

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-SB55)

Received: 06/15/2001

Received By: jkreye

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Senate Democratic Caucus

By/Representing: Keckhaver

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: jkreye

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Shared Revenue

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Requester's email:

Pre Topic:

SDC:.....Keckhaver - CN1105/5580,

Topic:

Shared revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	jkreye 06/17/2001	csicilia 06/17/2001	pgreensl 06/18/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/18/2001		
	jkreye 06/18/2001	csicilia 06/18/2001		_____			
/2			pgreensl 06/19/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/19/2001		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-SB55)

Received: **06/15/2001**

Received By: **jkreye**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Senate Democratic Caucus**

By/Representing: **Keckhaver**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **jkreye**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Shared Revenue**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **NO**

Requester's email:

Pre Topic:

SDC:.....Keckhaver - CN1105/5580,

Topic:

Shared revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	jkreye 06/17/2001	csicilia 06/17/2001	pgreensl 06/18/2001	6/19 Self	lrb_docadmin 06/18/2001		

FE Sent For:

1/2 cjs 6/18/01
 6/18/01 PG
 <END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-SB55)

Received: 06/15/2001

Received By: jkreye

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Senate Democratic Caucus

By/Representing: Keckhaver

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: jkreye

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Shared Revenue

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Requester's email:

Pre Topic:

SDC:.....Keckhaver - CN1105/5580,

Topic:

Shared revenue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	jkreye	1 6/17 01 cjs	6/18 p8	6/18 jeb			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Funding Positions	
GPR	\$9,304,600

1. SHARED REVENUE -- FUNDING LEVEL

Senate: Increase funding for the shared revenue program by \$9,304,600 in 2002-03. Set the distribution level for municipal payments at \$769,092,800 in 2002 and at \$776,783,700 in 2003 and thereafter. Set the distribution level for county payments at \$170,671,600 in 2002 and at \$172,378,300 in 2003 and thereafter. The additional funding represents annual increases of 1%. Because the 2003 distribution occurs in 2003-04, no fiscal effect for that increase is reflected in the 2001-03 biennium.

Funding Positions	
GPR	-\$

1. MUNICIPAL SHARED REVENUE DISTRIBUTION FORMULA

Senate: Require DOR to use the population amounts that it employed in its November, 2000, calculations of municipal shared revenue payments when it calculates corrections to those payments in 2001. Require DOR to use the population amounts that it employed in the September, 2000, payment estimates to calculate actual and corrected 2001 municipal shared revenue payments. Specify that each municipality's shared revenue payment for 2002 and 2003 be calculated by increasing its prior year payment by 1%. Specify that each municipality's shared revenue payment for 2004 and each subsequent year be set an amount equal to its payment in 2003.

*with regard to DOA rec'd in JCF budget:
delete reference to
munic to finance version
— county staff stays in*

IN

✓ Adopt Alternative 2A to Paper 826, which would provide a one percent annual shared revenue increases for 2002 and 2003. The municipal distribution would be \$769,092,800 in 2002 and \$776,783,700 in 2003. The county distribution would be \$170,671,600 in 2002 and \$172,378,300 in 2003. The GPR change to bill would be \$9,304,600 in 2002-2003.

✓ Adopt Alternative 3A to Paper 826, which would provide a one percent annual expenditure restraint increase for 2002 and 2003. The municipal distribution would be \$57,570 in 2002 and \$58,145,700 in 2003. The GPR change to bill would be \$570,000 in 2002-2003.

CP1105

✓ Adopt Alternative 4A to Paper 826, which would provide a one percent annual small municipalities shared revenue (SCIP) increase for 2002 and 2003. The municipal distribution would be \$11,110,000 in 2002 and \$11,221,100 in 2003. The GPR change to bill would be \$110,000.

Adopt Alternative 5A to Paper 826, which would provide a one percent annual county mandate relief increase for 2002 and 2003. The county distribution would be \$20,971,400 in 2002 and \$21,181,100 in 2003. The GPR change to bill would be \$207,600.

Adopt Alternative 6A to Paper 826, which would provide a one percent increase in annual payments for municipal services in 2001-2002 and 2002-2003. The appropriation level would be \$21,781,000 in 2001-2002 and \$21,998,800 in 2002-2003. The GPR change to bill would be \$215,700 in 2001-2002 and \$433,500 in 2002-2003. The revenue change to bill would be \$99,200 in 2001-2002 and \$199,400 in 2002-2003.

The GPR change to bill by adopting Alternatives 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A and 6A would be \$215,700 in 2001-2002 and \$10,625,700 in 2002-2003.

see 7525 - collecting

↓



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

May 29, 2001

Joint Committee on Finance

Paper #826

Direct Aid Payments -- Funding Level (Shared Revenue and Tax Relief -- Direct Aid Payments)

[LFB 2001-03 Budget Summary: Page 599]

CURRENT LAW

Shared revenue payments for 1995 and thereafter are set at \$761,478,000 for municipalities and \$168,981,800 for counties. Expenditure restraint payments for 2000 and thereafter are set at \$57,000,000. Small municipalities shared revenue (SCIP) payments for 2000 and thereafter are set at \$11,000,000. County mandate relief payments for 2000 and thereafter are set at \$20,763,800. The base funding level for payments for municipal services (PMS) is \$21,565,300. All of these programs are funded with GPR appropriations.

GOVERNOR

Maintain the same total funding level for this group of direct aid payments as in the base year.

DISCUSSION POINTS

1. The 2001 payments under the shared revenue, expenditure restraint, small municipalities shared revenue and county mandate relief programs will be made from the corresponding appropriations for 2000-01. Any increases provided for these programs would first apply to the 2002 distributions, which will be funded in 2002-03. Any increases for the 2003 distribution would be funded in 2003-04, the first year of the following biennium.

2. The 2001 payments under the PMS program will be made from the 2000-01 appropriation. Any increases in the distribution level for this program in 2002 or 2003 would be

funded in 2001-02 or 2002-03, respectively.

3. Agencies with non-GPR appropriations are charged for the non-GPR portion of payments for municipal services related to their facilities. These agency chargebacks are deposited in the general fund. Since the chargebacks typically equal about 46% of the PMS appropriation, any appropriation increases would be partially offset by chargeback increases.

4. Although funding for municipal and county shared revenue was last increased in 1995, 1999 Act 9 provided increases for the other programs, effective in 2000: expenditure restraint, 18.75%; small municipalities shared revenue, 10.00%; county mandate relief, 3.00%; and payments for municipal services, 19.37%.

ALTERNATIVES TO BASE

1. Take no action, and thereby maintain the current funding level for the shared revenue, expenditure restraint, small municipalities shared revenue, county mandate relief and payments for municipal services programs.

2. Provide annual shared revenue increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the municipal and county distributions and increase the shared revenue appropriation as shown below.

		<u>Municipal Distribution</u>		<u>County Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$769,092,800	\$776,783,700	\$170,671,600	\$172,378,300	\$0	\$9,304,600
b.	2.0	776,707,600	792,241,800	172,361,400	175,808,600	0	18,609,200
c.	3.0	784,322,300	807,852,000	174,051,300	179,272,800	0	27,913,800

3. Provide annual expenditure restraint increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the municipal distribution and increase the expenditure restraint appropriation as shown below.

		<u>Municipal Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$57,570,000	\$58,145,700	\$0	\$570,000
b.	2.0	58,140,000	59,302,800	0	1,140,000
c.	3.0	58,710,000	60,471,300	0	1,710,000

4. Provide annual small municipalities shared revenue (SCIP) increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the municipal distribution and increase the small municipalities shared revenue appropriation as shown below.

		<u>Municipal Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$11,110,000	\$11,221,100	\$0	\$110,000
b.	2.0	11,220,000	11,444,400	0	220,000
c.	3.0	11,330,000	11,669,900	0	330,000

5. Provide annual county mandate relief increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the county distribution and increase the county mandate relief appropriation as shown below.

		<u>County Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$20,971,400	\$21,181,100	\$0	\$207,600
d.	2.0	21,179,100	21,602,700	0	415,300
f.	3.0	21,386,700	22,028,300	0	622,900

6. Provide annual payments for municipal services increases for 2001-02 and 2002-03 at one of the following percentages. Increase the payments for municipal services appropriation and reestimate general fund revenue from agency chargebacks as shown below.

		<u>Appropriation Level</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>		<u>Revenue Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$21,781,000	\$21,998,800	\$215,700	\$433,500	\$99,200	\$199,400
b.	2.0	21,996,600	22,436,500	431,300	871,200	198,400	400,800
c.	3.0	22,212,300	22,878,700	647,000	1,313,400	297,600	604,200

Prepared by: Rick Olin

SHARED REVENUE FORMULA FREEZE

Beginning in 2002, this amendment would freeze the current municipal shared Revenue formula at the final re-cast distribution level for payment year 2001. It would eliminate the adjustments to the 2000 and 2001 municipal shared Revenue distributions attributable to the 2000 census. Under this proposal the final re-cast amounts for payment year 2000, the re-estimates for payment year 2001 and the final re-cast amounts for payment year 2001 would be determined using DOA population numbers that have not been adjusted for the census.

LN
4519

LOCATION/STATUS: New Proposal
DEPARTMENT(S): Department of Revenue
FISCAL IMPACT: \$0 GPR
FUNDING SOURCE(S): N/A
INTRODUCTION: SDC
POLITICAL: Alliance of Cities

JK

SHARED REVENUE AND TAX RELIEF

Increase Direct Aid Payments

[Re: LFB Paper # 826]

Motion:

Alternative 2a

Fallback position:

Any increase under Alternative 5. (Preferably Alternative 5f)

Explanation:

Alternative 2a would increase county and municipal shared revenue by 1%. Alternative 5 would provide increases to county mandate relief by 1%, 2%, or 3%.

Fiscal Effect:

Alternative 2a: \$9,304,600 GPR in 02-03

Alternative 5f: \$622,900 GPR in 02-03

Alternative 5d: \$415,300 GPR in 02-03

Alternative 5a: \$207,600 GPR in 02-03

CN5580

JK



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

May 29, 2001

Joint Committee on Finance

Paper #826

Direct Aid Payments -- Funding Level (Shared Revenue and Tax Relief -- Direct Aid Payments)

[LFB 2001-03 Budget Summary: Page 599]

CURRENT LAW

Shared revenue payments for 1995 and thereafter are set at \$761,478,000 for municipalities and \$168,981,800 for counties. Expenditure restraint payments for 2000 and thereafter are set at \$57,000,000. Small municipalities shared revenue (SCIP) payments for 2000 and thereafter are set at \$11,000,000. County mandate relief payments for 2000 and thereafter are set at \$20,763,800. The base funding level for payments for municipal services (PMS) is \$21,565,300. All of these programs are funded with GPR appropriations.

GOVERNOR

Maintain the same total funding level for this group of direct aid payments as in the base year.

DISCUSSION POINTS

1. The 2001 payments under the shared revenue, expenditure restraint, small municipalities shared revenue and county mandate relief programs will be made from the corresponding appropriations for 2000-01. Any increases provided for these programs would first apply to the 2002 distributions, which will be funded in 2002-03. Any increases for the 2003 distribution would be funded in 2003-04, the first year of the following biennium.

2. The 2001 payments under the PMS program will be made from the 2000-01 appropriation. Any increases in the distribution level for this program in 2002 or 2003 would be

funded in 2001-02 or 2002-03, respectively.

3. Agencies with non-GPR appropriations are charged for the non-GPR portion of payments for municipal services related to their facilities. These agency chargebacks are deposited in the general fund. Since the chargebacks typically equal about 46% of the PMS appropriation, any appropriation increases would be partially offset by chargeback increases.

4. Although funding for municipal and county shared revenue was last increased in 1995, 1999 Act 9 provided increases for the other programs, effective in 2000: expenditure restraint, 18.75%; small municipalities shared revenue, 10.00%; county mandate relief, 3.00%; and payments for municipal services, 19.37%.

ALTERNATIVES TO BASE

1. Take no action, and thereby maintain the current funding level for the shared revenue, expenditure restraint, small municipalities shared revenue, county mandate relief and payments for municipal services programs.

2. Provide annual shared revenue increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the municipal and county distributions and increase the shared revenue appropriation as shown below.

		<u>Municipal Distribution</u>		<u>County Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$769,092,800	\$776,783,700	\$170,671,600	\$172,378,300	\$0	\$9,304,600
b.	2.0	776,707,600	792,241,800	172,361,400	175,808,600	0	18,609,200
c.	3.0	784,322,300	807,852,000	174,051,300	179,272,800	0	27,913,800

3. Provide annual expenditure restraint increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the municipal distribution and increase the expenditure restraint appropriation as shown below.

		<u>Municipal Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$57,570,000	\$58,145,700	\$0	\$570,000
b.	2.0	58,140,000	59,302,800	0	1,140,000
c.	3.0	58,710,000	60,471,300	0	1,710,000

4. Provide annual small municipalities shared revenue (SCIP) increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the municipal distribution and increase the small municipalities shared revenue appropriation as shown below.

		<u>Municipal Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$11,110,000	\$11,221,100	\$0	\$110,000
b.	2.0	11,220,000	11,444,400	0	220,000
c.	3.0	11,330,000	11,669,900	0	330,000

5. Provide annual county mandate relief increases for 2002 and 2003 at one of the following percentages. Set the county distribution and increase the county mandate relief appropriation as shown below.

		<u>County Distribution</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$20,971,400	\$21,181,100	\$0	\$207,600
d.	2.0	21,179,100	21,602,700	0	415,300
f.	3.0	21,386,700	22,028,300	0	622,900

6. Provide annual payments for municipal services increases for 2001-02 and 2002-03 at one of the following percentages. Increase the payments for municipal services appropriation and reestimate general fund revenue from agency chargebacks as shown below.

		<u>Appropriation Level</u>		<u>GPR Change to Bill</u>		<u>Revenue Change to Bill</u>	
		<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
a.	1.0%	\$21,781,000	\$21,998,800	\$215,700	\$433,500	\$99,200	\$199,400
b.	2.0	21,996,600	22,436,500	431,300	871,200	198,400	400,800
c.	3.0	22,212,300	22,878,700	647,000	1,313,400	297,600	604,200

Prepared by: Rick Olin



State of Wisconsin
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRBb09477

JK:.....

RMK

SDC:.....Keckhaver – CN1105/5580, Shared revenue

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS SENATE AMENDMENT

TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

CS

in 6-17-01

D-N

substitute amendment

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 852, line 11: after that line insert:

3 "SECTION 2258d. 79.01 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

4 79.01 (1) There is established an account in the general fund entitled the
5 "Expenditure Restraint Program Account". Account. There shall be appropriated
6 to that account \$25,000,000 in 1991, in 1992, and in 1993; \$42,000,000 in 1994;
7 \$48,000,000 in each year beginning in 1995 and ending in 1999 and; \$57,000,000 in
8 the year 2000 and in 2001; \$57,570,000 in 2002; and \$58,145,700 in 2003 and in each
9 year thereafter. the year

History: 1971 c. 125; 1973 c. 90; 1973 c. 243 s. 82; 1973 c. 283, 336; 1975 c. 39; 1977 c. 29, 203; 1979 c. 221; 1989 a. 336; 1993 a. 16, 437; 1999 a. 9.

10 SECTION 2280m. 79.03 (3c) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 79.03 (3c) (f) *Distribution amount.* If the total amounts calculated under pars.
 2 (c) to (e) exceed the total amount to be distributed under this subsection, the amount
 3 paid to each eligible municipality shall be paid on a prorated basis. The total amount
 4 to be distributed under this subsection from s. 20.835 (1) (b) is \$10,000,000 beginning
 5 in 1996 and ending in 1999 and; \$11,000,000 in the year 2000 and in 2001;
 6 \$11,110,000 in 2002; and \$11,221,100 in 2003 and in each year thereafter.

the
year

History: 1971 c. 125, 215; 1973 c. 90; 1975 c. 39; 1977 c. 29, 203, 418; 1979 c. 1; 1979 c. 34 ss. 903s to 905m, 2102 (46) (d); 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20, 93, 314, 317; 1983 a. 27, 189; 1985 a. 29, 120; 1987 a. 27, 399; 1989 a. 31, 56, 336; 1991 a. 39, 269; 1993 a. 16, 437, 490; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27, 164, 237; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 s. 672.

7 **SECTION 2281d.** 79.03 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

8 79.03 (4) In 1991, the total amount to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and
 9 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) is \$869,000,000. In 1992, the total amount to be
 10 distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) is \$885,961,300.
 11 In 1993, the total amount to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s.
 12 20.835 (1) (d) is \$903,680,500. In 1994, the total amounts to be distributed under this
 13 section and ss. 79.04 and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$746,547,500 to
 14 municipalities and \$168,981,800 to counties. ~~In~~ Beginning in 1995 and subsequent
 15 years ending in 2001, the total amounts to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04 and
 16 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$761,478,000 to municipalities and \$168,981,800 to
 17 counties. In 2002, the total amounts to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and
 18 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$769,092,800 to municipalities and \$170,671,600 to
 19 counties. In 2003 and subsequent years, the total amounts to be distributed under
 20 ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$776,783,700 to municipalities
 21 and \$172,378,300 to counties.

History: 1971 c. 125, 215; 1973 c. 90; 1975 c. 39; 1977 c. 29, 203, 418; 1979 c. 1; 1979 c. 34 ss. 903s to 905m, 2102 (46) (d); 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20, 93, 314, 317; 1983 a. 27, 189; 1985 a. 29, 120; 1987 a. 27, 399; 1989 a. 31, 56, 336; 1991 a. 39, 269; 1993 a. 16, 437, 490; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27, 164, 237; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 s. 672.

22 **SECTION 2281e.** 79.03 (5) of the statutes is created to read:

1 79.03 (5) (a) In 2002 and 2003, each municipality shall receive a shared
 2 revenue payment under this section that is equal to the amount of the payment it
 3 received in the previous year, multiplied by 1%. In 2004 and in subsequent years,
 4 each municipality shall receive a shared revenue payment under this section that is
 5 equal to the amount of the payment it received in 2003.

6 (b) The department of revenue shall use the population amounts it used to
 7 determine the November 2000, shared revenue payments to municipalities to
 8 calculate corrections to such payments in 2001, as provided under s. 79.08. The
 9 department of revenue shall use the population amounts it used to estimate
 10 payments under s. 79.015 in September 2000, to calculate actual and corrected 2001
 11 shared revenue payments to municipalities.”

12 **2.** Page 854, line 3: after that line insert:

13 “SECTION 2285d. 79.058 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

14 79.058 (3) (c) In the year 2000 and subsequent years in 2001, \$20,763,800.

History: 1993 a. 16, 437; 1999 a. 9.

15 SECTION 2285e. 79.058 (3) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

16 79.058 (3) (d) In 2002, \$20,971,400.

17 SECTION 2285f. 79.058 (3) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

18 79.058 (3) (e) In 2003 and subsequent years, \$21,181,100.”

19 **3.** Page 1353, line 3: delete that line and substitute: “purposes of determining”.

20 **4.** Page 1353, line 4: delete “statutes and”.

21 **5.** Page 1353, line 6: delete “provided to municipalities and”.

22 **6.** Page 1353, line 12: delete “shared”.

23 **7.** Page 1353, line 13: delete “revenue and”.

1

8. Page 1353, line 19: delete “shared revenue and”.



2

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb0947/?dn

JK:.....

June 17, 2001



Please note that this draft does not include an increase to payments for municipal services. The ~~handling~~ of such payments is in LRBb0907.

treatment

Joseph T. Kreye
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-2263
E-mail: joseph.kreye@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb0947/1dn

JK:cjs:pg

June 18, 2001

Please note that this draft does not include an increase to payments for municipal services. The treatment of such payments is in LRBb0907.

Joseph T. Kreye
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-2263
E-mail: joseph.kreye@legis.state.wi.us



State of Wisconsin
2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0947/1

JK:cs:pg

slays RM not run

SDC:.....Keckhaver – CN1105/5580, Shared revenue

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS SENATE AMENDMENT

TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

in 6-18-01

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 852, line 11: after that line insert:

3 **“SECTION 2258d.** 79.01 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

4 79.01 (1) There is established an account in the general fund entitled the
5 “Expenditure Restraint Program Account”- Account. There shall be appropriated
6 to that account \$25,000,000 in 1991, in 1992, and in 1993; \$42,000,000 in 1994;
7 \$48,000,000 in each year beginning in 1995 and ending in 1999 and; \$57,000,000 in
8 the year 2000 and in the year 2001; \$57,570,000 in 2002; and \$58,145,700 in 2003
9 and in each year thereafter.

10 **SECTION 2280m.** 79.03 (3c) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 79.03 (3c) (f) *Distribution amount.* If the total amounts calculated under pars.
2 (c) to (e) exceed the total amount to be distributed under this subsection, the amount
3 paid to each eligible municipality shall be paid on a prorated basis. The total amount
4 to be distributed under this subsection from s. 20.835 (1) (b) is \$10,000,000 beginning
5 in 1996 and ending in 1999 ~~and~~; \$11,000,000 in the year 2000 and in the year 2001;
6 \$11,110,000 in 2002; and \$11,221,100 in 2003 and in each year thereafter.

7 **SECTION 2281d.** 79.03 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

8 79.03 (4) In 1991, the total amount to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and
9 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) is \$869,000,000. In 1992, the total amount to be
10 distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) is \$885,961,300.
11 In 1993, the total amount to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s.
12 20.835 (1) (d) is \$903,680,500. In 1994, the total amounts to be distributed under this
13 section and ss. 79.04 and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$746,547,500 to
14 municipalities and \$168,981,800 to counties. In Beginning in 1995 and subsequent
15 years ending in 2001, the total amounts to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04 and
16 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$761,478,000 to municipalities and \$168,981,800 to
17 counties. In 2002, the total amounts to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and
18 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$769,092,800 to municipalities and \$170,671,600 to
19 counties. In 2003 and subsequent years, the total amounts to be distributed under
20 ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$776,783,700 to municipalities
21 and \$172,378,300 to counties.

22 **SECTION 2281e.** 79.03 (5) of the statutes is created to read:

23 79.03 (5) (a) In 2002 and 2003, each municipality shall receive a shared
24 revenue payment under this section that is equal to the amount of the payment it
received in the previous year, multiplied by ~~100%~~ In 2004 and in subsequent years,

25
101%

1 each municipality shall receive a shared revenue payment under this section that is
2 equal to the amount of the payment it received in 2003.

3 (b) The department of revenue shall use the population amounts it used to
4 determine the November 2000, shared revenue payments to municipalities to
5 calculate corrections to such payments in 2001, as provided under s. 79.08. The
6 department of revenue shall use the population amounts it used to estimate
7 payments under s. 79.015 in September 2000, to calculate actual and corrected 2001
8 shared revenue payments to municipalities.”

9 **2.** Page 854, line 3: after that line insert:

10 **SECTION 2285d.** 79.058 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

11 79.058 (3) (c) In the year 2000 and ~~subsequent years~~ in 2001, \$20,763,800.

12 **SECTION 2285e.** 79.058 (3) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

13 79.058 (3) (d) In 2002, \$20,971,400.

14 **SECTION 2285f.** 79.085 (3) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

15 79.085 (3) (e) In 2003 and subsequent years, \$21,181,100.”

16 **3.** Page 1353, line 3: ~~delete that line and substitute:~~ *after "payments" insert "for counties"* ~~purposes of determining.~~

17 **4.** ~~Page 1353, line 4, delete "statutes and".~~

18 **5.** Page 1353, line 6: delete "municipalities and".

19 **6.** Page 1353, line 12: ~~delete "shared".~~ *after "2002" insert "county"*

20 **7.** Page 1353, line 13: ~~delete "revenue and".~~ *after "revenue" insert "payments"*

21 **8.** Page 1353, line 19: delete "shared revenue ~~and~~"

22

(END)

*and substitute
no 11 "county shared revenue payments"*

SDC:.....Keckhaver – CN1105/5580, Shared revenue

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS SENATE AMENDMENT

TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 852, line 11: after that line insert:

3 **“SECTION 2258d.** 79.01 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

4 79.01 (1) There is established an account in the general fund entitled the
5 “Expenditure Restraint Program Account”. Account. There shall be appropriated
6 to that account \$25,000,000 in 1991, in 1992, and in 1993; \$42,000,000 in 1994;
7 \$48,000,000 in each year beginning in 1995 and ending in 1999 and; \$57,000,000 in
8 the year 2000 and in the year 2001; \$57,570,000 in 2002; and \$58,145,700 in 2003
9 and in each year thereafter.

10 **SECTION 2280m.** 79.03 (3c) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 **79.03 (3c) (f) Distribution amount.** If the total amounts calculated under pars.
2 (c) to (e) exceed the total amount to be distributed under this subsection, the amount
3 paid to each eligible municipality shall be paid on a prorated basis. The total amount
4 to be distributed under this subsection from s. 20.835 (1) (b) is \$10,000,000 beginning
5 in 1996 and ending in 1999 and; \$11,000,000 in the year 2000 and in the year 2001;
6 \$11,110,000 in 2002; and \$11,221,100 in 2003 and in each year thereafter.

7 **SECTION 2281d.** 79.03 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

8 **79.03 (4)** In 1991, the total amount to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and
9 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) is \$869,000,000. In 1992, the total amount to be
10 distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) is \$885,961,300.
11 In 1993, the total amount to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s.
12 20.835 (1) (d) is \$903,680,500. In 1994, the total amounts to be distributed under this
13 section and ss. 79.04 and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$746,547,500 to
14 municipalities and \$168,981,800 to counties. In Beginning in 1995 and subsequent
15 years ending in 2001, the total amounts to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04 and
16 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$761,478,000 to municipalities and \$168,981,800 to
17 counties. In 2002, the total amounts to be distributed under ss. 79.03, 79.04, and
18 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$769,092,800 to municipalities and \$170,671,600 to
19 counties. In 2003 and subsequent years, the total amounts to be distributed under
20 ss. 79.03, 79.04, and 79.06 from s. 20.835 (1) (d) are \$776,783,700 to municipalities
21 and \$172,378,300 to counties.

22 **SECTION 2281e.** 79.03 (5) of the statutes is created to read:

23 **79.03 (5) (a)** In 2002 and 2003, each municipality shall receive a shared
24 revenue payment under this section that is equal to the amount of the payment it
25 received in the previous year, multiplied by 101%. In 2004 and in subsequent years,

1 each municipality shall receive a shared revenue payment under this section that is
2 equal to the amount of the payment it received in 2003.

3 (b) The department of revenue shall use the population amounts it used to
4 determine the November 2000, shared revenue payments to municipalities to
5 calculate corrections to such payments in 2001, as provided under s. 79.08. The
6 department of revenue shall use the population amounts it used to estimate
7 payments under s. 79.015 in September 2000, to calculate actual and corrected 2001
8 shared revenue payments to municipalities.”.

9 **2.** Page 854, line 3: after that line insert:

10 “SECTION 2285d. 79.058 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

11 79.058 (3) (c) In the year 2000 and ~~subsequent years~~ in 2001, \$20,763,800.

12 SECTION 2285e. 79.058 (3) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

13 79.058 (3) (d) In 2002, \$20,971,400.

14 SECTION 2285f. 79.085 (3) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

15 79.085 (3) (e) In 2003 and subsequent years, \$21,181,100.”.

16 **3.** Page 1353, line 3: after “payments” insert “for counties”.

17 **4.** Page 1353, line 6: delete “municipalities and”.

18 **5.** Page 1353, line 12: after “2002” insert “county”.

19 **6.** Page 1353, line 13: after “revenue” insert “payments”.

20 **7.** Page 1353, line 19: delete “shared revenue” and substitute “county shared
21 revenue payments”.

22 (END)