

State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0820/41 MES:kmg&cjsji

SDC:.....Keckhaver - CN1096, Implement the recommendations of the DOR tax incremental financing task force

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS SENATE AMENDMENT

TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

L	At the locations mulcated, amend the substitute amendment as follows.
2	1. Page 669, line 17: after that line insert:
3	"Section 2003s. 60.23 (32) of the statutes is created to read:
4	60.23 (32) Town tax increment powers. If the town is located in a county which
5	does not have any cities or villages, exercise all powers of cities under s. 66.1105. If
6	the town board exercises the powers of a city under s. 66.1105, it is subject to the same
7	duties as a common council under s. 66.1105 and the town is subject to the same
8	duties and liabilities as a city under s. 66.1105.".
9	✓2. Page 682, line 22: after that line insert:

66.1105 (2) (f) 2. d. Capital costs, as described in subd. 1. a., the expenditures

for which are from an escrow account of funds for such expenses that are expended

after the deadline for expenditures under sub. (6) (am) 1.

****Note: This subdivision paragraph attempts to execute your instruction # 5 "Infrastructure costs: timeframes" under "policy" proposals on page 14 of your memo. I don't believe that this statute is needed, however, because no city or village has the authority, under current law, to make such expenditures after the time periods described in s. 66 1105 (6) (am) 1. Unless you can demonstrate to me why this statute is necessary and how it prevents something that is allowable under current law, I will delete it from the next version of the bill.



Section 2029sb. 66.1105 (2) (f) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (2) (f) 3. Notwithstanding subd. 1., project costs may not include any expenditures made or estimated to be made or monetary obligations incurred or estimated to be incurred by the city for newly platted residential development for any tax incremental district for which a project plan is approved after September 30, 1995, or for which an amendment of a project plan is approved after the effective date of this subdivision [revisor inserts date].

SECTION 2029sc. 66.1105 (2) (i) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (2) (i) "Tax increment" means that amount obtained by multiplying the total county, city, school and other local general property taxes levied on all taxable property within a tax incremental district in a year by a fraction having as a numerator the value increment for that year in the district and as a denominator that year's equalized value of all taxable property in the district. In any year, a tax increment is "positive" if the value increment is positive; it is "negative" if the value increment is negative. With regard to a tax incremental district that has been declared an industrial district under sub. (4) (gm) 6., the calculation under this paragraph may not include the value of any residential property and may not include the value of any improved property on which more than 35% of the improved square

footage is devoted to retail operations, including any storage areas or warehouses

that contain merchandise that could be sold on-site at retail as part of an on-site

retail operation.

instruction # 15 under "policy" proposals, but the language seems to be somewhat vague. For example, I'm not sure what it means for a warehouse to "harbor" merchandise. I did not include DOR's staff's suggestion related to s. 70.995 (1) (a) because that suggestion did not make it into the actual "Resolved:" section of instruction # 15. Is this OK?

SECTION 2029sd. 66.1105 (2) (j) of the statutes is amended to read:

by the department of revenue, of all taxable property located within a tax incremental district on the date as of which the district is created, determined as provided in sub. (5) (b). The base of districts created before October 1, 1980, does not include the value of property exempted under s. 70.111 (17). With regard to a tax incremental district that has been declared an industrial district under sub. (4) (gm) 6., the calculation under this paragraph may not include the value of any residential property and may not include the value of any improved property on which more than 35% of the improved square footage is devoted to retail operations, including any storage areas or warehouses that contain merchandise that could be sold on—site at retail as part of an on—site retail operation.

Section 2029se. 66.1105 (3) (g) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (3) (g) Create a standing joint review board that may remain in existence for the entire time that any tax incremental district exists in the city. All of the provisions that apply to a joint review board that is convened under sub. (4m) (a) apply to a standing joint review board that is created under this paragraph. A city may disband a joint review board that is created under this paragraph at any time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

****NOTE: Instruction # 26 under the "policy" proposals did not specify whether a city could disband a standing joint review board. Is the last sentence in sub. (3) (g) OK?

SECTION 2029sf. 66.1105 (4) (gm) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (gm) 1. Describes the boundaries, which may, but need not, be the same as those recommended by the planning commission, of a tax incremental district with sufficient definiteness to identify with ordinary and reasonable certainty the territory included in the district. The boundaries of the tax incremental district may not include any territory that was not within the boundaries of the city on January 1, 2000, unless 3 years have elapsed since the territory was annexed by the city or unless the city enters into a cooperative plan boundary agreement, under s. 66.0307, with the town from which the territory was annexed. If the city enters into a cooperative plan boundary agreement under s. 66.0307 with the town, the city may compensate the town for tax revenues lost by the town as a result of annexation. The boundaries shall include only those whole units of property as are assessed for general property tax purposes. Property standing vacant for an entire 7-year period immediately preceding adoption of the resolution creating a tax incremental district may not comprise more than 25% of the area in the tax incremental district, unless the tax incremental district is suitable for industrial sites under subd. 4. a. and the local legislative body implements an approved project plan to promote industrial development within the meaning of s. 66.1101. In this subdivision, "vacant property" includes property where the fair market value or replacement cost value of structural improvements on the parcel is less than the fair market value of the land. In this subdivision, "vacant property" does not include property acquired by the local legislative body under ch. 32 or property included within the abandoned Park East freeway corridor or the abandoned Park West freeway corridor in Milwaukee County.

TIDs," but I have no idea what the instructions mean when they refer to "a border agreement" and "a boundary agreement." Is the cross reference to s. 66.0307 what you had in mind? If not, please let me know what kind of "border agreement" or "boundary agreement" you are thinking of.

Section 2029sg. 66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. Either the equalized value of taxable property of the district plus all existing districts does not exceed 7% of the total equalized value of taxable property within the city or the equalized value of taxable property of the district plus the value increment of all existing districts within the city does not exceed 5% of the total equalized value of taxable property within the city. The calculations required under this subd. 4. c. shall be based on the most recent values of taxable property of the district that are certified by the department of revenue as of the year in which a resolution is adopted under this paragraph.

Section 2029sh. 66.1105 (4) (gm) 6. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4) (gm) 6. Declares that the district is a blighted area district, a rehabilitation or conservation district, or an industrial district, based on the identification and classification of the property included within the district under par. (c) and subd. 4. a. If the district is not exclusively blighted, rehabilitation or conservation, or industrial, the declaration under this subdivision shall be based on which classification is predominant with regard to the area described in subd. 4. a.

Section 2029si. 66.1105 (4) (h) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (h) 2. Except as provided in subds. 3. and 4., not more than once during the 10 years after the creation of a tax incremental district that was created before October 1, 1995 or 7 years after the date on which any other tax incremental district is created, the planning commission may adopt an amendment to a project plan under subd. 1. to modify the district's boundaries by subtracting territory from

the district or by adding territory to the district that is contiguous to the district and that is served by public works or improvements that were created as part of the district's project plan. Expenditures for project costs that are incurred because of an amendment to a project plan to which this subdivision applies may be made for not more than 3 years after the date on which the local legislative body adopts a resolution amending the project plan.

***NOTE: I believe that this amendment of s. 66.1105 (4) (h) 2. addresses your instruction # 19 under "policy" proposals, but I'm not sure what the first part of the instruction means when it says that the 10-year amendment period "is to apply only to those TIDS... [that] have identical overlying taxing jurisdictions." Identical to what? Sensequently, Ldid not address this instruction in the amendment of sub. (4) (h) 2.

SECTION 2029sj. 66.1105 (4m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4m) (a) Any city that seeks to create a tax incremental district or amend a project plan shall convene a temporary joint review board under this paragraph, or a standing joint review board under sub. (3) (g), to review the proposal. The Except as provided in par. (am), the board shall consist of one representative chosen by the school district that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, one representative chosen by the technical college district that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, one representative chosen by the county that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, one representative chosen by the city and one public member. If more than one school district, more than one union high school district, more than one elementary school district, more than one technical college district or more than one county has the power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, the unit in which is located property of the tax incremental district that has the greatest value shall choose that representative to the board. The public member and the board's chairperson shall be selected by a

majority of the other board members before the public hearing under sub. (4) (a) or (h) 1. is held. All board members shall be appointed and the first board meeting held within 14 days after the notice is published under sub. (4) (a) or (h) 1. Additional meetings of the board shall be held upon the call of any member. The city that seeks to create the tax incremental district or to amend its project plan shall provide administrative support for the board. By majority vote, the board may disband following approval or rejection of the proposal, unless the board is a standing board that is created by the city under sub. (3) (g).

SECTION 2029sk. 66.1105 (4m) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (am) If a city seeks to create a tax incremental district that is located in a union high school district, the seat that is described under par. (a) for the school board representative to the board shall be held by 2 representatives, each of whom has one—half of a vote. One representative shall be chosen by the union high school district that has the power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district and one representative shall be chosen by the elementary school district that has the power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district.

SECTION 2029sL. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. Except as provided in subd. 2m. and subject to subd. 4., no tax incremental district may be created and no project plan may be amended unless the board approves the resolution adopted under sub. (4) (gm) or (h) 1. by a majority vote not less than 10 days nor more than 30 14 days after receiving the resolution. The board may not approve the resolution under this subdivision unless the board's approval contains a positive assertion that, in its judgment, the development

described in the documents the board has reviewed under subd. 1. would not occur without the creation of a tax incremental district.

SECTION 2029sm. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m. The requirement under subd. 2. that a vote by the board take place not less than 10 days nor more than 30 14 days after receiving a resolution does not apply to a resolution amending a project plan under sub. (4) (h) 1. if the resolution relates to a tax incremental district, the application for the redetermination of the tax incremental base of which was made in 1998, that is located in a village that was incorporated in 1912, has a population of at least 3,800 and is located in a county with a population of at least 108,000.

amendment in sub. (4m) (b) 2., achieve your intent? Instruction # 22 in the "policy" proposals refers to "the 30 day period between approval of a Project Plan by the City Plan Commission and approval by the City-Gouncil", but no such time period exists in s. 66.1105. The only-time period of "not less than 10 days nor more than 30 days" is in s. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. and 2m.

SECTION 2029sn. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 4. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 4. Not later than 5 working days after submitting its decision under subd. 3., any member of the board may request that the department of revenue review any of the documents listed in subd. 1. to determine whether the information submitted to the board complies with this section or whether any of the information contains a factual inaccuracy. The request must be in writing and must specify which particular fact or item the member believes is incomplete or inaccurate. Not later than 5 working days after receiving a request that complies with the requirements of this subdivision, the department of revenue shall investigate the issues raised in the request and shall send its written response to the board. If the department of revenue determines that the information in the proposal does not comply with this section or contains a factual inaccuracy, the department shall return the proposal to

the board. The board shall request that the city resolve the problems in its proposal and resubmit the proposal to the board. The board shall review the resubmitted proposal and vote to approve or deny the proposal as specified in this paragraph.

requested. The instructions said that the board shall correct any problems that are uncovered, but it is the city's proposal that contains the errors. I required the city to correct the errors and resubmit the proposal. See item #4 in the drafter's note.

Section 2029so. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 5. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 5. The board shall notify prospectively the governing body of every local governmental unit that is not represented on the board, and that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, of meetings of the board and of the agendas of each meeting for which notification is given.

****Note: Does this subdivision meet your intent? I don't know how the joint review board could give prospective notice of its actions, however, so I did not execute this instruction

SECTION 2029sp. 66.1105 (4m) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (d) During the 15th year of the tax incremental district's existence, the board may recommend to the department of revenue that a tax incremental district that is suitable for industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. be allowed to remain in existence for up to 5 years after the date on which it would otherwise be required to terminate, for a total of up to 10 years after the last expenditure in the district's project plan is made, as described in sub. (7) (ae). The board may make such a recommendation only if it reviews and reapproves the findings under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. and reapproves its decision under par. (c).

Section 2029sq. 66.1105 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (a) Upon Subject to sub. (8) (d), upon the creation of a tax incremental district or upon adoption of any amendment subject to par. (c), its tax incremental base shall be determined as soon as reasonably possible.

SECTION 2029sr. 66.1105 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (b) Upon application in writing by the city clerk, in a form prescribed by the department of revenue, the department shall determine according to its best judgment from all sources available to it the full aggregate value of the taxable property and, except as provided in par. (bm), of the city-owned property in the tax incremental district. The Subject to sub. (8) (d), the department shall certify this aggregate valuation to the city clerk, and the aggregate valuation constitutes the tax incremental base of the tax incremental district. The city clerk shall complete these forms, including forms for the amendment of a project plan, and submit the application or amendment forms on or before December 31 of the year the tax incremental district is created, as defined in sub. (4) (gm) 2. or, in the case of an amendment, on or before December 31 of the year in which the changes to the project plan take effect.

SECTION 2029st. 66.1105 (5) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (c) If the city adopts an amendment to the original project plan for any district which includes additional project costs at least part of which will be incurred after the period specified in sub. (6) (am) 1., the tax incremental base for the district shall be redetermined, if sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. applies to the amended project plan, by adding to the tax incremental base the value of the taxable property and the value of real property owned by the city, other than property described in par. (bm), that is added to the existing district under sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. or, if sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. does not apply to the amended project plan, under par. (b), as of the January 1 next preceding the effective date of the amendment if the amendment becomes effective between January 2 and September 30, as of the next subsequent January 1 if the amendment becomes effective between October 1 and December 31 and if the

effective date of the amendment is January 1 of any year, the redetermination shall be made on that date. The tax incremental base as redetermined under this paragraph is effective for the purposes of this section only if it exceeds the original tax incremental base determined under par. (b).

SECTION 2029su. 66.1105 (5) (ce) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (ce) If the city adopts an amendment, to which sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. applies, the tax incremental base for the district shall be redetermined, by adding to the tax incremental base the value of the taxable property and the value of real property owned by the city, other than property described in par. (bm), that is added to the existing district under sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4., as of the January 1 next preceding the effective date of the amendment if the amendment becomes effective between January 2 and September 30, as of the next subsequent January 1 if the amendment becomes effective between October 1 and December 31 and if the effective date of the amendment is January 1 of any year, the redetermination shall be made on that date. The tax incremental base as redetermined under this paragraph is effective for the purposes of this section only if it exceeds the original tax incremental base determined under par. (b).

SECTION 2029sv. 66.1105 (5) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (d) The department of revenue may not certify the tax incremental base as provided in par. (b) until it determines that each of the procedures and documents required by sub. (4) (a), (b), (gm) or (h) and par. (b) has been timely completed and all notices required under sub. (4) (a), (b), (gm) or (h) timely given. The facts supporting any document adopted or action taken to comply with sub. (4) (a), (b), (gm) or (h) are not subject to review by the department of revenue under this paragraph, except that notwithstanding the general prohibition against the

department's review of the facts supporting any document adopted or action taken to comply with sub. (4) (gm), the department may not certify the tax incremental base as provided in par. (b) until it reviews and approves of the findings that are described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c.

SECTION 2029sw. 66.1105 (6) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 66.1105 (6) (a) (intro.) and amended to read:

66.1105 (6) (a) (intro.) If the joint review board approves the creation of the tax incremental district under sub. (4m), positive tax increments with respect to a tax incremental district are allocated to the city which created the district for each year commencing after the date when a project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g). The department of revenue may not authorize allocation of tax increments until it determines from timely evidence submitted by the city that each of the procedures and documents required under sub. (4) (d) to (f) has been completed and all related notices given in a timely manner. The department of revenue may authorize allocation of tax increments for any tax incremental district only if the city clerk and assessor annually submit to the department all required information on or before the 2nd Monday in June. The facts supporting any document adopted or action taken to comply with sub. (4) (d) to (f) are not subject to review by the department of revenue under this paragraph. After the allocation of tax increments is authorized, the department of revenue shall annually authorize allocation of the tax increment to the city that created the district until the soonest of the following events:

- 1. The department of revenue receives a notice under sub. (8) and the notice has taken effect under sub. (8) (b), 27.
- 2. Twenty-seven years after the tax incremental district is created if the district is created before October 1, 1995, 38.

1	3. Thirty-eight years after the tax incremental district is created if the district
2	is created before October 1, 1995, and the project plan is amended under sub. (4) (h)
3	3. -or 23
4	4. Twenty-three years after the tax incremental district is created if the district
5	is created after September 30, 1995, whichever is sooner and before the effective
6	date of this subdivision [revisor inserts date].
7	SECTION 2029sx. 66.1105 (6) (a) 5. of the statutes is created to read:
8	66.1105 (6) (a) 5. Fifteen or 20 years, depending on the joint review board's
9	recommendation under sub. (4m) (d) and the department of revenue's action
LO	described under sub. (7) (ae), after the tax incremental district is created if the
11	district is created on or after the effective date of this subdivision [revisor inserts
12	date], and if the district is suitable for industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a.
	****Note: The amendment of s. 66.1105 (6) (a) and the ereation of sub. (6) (a) 5. and 6. is necessary because of your instruction to change the maximum life of an industrial TID to 15 or 20 years and because of the changes made in created sub. (6) (am) 1. c. See the second part of instruction # 12 in the "policy" proposals.
13	SECTION 2029sy. 66.1105 (6) (a) 6. of the statutes is created to read:
14	66.1105 (6) (a) 6. Twenty-six years after the tax incremental district is created
15	if the district is created on or after the effective date of this subdivision [revisor
16	inserts date], and if the district, under sub. (4) (gm) 6., is a blighted area district or
17	a rehabilitation or conservation district.
18	SECTION 2029sz. 66.1105 (6) (am) 1. of the statutes is renumbered 66.1105 (6)
19	(am) 1. a. and amended to read:
20	66.1105 (6) (am) 1. a. For a tax incremental district that is created after
21	September 30, 1995, and before the effective date of this subd. 1. a [revisor inserts
22	datel, no expenditure may be made later than 7 years after the tax incremental

<u>b.</u> For a tax incremental district that is created before October 1, 1995, no expenditure may be made later than 10 years after the tax incremental district is created, except that, for a tax incremental district that is created before October 1, 1995, and which receives tax increments under par. (d), no expenditure may be made later than 12 years after the tax incremental district is created.

SECTION 2029tag. 66.1105 (6) (am) 1. c. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (6) (am) 1. c. For a tax incremental district that is created on or after the effective date of this subd. 1. c. [revisor inserts date], all expenditures shall be substantially completed no later than 10 years after the tax incremental district is created, except that, with regard to a tax incremental district that has been declared an industrial district under sub. (4) (gm) 6., no expenditure may be made later than 10 years after the industrial tax incremental district is created.

proposal # 5, but it seems a little vague, and I'm not sure that the phrase "substantially completed" works with the language in subs. (5) (c) and (6m) (b) 2. Subsections (5) (c) and (6m) (b) 2. require the redetermination of a TID's base if an amendment to the project plan includes costs which at least in part are incurred "after the period specified in sub. (6) (am)." It seems to me that it sometimes may be hard to determine whether a TID's base needs to be redetermined; or when it is "12 months after the end of a period specified in sub. (am) 1." because a "period specified" connotes a definite period of time, rather than the more vague notion of whether something is "substantially" completed.

Section 2029tb. 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. d. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (6) (e) 1. d. The donor tax incremental district has in its special fund, as described under par. (c), sufficient revenues to pay for all project costs that have been incurred, or are expected to be incurred, under the project plan for that district.

Section 2029tc. 66.1105 (6) (e) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 2029td. 66.1105 (7) (ae) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (7) (ae) Notwithstanding par. (am), 5 years after the last expenditure identified in the project plan is made if the district to which the plan relates is created

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

on or after the effective date of this paragraph [revisor inserts date], and if the district is suitable for industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a., except that if the joint review board recommends under sub. (4m) (d) to the department of revenue that the district be allowed to continue in existence for up to an additional 5 years after the date on which the district would otherwise be required to terminate under this paragraph, and if the department of revenue agrees to the recommendation, such a district terminates up to 10 years after the last expenditure identified in the project plan is made.

****Note: The creation of sub. (7) (ae) relates to the second instruction in item # 12 of the "policy" proposals. I chose a 5 year termination date because under the changes in sub. (6) (am) 1. c., a THD created on or after the effective date of the bill may make expenditures for 10 years after the THD is created.

SECTION 2029te. 66.1105 (8) (title) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (8) (title) Notice of district termination, reporting requirements.

SECTION 2029tf. 66.1105 (8) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (8) (c) Not later than 60 days after a city transmits to the department of revenue the notice required under par. (a) the city shall send to the department, on a form prepared by the department, all of the following information that relates to the terminated tax incremental district:

- 1. A final accounting of all expenditures made by the city.
- 2. The total amount of project costs incurred by the city.
 - 3. The total amount of positive tax increments received by a city.

****Note: Does-subd. 3. meet your-intent? The instructions referred to "TIF revenues" and I'm not sure-what that means. Also, your instructions did not specify any time limit for the submission of the form. Is 60 days OK?

SECTION 2029tg. 66.1105 (8) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (8) (d) If a city does not send to the department of revenue the form specified in par. (c) within the time limit specified in par. (c), the department may not

certify the tax incremental base of a tax incremental district under sub. (5) (a) and (b) until the form is sent to the department.

Section 2029th. 66.1105 (15) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (15) Substantial compliance. Substantial compliance with subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m) by a city or village that creates, or attempts to create, a tax incremental district is sufficient to give effect to any proceedings conducted under this section if, in the opinion of the department of revenue, any error, irregularity, or informality that exists in the city's or village's attempts to comply with subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m) does not affect substantial justice. If the department of revenue determines that a city or village has substantially complied with subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m), the department of revenue shall determine the tax incremental base of the district, allocate tax increments, and treat the district in all other respects as if the requirements under subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m) had been strictly complied with based on the date that the resolution described under sub. (4) (gm) 2. is adopted.".

3. Page 836, line 24: after that line insert:

"Section 2205n. 73.03 (57) of the statutes is created to read:

73.03 (57) To create, and update, a manual on the tax incremental finance program under s. 66.1105. The manual shall contain the rules relating to the program, common problems faced by cities and villages under the program, possible side effects on the use of tax incremental financing, and any other information the department determines is appropriate. The department may consult with, and solicit the views of, any interested person while preparing or updating the manual.".

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4. Page 1281, line 6: after that line insert:

"Section 4034r. Laws of 1975, chapter 105, section 1 (1) and (2) are amended to read:

[Laws of 1975, chapter 105] Section 1 (1) The legislature finds that the existing system of allocating aggregate property tax revenues among tax levying municipalities has resulted in significant inequities and disincentives. The cost of public works or improvements within a city or, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages has been borne entirely by the city er, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages, while the expansion of tax base which is stimulated, directly or indirectly, by such improvements, benefits not only the city er, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages but also all municipalities which share such tax base. This situation is inequitable. Moreover, when the cost to a city or, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages of a public improvement project exceeds the future benefit to the city er. village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages resulting therefrom, the city or, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages may decide not to undertake such project. This situation has resulted in the postponement or cancellation of socially desirable projects.

(2) The legislature further finds that accomplishment of the vital and beneficial public purposes of sections 66.405 to 66.425, 66.43, 66.431, 66.435 and 66.52 of the statutes, is being frustrated because of a lack of incentives and financial resources. The purpose of this act is to create a viable procedure by which a city ex, village, or

town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages, through its own initiative and efforts, may finance projects which will tend to accomplish these laudable objectives.".

5. Page 1415, line 14: after that line insert:

"(7w) Tax incremental financing task force recommendations. The treatment of sections 60.23 (32), 66.1105 (2) (f) (20.20.3), (i), and (j), (3) (g), (4) (gm) 1., 4. c., and 6. and (h) 2., (4m) (a), (am), (b) 2., 2m., 4., and 5., and (d), (5) (a), (b), (c), (ce), and (d), (6) (am) 1. d. and 2., (7) (ae), (8) (title), (c), and (d), and (15), and 73.03 (57) of the statutes and chapter 105, laws of 1975, section 1 (1) and (2), the renumbering and amendment of section 66.1105 (6) (a) and (am) 1. of the statutes, and the creation of section 66.1105 (6) (a) 5. and 6. and (am) 1. c. of the statutes first apply to a tax incremental district that is created, or whose project plan is amended, on the effective date of this subsection."

(END)

SDC:.....Keckhaver – CN1096, Implement the recommendations of the DOR tax incremental financing task force

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS SENATE AMENDMENT

TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

2	1. Page 669, line 17: after that line insert:
3	"Section 2003s. 60.23 (32) of the statutes is created to read:
4	60.23 (32) TOWN TAX INCREMENT POWERS. If the town is located in a county which
5	does not have any cities or villages, exercise all powers of cities under s. 66.1105. If
6	the town board exercises the powers of a city under s. 66.1105, it is subject to the same
7	duties as a common council under s. 66.1105 and the town is subject to the same

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2. Page 682, line 22: after that line insert:

duties and liabilities as a city under s. 66.1105.".

1

8

9

10 "Section 2029sb. 66.1105 (2) (f) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (2) (f) 3. Notwithstanding subd. 1., project costs may not include any expenditures made or estimated to be made or monetary obligations incurred or estimated to be incurred by the city for newly platted residential development for any tax incremental district for which a project plan is approved after September 30, 1995, or for which an amendment of a project plan is approved after the effective date of this subdivision [revisor inserts date].

SECTION 2029sc. 66.1105 (2) (i) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (2) (i) "Tax increment" means that amount obtained by multiplying the total county, city, school and other local general property taxes levied on all taxable property within a tax incremental district in a year by a fraction having as a numerator the value increment for that year in the district and as a denominator that year's equalized value of all taxable property in the district. In any year, a tax increment is "positive" if the value increment is positive; it is "negative" if the value increment is negative. With regard to a tax incremental district that has been declared an industrial district under sub. (4) (gm) 6., the calculation under this paragraph may not include the value of any residential property and may not include the value of any improved property on which more than 35% of the improved square footage is devoted to retail operations, including any storage areas or warehouses that contain merchandise that could be sold on—site at retail as part of an on—site retail operation.

SECTION 2029sd. 66.1105 (2) (j) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (2) (j) "Tax incremental base" means the aggregate value, as equalized by the department of revenue, of all taxable property located within a tax incremental district on the date as of which the district is created, determined as provided in sub. (5) (b). The base of districts created before October 1, 1980, does not

incremental district that has been declared an industrial district under sub. (4) (gm) 6., the calculation under this paragraph may not include the value of any residential property and may not include the value of any improved property on which more than 35% of the improved square footage is devoted to retail operations, including any storage areas or warehouses that contain merchandise that could be sold on—site at retail as part of an on—site retail operation.

SECTION 2029se. 66.1105 (3) (g) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (3) (g) Create a standing joint review board that may remain in existence for the entire time that any tax incremental district exists in the city. All of the provisions that apply to a joint review board that is convened under sub. (4m) (a) apply to a standing joint review board that is created under this paragraph. A city may disband a joint review board that is created under this paragraph at any time.

SECTION 2029sf. 66.1105 (4) (gm) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (gm) 1. Describes the boundaries, which may, but need not, be the same as those recommended by the planning commission, of a tax incremental district with sufficient definiteness to identify with ordinary and reasonable certainty the territory included in the district. The boundaries of the tax incremental district may not include any territory that was not within the boundaries of the city on January 1, 2000, unless 3 years have elapsed since the territory was annexed by the city or unless the city enters into a cooperative plan boundary agreement, under s. 66.0307, with the town from which the territory was annexed. If the city enters into a cooperative plan boundary agreement under s. 66.0307 with the town, the city may compensate the town for tax revenues lost by the town as a result of annexation.

The boundaries shall include only those whole units of property as are assessed for general property tax purposes. Property standing vacant for an entire 7-year period immediately preceding adoption of the resolution creating a tax incremental district may not comprise more than 25% of the area in the tax incremental district, unless the tax incremental district is suitable for industrial sites under subd. 4. a. and the local legislative body implements an approved project plan to promote industrial development within the meaning of s. 66.1101. In this subdivision, "vacant property" includes property where the fair market value or replacement cost value of structural improvements on the parcel is less than the fair market value of the land. In this subdivision, "vacant property" does not include property acquired by the local legislative body under ch. 32 or property included within the abandoned Park East freeway corridor or the abandoned Park West freeway corridor in Milwaukee County.

SECTION 2029sg. 66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. Either the equalized value of taxable property of the district plus all existing districts does not exceed 7% of the total equalized value of taxable property within the city or the equalized value of taxable property of the district plus the value increment of all existing districts within the city does not exceed 5% of the total equalized value of taxable property within the city. The calculations required under this subd. 4. c. shall be based on the most recent values of taxable property of the district that are certified by the department of revenue as of the year in which a resolution is adopted under this paragraph.

SECTION 2029sh. 66.1105 (4) (gm) 6. of the statutes is created to read.

66.1105 (4) (gm) 6. Declares that the district is a blighted area district, a rehabilitation or conservation district, or an industrial district, based on the identification and classification of the property included within the district under

par. (c) and subd. 4. a. If the district is not exclusively blighted, rehabilitation or conservation, or industrial, the declaration under this subdivision shall be based on which classification is predominant with regard to the area described in subd. 4. a.

SECTION 2029si. 66.1105 (4) (h) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (h) 2. Except as provided in subds. 3. and 4., not more than once during the 10 years after the creation of a tax incremental district that was created before October 1, 1995 or 7 years after the date on which any other tax incremental district is created, the planning commission may adopt an amendment to a project plan under subd. 1. to modify the district's boundaries by subtracting territory from the district or by adding territory to the district that is contiguous to the district and that is served by public works or improvements that were created as part of the district's project plan. Expenditures for project costs that are incurred because of an amendment to a project plan to which this subdivision applies may be made for not more than 3 years after the date on which the local legislative body adopts a resolution amending the project plan.

SECTION 2029sj. 66.1105 (4m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4m) (a) Any city that seeks to create a tax incremental district or amend a project plan shall convene a temporary joint review board under this paragraph, or a standing joint review board under sub. (3) (g), to review the proposal. The Except as provided in par. (am), the board shall consist of one representative chosen by the school district that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, one representative chosen by the technical college district that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, one representative chosen by the county that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, one representative chosen by the city and one

public member. If more than one school district, more than one union high school district, more than one elementary school district, more than one technical college district or more than one county has the power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, the unit in which is located property of the tax incremental district that has the greatest value shall choose that representative to the board. The public member and the board's chairperson shall be selected by a majority of the other board members before the public hearing under sub. (4) (a) or (h) 1. is held. All board members shall be appointed and the first board meeting held within 14 days after the notice is published under sub. (4) (a) or (h) 1. Additional meetings of the board shall be held upon the call of any member. The city that seeks to create the tax incremental district or to amend its project plan shall provide administrative support for the board. By majority vote, the board may disband following approval or rejection of the proposal, unless the board is a standing board that is created by the city under sub. (3) (g).

SECTION 2029sk. 66.1105 (4m) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (am) If a city seeks to create a tax incremental district that is located in a union high school district, the seat that is described under par. (a) for the school board representative to the board shall be held by 2 representatives, each of whom has one—half of a vote. One representative shall be chosen by the union high school district that has the power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district and one representative shall be chosen by the elementary school district that has the power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district.

SECTION 2029sL. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. Except as provided in subd. 2m. and subject to subd. 4., no tax incremental district may be created and no project plan may be amended unless the board approves the resolution adopted under sub. (4) (gm) or (h) 1. by a majority vote not less than 10 days nor more than 30 14 days after receiving the resolution. The board may not approve the resolution under this subdivision unless the board's approval contains a positive assertion that, in its judgment, the development described in the documents the board has reviewed under subd. 1. would not occur without the creation of a tax incremental district.

SECTION 2029sm. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m. The requirement under subd. 2. that a vote by the board take place not less than 10 days nor more than 30 14 days after receiving a resolution does not apply to a resolution amending a project plan under sub. (4) (h) 1. if the resolution relates to a tax incremental district, the application for the redetermination of the tax incremental base of which was made in 1998, that is located in a village that was incorporated in 1912, has a population of at least 3,800 and is located in a county with a population of at least 108,000.

SECTION 2029sn. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 4. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 4. Not later than 5 working days after submitting its decision under subd. 3., any member of the board may request that the department of revenue review any of the documents listed in subd. 1. to determine whether the information submitted to the board complies with this section or whether any of the information contains a factual inaccuracy. The request must be in writing and must specify which particular fact or item the member believes is incomplete or inaccurate. Not later than 5 working days after receiving a request that complies with the requirements of this subdivision, the department of revenue shall investigate the issues raised in

the request and shall send its written response to the board. If the department of revenue determines that the information in the proposal does not comply with this section or contains a factual inaccuracy, the department shall return the proposal to the board. The board shall request that the city resolve the problems in its proposal and resubmit the proposal to the board. The board shall review the resubmitted proposal and vote to approve or deny the proposal as specified in this paragraph.

SECTION 2029so. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 5. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (b) 5. The board shall notify prospectively the governing body of every local governmental unit that is not represented on the board, and that has power to levy taxes on the property within the tax incremental district, of meetings of the board and of the agendas of each meeting for which notification is given.

SECTION 2029sp. 66.1105 (4m) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (4m) (d) During the 15th year of the tax incremental district's existence, the board may recommend to the department of revenue that a tax incremental district that is suitable for industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. be allowed to remain in existence for up to 5 years after the date on which it would otherwise be required to terminate, for a total of up to 10 years after the last expenditure in the district's project plan is made, as described in sub. (7) (ae). The board may make such a recommendation only if it reviews and reapproves the findings under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. and reapproves its decision under par. (c).

SECTION 2029sq. 66.1105 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (a) Upon Subject to sub. (8) (d), upon the creation of a tax incremental district or upon adoption of any amendment subject to par. (c), its tax incremental base shall be determined as soon as reasonably possible.

SECTION 2029sr. 66.1105 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (b) Upon application in writing by the city clerk, in a form prescribed by the department of revenue, the department shall determine according to its best judgment from all sources available to it the full aggregate value of the taxable property and, except as provided in par. (bm), of the city—owned property in the tax incremental district. The Subject to sub. (8) (d), the department shall certify this aggregate valuation to the city clerk, and the aggregate valuation constitutes the tax incremental base of the tax incremental district. The city clerk shall complete these forms, including forms for the amendment of a project plan, and submit the application or amendment forms on or before December 31 of the year the tax incremental district is created, as defined in sub. (4) (gm) 2. or, in the case of an amendment, on or before December 31 of the year in which the changes to the project plan take effect.

SECTION 2029st. 66.1105 (5) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (c) If the city adopts an amendment to the original project plan for any district which includes additional project costs at least part of which will be incurred after the period specified in sub. (6) (am) 1., the tax incremental base for the district shall be redetermined, if sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. applies to the amended project plan, by adding to the tax incremental base the value of the taxable property and the value of real property owned by the city, other than property described in par. (bm), that is added to the existing district under sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. or, if sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. does not apply to the amended project plan, under par. (b), as of the January 1 next preceding the effective date of the amendment if the amendment becomes effective between January 2 and September 30, as of the next subsequent January 1 if the amendment becomes effective between October 1 and December 31 and if the effective date of the amendment is January 1 of any year, the redetermination shall

be made on that date. The tax incremental base as redetermined under this paragraph is effective for the purposes of this section only if it exceeds the original tax incremental base determined under par. (b).

SECTION 2029su. 66.1105 (5) (ce) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (ce) If the city adopts an amendment, to which sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4. applies, the tax incremental base for the district shall be redetermined, by adding to the tax incremental base the value of the taxable property and the value of real property owned by the city, other than property described in par. (bm), that is added to the existing district under sub. (4) (h) 2., 3. or 4., as of the January 1 next preceding the effective date of the amendment if the amendment becomes effective between January 2 and September 30, as of the next subsequent January 1 if the amendment becomes effective between October 1 and December 31 and if the effective date of the amendment is January 1 of any year, the redetermination shall be made on that date. The tax incremental base as redetermined under this paragraph is effective for the purposes of this section only if it exceeds the original tax incremental base determined under par. (b).

SECTION 2029sv. 66.1105 (5) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (5) (d) The department of revenue may not certify the tax incremental base as provided in par. (b) until it determines that each of the procedures and documents required by sub. (4) (a), (b), (gm) or (h) and par. (b) has been timely completed and all notices required under sub. (4) (a), (b), (gm) or (h) timely given. The facts supporting any document adopted or action taken to comply with sub. (4) (a), (b), (gm) or (h) are not subject to review by the department of revenue under this paragraph, except that notwithstanding the general prohibition against the department's review of the facts supporting any document adopted or action taken

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to comply with sub. (4) (gm), the department may not certify the tax incremental base
as provided in par. (b) until it reviews and approves of the findings that are described
in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c.

SECTION 2029sw. 66.1105 (6) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 66.1105 (6) (a) (intro.) and amended to read:

66.1105 (6) (a) (intro.) If the joint review board approves the creation of the tax incremental district under sub. (4m), positive tax increments with respect to a tax incremental district are allocated to the city which created the district for each year commencing after the date when a project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g). The department of revenue may not authorize allocation of tax increments until it determines from timely evidence submitted by the city that each of the procedures and documents required under sub. (4) (d) to (f) has been completed and all related notices given in a timely manner. The department of revenue may authorize allocation of tax increments for any tax incremental district only if the city clerk and assessor annually submit to the department all required information on or before the 2nd Monday in June. The facts supporting any document adopted or action taken to comply with sub. (4) (d) to (f) are not subject to review by the department of revenue under this paragraph. After the allocation of tax increments is authorized, the department of revenue shall annually authorize allocation of the tax increment to the city that created the district until the soonest of the following events:

- 1. The department of revenue receives a notice under sub. (8) and the notice has taken effect under sub. (8) (b), 27.
- 2. Twenty-seven years after the tax incremental district is created if the district is created before October 1, 1995, 38.

1	3. Thirty-eight years after the tax incremental district is created if the district
2	is created before October 1, 1995, and the project plan is amended under sub. (4) (h)
3	3. or 23
4	4. Twenty-three years after the tax incremental district is created if the district
5	is created after September 30, 1995, whichever is sooner and before the effective
6	date of this subdivision [revisor inserts date].
7	SECTION 2029sx. 66.1105 (6) (a) 5. of the statutes is created to read:
8	66.1105 (6) (a) 5. Fifteen or 20 years, depending on the joint review board's
9	recommendation under sub. (4m) (d) and the department of revenue's action
10	described under sub. (7) (ae), after the tax incremental district is created if the
11	district is created on or after the effective date of this subdivision [revisor inserts
12	date], and if the district is suitable for industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a.
13	SECTION 2029sy. 66.1105 (6) (a) 6. of the statutes is created to read:
14	66.1105 (6) (a) 6. Twenty-six years after the tax incremental district is created
15	if the district is created on or after the effective date of this subdivision [revisor
16	inserts date], and if the district, under sub. (4) (gm) 6., is a blighted area district or
17	a rehabilitation or conservation district.
18	SECTION 2029sz. 66.1105 (6) (am) 1. of the statutes is renumbered 66.1105 (6)
19	(am) 1. a. and amended to read:
20	66.1105 (6) (am) 1. a. For a tax incremental district that is created after
21	September 30, 1995, and before the effective date of this subd. 1. a [revisor inserts
22	datel, no expenditure may be made later than 7 years after the tax incremental
23	district is created , and for .
24	b. For a tax incremental district that is created before October 1, 1995, no

expenditure may be made later than 10 years after the tax incremental district is

1	created, except that, for a tax incremental district that is created before October 1,
2	1995, and which receives tax increments under par. (d), no expenditure may be made
3	later than 12 years after the tax incremental district is created.

SECTION 2029tag. 66.1105 (6) (am) 1. c. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (6) (am) 1. c. For a tax incremental district that is created on or after the effective date of this subd. 1. c. [revisor inserts date], all expenditures shall be substantially completed no later than 10 years after the tax incremental district is created, except that, with regard to a tax incremental district that has been declared an industrial district under sub. (4) (gm) 6., no expenditure may be made later than 10 years after the industrial tax incremental district is created.

Section 2029tb. 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. d. of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (6) (e) 1. d. The donor tax incremental district has in its special fund, as described under par. (c), sufficient revenues to pay for all project costs that have been incurred, or are expected to be incurred, under the project plan for that district.

SECTION 2029tc. 66.1105 (6) (e) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 2029td. 66.1105 (7) (ae) of the statutes is created to read:

66.1105 (7) (ae) Notwithstanding par. (am), 5 years after the last expenditure identified in the project plan is made if the district to which the plan relates is created on or after the effective date of this paragraph [revisor inserts date], and if the district is suitable for industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a., except that if the joint review board recommends under sub. (4m) (d) to the department of revenue that the district be allowed to continue in existence for up to an additional 5 years after the date on which the district would otherwise be required to terminate under this paragraph, and if the department of revenue agrees to the recommendation, such a

1	district terminates up to 10 years after the last expenditure identified in the project
2	plan is made.
3	SECTION 2029te. 66.1105 (8) (title) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	66.1105 (8) (title) NOTICE OF DISTRICT TERMINATION, REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.
5	SECTION 2029tf. 66.1105 (8) (c) of the statutes is created to read:
6	66.1105 (8) (c) Not later than 60 days after a city transmits to the department
7 . ,	of revenue the notice required under par. (a) the city shall send to the department,
8	on a form prepared by the department, all of the following information that relates
9	to the terminated tax incremental district:
10	1. A final accounting of all expenditures made by the city.
11	2. The total amount of project costs incurred by the city.
12	3. The total amount of positive tax increments received by a city.
13	SECTION 2029tg. 66.1105 (8) (d) of the statutes is created to read:
14	66.1105 (8) (d) If a city does not send to the department of revenue the form
15	specified in par. (c) within the time limit specified in par. (c), the department may not
16	certify the tax incremental base of a tax incremental district under sub. (5) (a) and
17	(b) until the form is sent to the department.
18	SECTION 2029th. 66.1105 (15) of the statutes is created to read:
19	66.1105 (15) Substantial compliance. Substantial compliance with subs. (3),
20	(4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m) by a city or village that creates, or attempts
21	to create, a tax incremental district is sufficient to give effect to any proceedings
22	conducted under this section if, in the opinion of the department of revenue, any
23	error, irregularity, or informality that exists in the city's or village's attempts to
24	comply with subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m) does not affect

substantial justice. If the department of revenue determines that a city or village has

substantially complied with subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m), the department of revenue shall determine the tax incremental base of the district, allocate tax increments, and treat the district in all other respects as if the requirements under subs. (3), (4) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), and (4m) had been strictly complied with based on the date that the resolution described under sub. (4) (gm) 2. is adopted."

3. Page 836, line 24: after that line insert:

"Section 2205n. 73.03 (57) of the statutes is created to read:

73.03 (57) To create, and update, a manual on the tax incremental finance program under s. 66.1105. The manual shall contain the rules relating to the program, common problems faced by cities and villages under the program, possible side effects on the use of tax incremental financing, and any other information the department determines is appropriate. The department may consult with, and solicit the views of, any interested person while preparing or updating the manual."

4. Page 1281, line 6: after that line insert:

"SECTION 4034r. Laws of 1975, chapter 105, section 1 (1) and (2) are amended to read:

[Laws of 1975, chapter 105] Section 1 (1) The legislature finds that the existing system of allocating aggregate property tax revenues among tax levying municipalities has resulted in significant inequities and disincentives. The cost of public works or improvements within a city er, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages has been borne entirely by the city er, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages, while the expansion of tax base which is stimulated, directly or indirectly,

by such improvements, benefits not only the city ex, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages but also all municipalities which share such tax base. This situation is inequitable. Moreover, when the cost to a city ex, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages of a public improvement project exceeds the future benefit to the city ex, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages resulting therefrom, the city ex, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages not contain any cities or villages may decide not to undertake such project. This situation has resulted in the postponement or cancellation of socially desirable projects.

(2) The legislature further finds that accomplishment of the vital and beneficial public purposes of sections 66.405 to 66.425, 66.43, 66.431, 66.435 and 66.52 of the statutes, is being frustrated because of a lack of incentives and financial resources. The purpose of this act is to create a viable procedure by which a city er, village, or town that is located in a county that does not contain any cities or villages, through its own initiative and efforts, may finance projects which will tend to accomplish these laudable objectives.".

5. Page 1415, line 14: after that line insert:

"(7w) TAX INCREMENTAL FINANCING TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS. The treatment of sections 60.23 (32), 66.1105 (2) (f) 3., (i), and (j), (3) (g), (4) (gm) 1., 4. c., and 6. and (h) 2., (4m) (a), (am), (b) 2., 2m., 4., and 5., and (d), (5) (a), (b), (c), (ce), and (d), (6) (am) 1. d. and 2., (7) (ae), (8) (title), (c), and (d), and (15), and 73.03 (57) of the statutes and chapter 105, laws of 1975, section 1 (1) and (2), the renumbering and amendment of section 66.1105 (6) (a) and (am) 1. of the statutes, and the creation of section 66.1105

- 1 (6) (a) 5. and 6. and (am) 1. c. of the statutes first apply to a tax incremental district
- 2 that is created, or whose project plan is amended, on the effective date of this

3 subsection.".

4

(END)