

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-SB55)

Received: 06/23/2001

Received By: rkite

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Assembly Republican Caucus

By/Representing: Tessmer

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kuesejt

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: State Government - miscellaneous

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Requester's email:

Pre Topic:

ARC:.....Tessmer - AM94,

Topic:

Nudity on state owned lands

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kuesejt 06/25/2001	gilfokm 06/25/2001		_____			
/1			rschluet 06/26/2001	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/26/2001		

FE Sent For:

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-SB55)

Received: 06/23/2001

Received By: rkite

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Assembly Republican Caucus

By/Representing: Tessmer

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: kuesejt

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: State Government - miscellaneous

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Requester's email:

Pre Topic:

ARC:.....Tessmer - AM94,

Topic:

Nudity on state owned lands

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
17/1	kuesejt	6/25/11 - 6/25/11	8	9 pb			
			6-25-11	6-26-11			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Require the owner to submit a record of the inspection to DNR within six months after the inspection. Specify that dam inspections performed by DNR prior to July 1, 2002, qualify under the ten year requirement.

Further require the DNR to work collaboratively with DOA and DATCP to establish an on-line, reverse-auction bid site where private and public dam owners may post requests for inspections and receive bids from potential inspectors/engineering firms.

Delete \$149,300 GPR in 2001-02 and \$199,000 GPR in 2002-03 to delete 3.5 positions related to dam inspections.

Affected agency: DNR

Fiscal Impact: Reduces spending by \$348,300 GPR and eliminates 3.50 positions.

Location/Status: Adopt ARC Amendment #262 (Albers)

13. Asserting the Assembly GOP Position

A. Prohibit Nudity on State-Owned Land

Agency affected: DNR

Move to prohibit nudity on lands that are owned or managed by state agencies.

Fiscal impact: DNR already maintains a law enforcement presence at the most popular nude beach so no new costs are anticipated.

Location/Status: 2001 Assembly Bill 356

B. Wisconsin-Minnesota Boundary Commission

Eliminate the Commission.

Agency affected: DNR

Fiscal impact: Reduce \$766,200 SEG

Location/Status: New motion

C. Geographic Organization of DNR

Move to require that all of Crawford and Vernon Counties be administered as part of the DNR's Western Region.

Location/Status: New motion (Johnsrud)

Affected agency: DNR

Fiscal impact: None.

2001

Date (time) needed

noon

LRB b 1655, 1

**ARC CAUCUS BUDGET AMENDMENT
[ONLY FOR CAUCUS]**

Joe King

See form **AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.**

**CAUCUS AMENDMENT
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1
TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55**

>>FOR CAUCUS SUPERAMENDMENT — NOT FOR INTRODUCTION<<

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

✓ #. Page 1182, line 6: after that line insert.

#. Page, line:

#. Page, line:

#. Page, line:

#. Page, line:

#. Page, line:

2001 ASSEMBLY BILL 356

April 25, 2001 - Introduced by Representatives JOHNSRUD, FREESE, HAHN, JENSEN, WARD, HUEBSCH, RYBA, SUDER, NASS, GROTHMAN, KESTELL, LADWIG, VRAKAS, OTT, KEDZIE, GUNDRUM, URBAN, OWENS, PETROWSKI, AINSWORTH, SERATTI, TOWNSEND, LEIBHAM and GUNDERSON, cosponsored by Senators ZIEN, SCHULTZ, WELCH, LAZICH and ROESSLER. Referred to Committee on Natural Resources.

1 AN ACT to create 23.36 of the statutes; relating to: prohibiting nudity on certain
2 land and providing a penalty. (M)

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill prohibits a person from intentionally being nude in public on land that is owned, managed, supervised, or controlled by the department of natural resources. Under the bill, a person who violates the prohibition is required to forfeit \$1,000.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

3 37736 710.20
SECTION 1. ~~23.36~~ of the statutes is created to read:
4 ~~23.36~~ Nudity prohibited. (1) In this section: *state -*
on state-owned or managed land

5 (a) "In public" means in a place where a person does not have a reasonable
6 expectation of privacy.

7 (b) "Nude" means not wearing a fully opaque covering over the genitals, pubic
8 area, or buttocks or not wearing a fully opaque covering over the female breast below
9 the top of the nipple.

(c) "State agency" has the meaning given for "agency" in s. 6.70 (1).



ARC:.....Tessmer – AM94, Nudity on state owned lands

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

**CAUCUS ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55**

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 1182, line 6: after that line insert:

3 **“SECTION 3773m. 710.20 of the statutes is created to read:**

4 **710.20 Nudity prohibited on state-owned or state-managed land. (1)**

5 In this section:

6 (a) “In public” means in a place where a person does not have a reasonable
7 expectation of privacy.

8 (b) “Nude” means not wearing a fully opaque covering over the genitals, pubic
9 area, or buttocks or not wearing a fully opaque covering over the female breast below
10 the top of the nipple.

