

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2001 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 01-1237/1

INTRODUCTION # SB 7

Admin. Rule #

Subject

Extension of Filing Deadline for Tax Incremental Finance Forms

Fiscal Effect

- State:** No State Fiscal Effect
 Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation
- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb
Within Agency's Budget <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation | | |
- Decrease Costs

Local: No Local Government Costs

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
<input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Cities
<input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others <u>City of Tomah</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | |

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

Under current law, a municipality that creates a Tax Incremental District (TID) must file certain forms and applications with the Department of Revenue (DOR) on or before December 31 of the year in which the TID is created. DOR uses the forms and application to certify the tax incremental base value of the TID. Subsequent improvements and development in the TID lead to increases in its value over the base value. Taxes levied on the value increments, i.e. the current equalized value minus the base value, are used to pay the TID's project costs.

The bill extends the December 31 deadline for filing forms with DOR for a municipality that created a TID in February 1999. Under the bill, if the municipality filed the required forms by May 31, 2000, DOR would be required to certify the TID's base value as if the forms had been filed by December 31, 1999. As a result, DOR would certify a 1999 base value for the TID. Under the bill, DOR would not certify a value increment before 2001.

The bill would affect TID #6 created by the City of Tomah in 1999 but was not certified with a 1999 base value due to late filing. Under current law, any improvements and development that occurred prior to DOR's base year certification would be included in the base value and would thus not generate tax increments. With a 1999 base year allowed under the bill, all improvements and development that occurred in the TID project in 1999 after its creation would be part of the value increment and would thereby generate tax increments.

The bill would require DOR to certify the base value for the affected TID, the costs of which can be absorbed.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Rebecca A Boldt, (608) 266-6785	Yeang-Eng Braun <i>Yeang Eng Braun</i> (608) 266-2700	1/19/00

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2001 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 01-1237/1

Admin. Rule #

INTRODUCTION # SB 7

Subject

Extension of Filing Deadline for Tax Incremental Finance Forms

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ _____	\$ _____
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ _____	\$ See text of fiscal note.

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Rebecca A Boldt, (608) 266-6785	Yeang-Eng Braun <i>Yeang Eng Braun</i> (608) 266-2700	1/19/00