# 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

# **Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-SB55)**

Received: 06/22/2001				Received By: kunkemd					
Wanted:	Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Ass	sembly Republ	ican Caucus			By/Representing: Hughes				
This file	may be shown	to any legislate	or: NO		Drafter: kunkem	Drafter: kunkemd			
May Co	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:				
Subject:	Occupa	tional Reg p	rof lic		Extra Copies:				
Submit	via email: NO								
Request	er's email:			,					
Pre Top	pic:		<u> </u>	,					
ARC:	Hughes - AM	22,							
Topic:									
Dental s	chool staff lice	nsing							
Instruc	tions:								
See Atta	ached						• .		
Draftin	g History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/1	kunkemd 06/24/2001 kunkemd 06/27/2001	jdyer 06/25/2001 jdyer 06/27/2001	jfrantze 06/25/2001		lrb_docadmin 06/25/2001				
/2	kunkemd 07/02/2001	jdyer 07/02/2001	jfrantze 06/28/2001	L	lrb_docadmin 06/28/2001				

07/02/2001 01:05:04 PM Page ,2

pgreensl lrb_docadmin 07/02/2001 07/02/2001	Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
	/3			10		<b>—</b>	1.	

FE Sent For:

**<END>** 

# 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

### **Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-SB55)**

Received: 06/22/2001					Received By: kunkemd				
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:				
For: Ass	embly Republ	ican Caucus			By/Representing:	Hughes			
This file	may be shown	to any legislato	r: NO		Drafter: kunkem	<b>d</b> .			
May Con	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:				
Subject:	Occupa	itional Reg pr	of lic		Extra Copies:				
Submit v	via email: NO	·							
Requeste	er's email:		•						
Pre Top	pic:								
ARC:	Hughes - AM	22,							
Topic:									
Dental so	chool staff lice	nsing							
Instruct	tions:								
See Atta	ched								
Drafting	g History:						11.		
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/1	kunkemd 06/24/2001 kunkemd 06/27/2001	jdyer 06/25/2001 jdyer 06/27/2001	jfrantze 06/25/200	1	lrb_docadmin 06/25/2001		<b>.</b>		
/2		/3 67 jul	jfrantze 06/28/200	1 2 2	lrb_docadmin 06/28/2001				

06/28/2001 08:43:41 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

Received By: kunkemd

Received: 06/22/2001

# 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

# Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-SB55)

Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:					
For: Assembly Republican Caucus				By/Representing: Hughes					
This file 1	may be shown	to any legislato	r: NO		Drafter: kunkemo	l			
May Con	tact:				Addl. Drafters:				
Subject: Occupational Reg prof lic					Extra Copies:				
Submit v	ia email: NO								
Requester	r's email:								
Topic:	Hughes - AM hool staff lice								
Drafting	History:	74 4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/1	kunkemd 06/24/2001	1 61		01	lrb_docadmin 06/25/2001				
FE Sent I	ror:		cur iz blv	<end></end>					

Received By: kunkemd

Received: 06/22/2001

# 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

# Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-SB55)

Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:
For: Assembly Republican Caucus	By/Representing: Hughes
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: kunkemd
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:
Subject: Occupational Reg prof lic	Extra Copies:
Submit via email: <b>NO</b>	
Requester's email:	
Pre Topic:	
ARC:Hughes - AM22,	
Topic:	
Dental school staff licensing	
Instructions:	
See Attached	
Drafting History:	
Vers. <u>Drafted Reviewed Typed Pr</u>	coofed Submitted Jacketed Required
Vers. <u>Drafted Reviewed Typed Pr</u> /? kunkemd / 25 Ld WW	
FE Sent For:	END>

# Memorandum

H

To: Fred Ammerman - Fiscal Bureau

Cathlene Hanaman - Reference Bureau

CC: Heather Smith – Assembly Republican Caucus

From: Paul Tessmer – Assembly Republican Caucus

Date: 06/21/01

Re: ARC Amendments – Passed 6-20-01

Please find enclosed copies of the budget amendments passed by the Assembly Republicans in Caucus on Tuesday, June 20, 2001. The amendments are provided in the following four areas:

- The Health Care Working Group Package. Associated freestanding amendments incorporated in this package are also provided. Contact Carolyn Hughes (ARC) at 7-
- The Agriculture Working Group Package. Associated freestanding amendments in organizated in this package are also provided. Contact Mark Jefferson (ARC) at 7-0900
- The Higher Education Working Group Package. Associated freestanding amendments incorporated in this package are also provided. Contact Jim Emerson (ARC) at 7-0904.
- Other Freestanding Amendments. Contact the ARC analyst listed on the amendment at 6-1452.

NOTE: The Working Group Package document takes precedence over its associated freestanding amendments. Please call the contact person listed above (or the ARC analyst listed on the amendment) for supporting documentation.

Hard copies of the Working Group Packages are also being sent to your office. The hard copies may contain supporting documentation not included in the Word document.

I am also available to help answer questions at 4-8587.



# ARC Amendment # 22

# **ARC Health Care Working Group Package**

		·
	Budget Package Components:	Savings and (Spending)
1.	Increase the co-payment for MA participants for name brand drugs from \$1 to \$2. Currently, MA participants pay \$1 for brand name and \$1 for generic. There is a \$5 a month cap on co-payments. (Ladwig Proposal)	\$1.7 million GPR-REV
2.	Reduce Disproportionate Share Hospital payments	\$1 million GPR
	Income Augmentation (LFB Paper 462):	
	Income Augmentation funds are unanticipated federal funds DHFS receives under Titles IV-E (foster care), XVIII (Medicare) and XIX (MA) of the federal Social Security Act as reimbursement for costs that were initially paid with state or local revenue, or revenue from one of these sources that would not otherwise have been available, had it not been for activities conducted specifically to augment federal income.	
	DHFS currently contracts with a private firm, Maximus, Inc., to conduct activities that will increase the amount of revenue the state receives under these federal programs. Maximus assists counties and DHFS in identifying costs that were paid with county or state funds that could have been claimed for federal reimbursement.	·
	Alternative 1: Required DHFS to lapse \$6.75 million in income augmentation revenues by June 30, 2003.	
	JFC required DHFS to lapse only \$3.85 million in income augmentation revenues by June 30, 2003.	
	This motion requires DHFS to lapse the remaining \$2.9 million by June 30, 2003.	\$ 2.9 million GPR
	Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (LFB Paper 508, Alternative 1):	
	The federal Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 authorized DHHS to provide states enhanced matching funds to support the costs of Creating a statewide-automated child welfare information system. In Wisconsin, SACWIS is referred to as WISACWIS.	
	Under current law, DHFS may distribute excess Title IV-E funds to non-Milwaukee counties that are making a good faith effort to implement WISACWIS by July 1, 2005.	
	Alternative 1: Approved Governor's recommendations. In addition, lapse \$7,002,000 in 2001-03 of federal income augmentation revenues to	

part of the bill. This motion would require payment by health insurers to pay anesthesiologists for the two distinct services.

#### 29. Dental School Staff Licensing:

An applicant who is invited to serve on the academic staff of a dental school in this state as a member of the faculty shall be granted a faculty license if the applicant does not engage in any of the types of conduct prohibited by ss. 447.07(3)(a)-(0) grounds for discipline

Such license shall remain in force only while the holder is serving full-time on the academic staff of a dental school. The holder's license allows practice within educational facilities and as adjunct to teaching functions. Such license shall expire 2 years after its date of granting and may be renewed at the discretion of the Dental Examining Board (DEB). The board may require an applicant for licensure under this subdivision to appear for an interview.

The board may promulgate rules to carry out the purposes of this subdivision. This reflects an agreement between DEB and Marquette Dental School.

#### 30. Drug and Alcohol Regulation and Licensing:

Require certification for Alcohol and Drug Counselors to Regulation and Licensing, and grant rule-making authority. This will ensure we have counselors who are certified.

what about 5. 447.03 (3)

(d)1?

#### 31. Prescription Drug Plan:

Eligibility Age 65 or Older

Income less than 185% of FPL\*

(\$15,448/individual or \$20, 800/couple)

Enrollment Fee \$25

Co-Payment \$10 for generic

\$ 20 for brand name

Deductible \$840 per individual <sup>1</sup>

Drugs Covered Limited to those drugs produced by manufacturers entered into rebate agreements with

the state 2

State Cost Approximately \$44 million

Number Eligible 171,000 individuals

Start Date July 2002

\*FPL - Federal Poverty Level

An approximate 18% discount of prescription drugs would be available to enrollees during their deductible period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pharmacist's Reimbursement will be AWP minus 10%



# State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRBb1503/1 MDK:.<sub>↑</sub>:...

رزط

D-NOTE

ARC:.....Hughes - AM22, Dental school staff licensing

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

# CAUCUS ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT

### TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

#### TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

1	At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
2	1. Page 1149, line 8: after that line insert:
3	"Section 3532m. 440.08 (2) (a) 26m. of the statutes is created to read:
4	440.08 (2) (a) 26m. Dentist, visiting faculty member: October 1 of each
5	odd-numbered year; \$53.".
6	2. Page 1156, line 6: after that line insert:
(7)	SECTION 3608d. 447.04 (1) (h) of the statutes is amended to read:
8	447.04 (1) (b) The Except as provided in par. (c), the examining board may grant
9	a license to practice dentistry to an individual who is licensed in good standing to
10	practice dentistry in another state or territory of the United States or in another

19

20

21

state.".

•	
1	country if the applicant meets the requirements for licensure established by the
2	examining board by rule and upon presentation of the license and payment of the fee
3	specified under s. 440.05 (2).
4	History: 1989 a. 349; 1997 a. 96. SECTION 3608f. 447.04 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:
5	447.04 (1) (c) 1. The examining board shall grant a license to practice dentistry
6	to an applicant who is licensed in good standing to practice dentistry in another
7	jurisdiction upon presentation of the license and who does all of the following:
8	a. Pays the fee specified in s. 440.05 (2).
9	b. Submits evidence satisfactory to the examining board that the applicant has
10	been offered employment as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in
11	this state.
12	c. Makes responses during any interview that the examining board may
13	require that demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the examining board, that the
14	applicant is competent to practice dentistry.
15	2. A license granted under subd. 1. authorizes the license holder to practice
16	dentistry only within educational facilities and only for the purpose of carrying out
17	the license holder's teaching duties.
18	3. A license granted under subd. 1. is no longer in effect if the license holder

ceases to be employed as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in this

(END)

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb1503/1dn MDK:.**/**:...

jld

date

#### Carolyn Hughes:

The amendment creates a \$53 renewal fee for visiting faculty members, which is the base renewal fee for new licenses that are created in this biennium. Alternatively, you could require the visiting faculty members to pay the same renewal fee as other dentists (which is \$131). However, I thought that DRL's regulatory expenses would be less for visiting faculty members, so I created a different renewal fee for them. Is that okay?

Also note that Assembly Bill 367 contains provisions that are similar, but not identical, to this amendment. It doesn't appear that you want an amendment that is identical to Assembly Bill 367, but I thought you might be interested in how that bill differs from this amendment.

That bill has the following additional requirements that an applicant must satisfy for a licenses: 1) the applicant must submit a certificate from each jurisdiction in which he or she has practiced that describes any discipline taken against the applicant; 2) the applicant must pass an examination on Wisconsin dentistry law; 3) the applicant must have a current CPR proficiency certificate; and 4) the applicant must have disclosed all discipline that is shown in a national database. Also, the bill is more specific about the purpose of the interview by, for example, requiring the applicant to resolve conflicts between his or her licensing jurisdiction and this state. Finally, note that this amendment's renewal fee and restriction on practice do not appear in Assembly Bill 367.

Mark D. Kunkel Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0131

E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

#### DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb1503/1dn MDK:jld:cmh

June 25, 2001

#### Carolyn Hughes:

The amendment creates a \$53 renewal fee for visiting faculty members, which is the base renewal fee for new licenses that are created in this biennium. Alternatively, you could require the visiting faculty members to pay the same renewal fee as other dentists (which is \$131). However, I thought that DORL's regulatory expenses would be less for visiting faculty members, so I created a different renewal fee for them. Is that okay?

Also note that Assembly Bill 367 contains provisions that are similar, but not identical. to this amendment. It doesn't appear that you want an amendment that is identical to Assembly Bill 367, but I thought you might be interested in how that bill differs from this amendment.

That bill has the following additional requirements that an applicant must satisfy for a license: 1) the applicant must submit a certificate from each jurisdiction in which he or she has practiced that describes any discipline taken against the applicant; 2) the applicant must pass an examination on Wisconsin dentistry law; 3) the applicant must have a current CPR proficiency certificate; and 4) the applicant must have disclosed all discipline that is shown in a national database. Also, the bill is more specific about the purpose of the interview by, for example, requiring the applicant to resolve conflicts between his or her licensing jurisdiction and this state. Finally, note that this amendment's renewal fee and restriction on practice do not appear in Assembly Bill 367.

> Mark D. Kunkel Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0131

E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

#### Kunkel, Mark

From:

Pirlot, R.J.

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 5:48 PM

To:

Kunkel, Mark Schoenfeldt, Jeff

Cc: Subject:

RE: Faculty dentist amendment

The goal is to treat them like other dentists, so, charge them \$131. Sorry about the confusion.

#### R.J. Pirlot

Policy Director and Legal Counsel Office of Assembly Speaker Scott R. Jensen

Direct: 608-261-9482 Fax: 608-266-5123

----Original Message----

From:

Kunkel, Mark

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 5:45 PM

To:

Pirlot, R.J.

Subject:

RE: Faculty dentist amendment

**R.J.:** 

On second thought, I just realized that under the Jt. Finance budget, the renewal fee for a dentist license is \$131. So, I'm not sure where the \$83 figure comes from.

Mark Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Reference Bureau
(608) 266-0131 mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

----Original Message----

From:

Pirlot, R.J.

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 4:49 PM

To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Schoenfeldt, Jeff

Subject:

FW: Faculty dentist amendment

Mark,

Go ahead and use the \$83 number.

#### R.J. Pirlot

Policy Director and Legal Counsel Office of Assembly Speaker Scott R. Jensen

Direct: 608-261-9482 Fax: 608-266-5123

----Original Message-----

From:

Schoenfeldt, Jeff

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 4:45 PM

To:

Kunkel, Mark

Cc:

Pirlot, R.J.

Subject:

RE: Faculty dentist amendment

\$83 was the figure I was given from the dentist in our group as to how much a license costs him. Additionally, Marquette Dental School is of the mindset that if these staff want to be treated like dentists, they should pay like dentists

#### -- which is \$83.

#### Hope this is helpful.

----Original Message-----

From:

Kunkel, Mark

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 3:43 PM

To:

Piriot, R.J.

Cc:

Schoenfeldt, Jeff

Subject:

FW: Faculty dentist amendment

**R.J.:** 

I received the following 2 instructions to redraft LRBb1503 from Rep. Gard's office.

I have no problem making the first change, as it is consistent with the caucus instructions.

However, I think I need confirmation from you to change the renewal fee from \$53 to \$83. I chose \$53 because it is the same amount that we use for any new professional or occupational license that is created in the upcoming biennium. I don't know what the \$83 figure is based on.

Sorry to bother about this relatively small matter.

Mark Kunkel Legislative Attorney

Legislative Reference Bureau

(608) 266-0131 mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

----Original Message----

From:

Schoenfeldt, Jeff

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 2:12 PM

To:

Kunkel, Mark

Subject:

RE: Faculty dentist amendment

Mark,

Thanks for getting back to me on this. This GREATLY helped to clarify things not only for me, but for the rest of those involved in this language.

# Here are two thoughts that I have derived over the discussions with our dental negotiating group:

- 1. On page two of your draft, lines 1,2,&3: Our group would feel more comfortable (even though you say that due to existing statutes this language is probably not necessary) if the verbiage on the calling on the Board to promulgate rules to carry out the purposes of this subdivision was included. They want it absolutely clear the Board to be given the authority so that they can do this. (Their legal counsel would like it added just to be certain.)
- 2. On page one of your draft, line five, the dollar amount should be increased to reflect what licenses cost to \$83. Also, please delete the word "visiting" from line 4.

Let me know your thoughts on this. When you have the chance to finalize things if you could send me via email an updated draft so I can re-send to them I would greatly appreciate it.

Feel free to call with questions or suggestions.

Jeff

----Original Message-----From: Kunkel, Mark

Sent:

Wednesday, June 27, 2001 12:47 PM

To:

Schoenfeldt, Jeff

Subject:

Faculty dentist amendment

Comparison of language provided and LRBb1503/1:

The language provided refers to an applicant "invited to serve on academic staff of dental school as a member of the faculty".

LRBb1503/1 requires applicant to submit proof satisfactory to examining board that he or she has been offered employment as full-time faculty member at school of dentistry in this state. I changed the language because the meaning of "invited to serve" is not as clear as an offer of employment.

The language provided says that an applicant may be granted a license if he or she hasn't engaged in conduct prohibited in s. 4447.07 (2) (a) to (o). LRBb1503/1 accomplishes the same thing because, when the examining board grants any type of license, including the faculty license created in the amendment, the board can deny the license if a person has engaged in the conduct prohibited in s. 447.07 (2) (a) to (o).

The language provided says that a license remains in force while the holder is serving full-time on the academic staff of a dental school.

LRBb1503/1 accomplishes the same thing by providing that the license is no longer in effect if the holder ceases to be employed as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in this state.

The language provided says that the license allows practice within educational facilities and as an adjunct to teaching functions.

LRBb1503/1 accomplishes the same thing with different language, by providing that a license authorizes the holder to practice only within educational facilities and only for the purpose of carrying out teaching duties. The phrase "as an adjunct to teaching functions" didn't seem clear because I didn't think "adjunct" was properly used in its everyday dictionary meaning.

The language provided says the license expires 2 years after the date that it is granted and may be renewed at the discretion of the board. Under LRBb1503/1, a license holder must renew the license by Oct. 1 of every odd year. This approach is consistent with renewal of other professional licenses. Also, we don't make renewal conditional at the discretion of a licensing authority.

The language provided says that the board may require an applicant to appear for an interview.


LRBb1503/1 allows the board to require an interview, but also provides a reason for the interview, by allowing the board to deny a license if an applicant makes responses that demonstrate that the applicant is not competent to practice dentistry. I think you can't simply require an interview unless you say what the purpose of it is.

The language provided allows the board to promulgate rules. This isn't included in LRBb1503/1 because it isn't necessary. The board already has authority under s. 15.08 (5) (b), stats., to promulgate rules for its own guidance or the guidance of the regulated profession, which would include visiting faculty members.

I hope the above info is helpful.

Mark Kunkel
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Reference Bureau
(608) 266-0131 mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

#### **2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE**



### ARC:.....Hughes - AM22, Dental school staff licensing

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

# CAUCUS ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT

# TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

#### TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

1	At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
2	1. Page 1149, line 8: after that line insert:
3	"Section 3532m. 440.08 (2) (a) 26m. of the statutes is created to read:
(4)	440.08 (2) (a) 26m. Dentist, faculty member: October 1 of each
5	odd-numbered year; ***. \$ 131
6	2. Page 1156, line 6: after that line insert:
7	"Section 3608d. 447.04 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
8	447.04 (1) (b) The Except as provided in par. (c), the examining board may grant
9	a license to practice dentistry to an individual who is licensed in good standing to
10	practice dentistry in another state or territory of the United States or in another

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

country if the applicant meets the requirements for licensure established by the examining board by rule and upon presentation of the license and payment of the fee specified under s. 440.05 (2).

**SECTION 3608f.** 447.04 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

447.04 (1) (c) 1. The examining board shall grant a license to practice dentistry to an applicant who is licensed in good standing to practice dentistry in another jurisdiction upon presentation of the license and who does all of the following:

- a. Pays the fee specified in s. 440.05 (2).
- b. Submits evidence satisfactory to the examining board that the applicant has been offered employment as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in this state.
- c. Makes responses during any interview that the examining board may require that demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the examining board, that the applicant is competent to practice dentistry.
- 2. A license granted under subd. 1. authorizes the license holder to practice dentistry only within educational facilities and only for the purpose of carrying out the license holder's teaching duties.
- 3. A license granted under subd. 1. is no longer in effect if the license holder ceases to be employed as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in this state.

21

(END)

4. The examining board may premulgate rules to carry out the purposes of this paragraph.

#### **2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE**

LRBb1503/2 MDK:jld:cmh




ARC:.....Hughes - AM22, Dental school staff licensing

FOR 2001 03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

#### CAUCUS ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT

# TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

#### TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55



At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

1. Page 1149, line 8: after that line insert:

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

"Section 3532m. 440.08 (2) (a) 26m. of the statutes is created to read:

440.08 (2) (a) 26m. Dentist, faculty member: October 1 of each odd-numbered year; \$131.".

2. Page 1156, line 6: after that line insert:

"Section 3608d. 447.04 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

447.04 (1) (b) The Except as provided in par. (c), the examining board may grant a license to practice dentistry to an individual who is licensed in good standing to practice dentistry in another state or territory of the United States or in another

paragraph.".

22

23

1	country if the applicant meets the requirements for licensure established by the
2	examining board by rule and upon presentation of the license and payment of the fee
3	specified under s. 440.05 (2).
4	SECTION 3608f. 447.04 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:
5	447.04 (1) (c) 1. The examining board shall grant a license to practice dentistry
6	to an applicant who is licensed in good standing to practice dentistry in another
7	jurisdiction upon presentation of the license and who does all of the following:
8	a. Pays the reconstitutions 140.05 (2). a fee of \$83
9	b. Submits evidence satisfactory to the examining board that the applicant has
10	been offered employment as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in
11	this state.
12	c. Makes responses during any interview that the examining board may
13	require that demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the examining board, that the
14	applicant is competent to practice dentistry.
15	2. A license granted under subd. 1. authorizes the license holder to practice
16	dentistry only within educational facilities and only for the purpose of carrying out
17	the license holder's teaching duties.
18	3. A license granted under subd. 1. is no longer in effect if the license holder
19	ceases to be employed as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in this
20	state.
21	4. The examining board may promulgate rules to carry out the purposes of this

(END)

#### 2001–2002 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb1503/3ins MDK......

1	INSERT 1-1:
2	1. Page 1145, line 15: after that line insert:
3	"Section 3504s. 440.05 (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	440.05 Standard fees. (intro.) The following standard fees apply to all initial
5	credentials, except as provided in ss. 440.42, 440.43, 440.44, 440.51, 442.06, 444.03,
6	444.05, 444.11, 447.04 (1) (c) 1. a. and (2) (c) 2., 449.17, 449.18 and 459.46:".

History: 1977 c. 29, 418; 1979 c. 34; 1979 c. 175 s. 53; 1979 c. 221 s. 2202 (45); 1983 a. 27; 1985 a. 29; 1987 a. 264, 265, 329, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 229, 307, 316, 336, 340, 341, 359; 1991 a. 39, 269, 278, 315; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27, 96; 1999 a. 9.

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb1503/3dn MDK:....

jld

#### Darin Renner:

This version is identical to the previous version except that it changes the initial fee to \$83 and it adds a cross reference to the new fee at s. 440.05 (intro.). These changes were made to correct a drafting error that I noticed after the compiled version of the Assembly Republican's super amendment was prepared.

Mark D. Kunkol Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0131

E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb1503/3dn MDK:jld:pg

July 2, 2001

#### Darin Renner:

This version is identical to the previous version except that it changes the initial fee to \$83 and it adds a cross—reference to the new fee at s. 440.05 (intro.). These changes were made to correct a drafting error that I noticed after the compiled version of the Assembly Republican's super amendment was prepared.

Mark D. Kunkel Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-0131

E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us



1

## State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRBb1503/3 MDK:jld:pg

ARC:.....Hughes - AM22, Dental school staff licensing

#### FOR 2001-03 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

## CAUCUS ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT

### TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

#### TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

	·
2	1. Page 1145, line 15: after that line insert:
3	"Section 3504s. 440.05 (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	440.05 Standard fees. (intro.) The following standard fees apply to all initial
5	credentials, except as provided in ss. 440.42, 440.43, 440.44, 440.51, 442.06, 444.03,
6	444.05, 444.11, 447.04 (1) (c) 1. a. and (2) (c) 2., 449.17, 449.18 and 459.46:".
7	2. Page 1149, line 8: after that line insert:
8	"Section 3532m. 440.08 (2) (a) 26m. of the statutes is created to read:
9	440.08 (2) (a) 26m. Dentist, faculty member: October 1 of each odd-numbered
10	year; \$131.".

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

**3.** Page 1156, line 6: after that line insert:

"Section 3608d. 447.04 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

447.04 (1) (b) The Except as provided in par. (c), the examining board may grant a license to practice dentistry to an individual who is licensed in good standing to practice dentistry in another state or territory of the United States or in another country if the applicant meets the requirements for licensure established by the examining board by rule and upon presentation of the license and payment of the fee specified under s. 440.05 (2).

**SECTION 3608f.** 447.04 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

447.04 (1) (c) 1. The examining board shall grant a license to practice dentistry to an applicant who is licensed in good standing to practice dentistry in another jurisdiction upon presentation of the license and who does all of the following:

- a. Pays a fee of \$83.
- b. Submits evidence satisfactory to the examining board that the applicant has been offered employment as a full-time faculty member at a school of dentistry in this state.
- c. Makes responses during any interview that the examining board may require that demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the examining board, that the applicant is competent to practice dentistry.
- 2. A license granted under subd. 1. authorizes the license holder to practice dentistry only within educational facilities and only for the purpose of carrying out the license holder's teaching duties.