3,236,900
6	✓ Public instruction, department of	1,404,200	1,122,600
7	✓ Revenue, department of	4,216,300	4,216,300
8	✓ Technical college system board	172,800	172,800
9	✓ Tourism, department of	597,900	597,900
10	✓ University of Wisconsin System, board of		
11	regents of	6,345,000 <del>171,400</del>	6,345,000 <del>171,400</del>
12	<del>Veteran affairs, department of</del>	54,300	54,300
13	✓ Workforce development, department of	502,600	502,600

14 (b) *Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration.* No later than  
 15 90 days after the effective date of this paragraph, any state agency specified in  
 16 paragraph (a) may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration  
 17 concerning the agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain  
 18 appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose  
 19 revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the  
 20 reductions as provided in paragraph (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she  
 21 shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the  
 22 committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting  
 23 for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date  
 24 of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the

1 plan. If, within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the  
2 cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has  
3 scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may  
4 not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as  
5 modified.

6 ~~\*\*\*\*NOTE: If LRB-1512, creating the department of parks and forestry, is not  
included in the budget bill, then this subsection must be redrafted.~~

(END)



State of Wisconsin  
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1718/4  
RAC:hmb/wlj/kmg:jf

DOA:.....Montgomery – State agency budget reductions due to efficiency measures

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

---

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

**STATE GOVERNMENT**

**STATE FINANCE**

This bill reduces by specific amounts the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations of certain state agencies from general purpose revenue in each fiscal year. However, any such agency, no later than 90 days after the effective date of this bill, may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration concerning the agency's preference for allocating the reductions among sum certain appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the reductions as provided in the bill. If the secretary approves the plan, he or she shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the plan. If within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal the cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as modified.



The state agencies affected by the bill are DATCP, DPI, DNR, DOC, DHFS, DWD, DOJ, DMA, DOA, DER, and DOR, the public defender board, the UW System board of regents, the technical college system board, the departments of commerce and tourism, the educational communications board, and the historical society.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

**SECTION 9159. Nonstatutory provisions; other.**

(1) STATE AGENCY APPROPRIATIONS REDUCTIONS.

(a) *Appropriations reductions.* Except as provided in paragraph (b), the largest sum certain appropriation for state operations made to the following state agencies from general purpose revenue in the 2001–03 fiscal biennium is reduced by the amounts in each fiscal year indicated:

State Agency	Amount of Reduction	
	2001–02 Fiscal Year	2002–03 Fiscal Year
Administration, department of	\$ 719,000	\$ 719,000
Agriculture, trade and consumer protection, department of	1,013,200	1,013,200
Commerce, department of	411,700	411,700
Corrections, department of	1,756,300	1,756,300
Educational communications board	283,800	283,800
Employment relations, department of	304,900	304,900
Health and family services, department of	8,035,500	8,035,500
Historical society	525,800	525,800
Justice, department of	1,770,000	1,770,000

1	Military affairs, department of	384,100	384,100
2	Natural resources, department of	2,474,100	2,474,100
3	Public defender board	3,236,900	3,236,900
4	Public instruction, department of	1,404,200	1,122,600
5	Revenue, department of	4,216,300	4,216,300
6	Technical college system board	172,800	172,800
7	Tourism, department of	597,900	597,900
8	University of Wisconsin System, board of		
9	regents of	6,345,000	6,345,000
10	Workforce development, department of	502,600	502,600

11           (b) *Submission of alternative plan to secretary of administration.* No later than  
12 90 days after the effective date of this paragraph, any state agency specified in  
13 paragraph (a) may submit an alternative plan to the secretary of administration  
14 concerning the agency's preference for allocating reductions among sum certain  
15 appropriations for state operations made to the agency from general purpose  
16 revenue. If the secretary does not approve the plan, the agency shall make the  
17 reductions as provided in paragraph (a). If the secretary approves the plan, he or she  
18 shall submit the plan to the joint committee on finance. If the cochairpersons of the  
19 committee do not notify the secretary that the committee has scheduled a meeting  
20 for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan within 14 working days after the date  
21 of the secretary's submittal, the agency shall make the reductions specified in the  
22 plan. If, within 14 working days after the date of the secretary's submittal, the  
23 cochairpersons of the committee notify the secretary that the committee has  
24 scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed plan, the agency may

1 not implement the plan until it is approved by the committee, as submitted or as  
2 modified.

3 (END)