Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental						
LRB Number 01-3747/1	Introduction Number	SB-307						
Subject Captive wildlife, partial transfer to DATCP								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues Decrease Cost Decrease Cost Decrease Cost Decrease Cost Decrease Cost Decrease Cost	ts - May be possible to agency's budget No sts						
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre Permissive Mandatory Perm	5.Types of Local Units Affected Towns ease Revenue ease Revenue issive Mandatory Districts	Government Village Cities Others WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS 20.370(1)(mu) and							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794								

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 12/13/01

LRB Number 01-3747/1	Introduction Number SB-307	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject									
Captive wildlife, partial transfer to DATCP									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: This bill establishes an new regulatory structure in the Department for captive wildife. The bill eliminates all current licenses and establishes new types of licenses. It clarifies DATCP authority to require health certification for captive wild animals moving in interstate commerce and transfers registration of white-tailed deer farms to DATCP as farm-raised deer. The bill allows the department to set standards for humane care and housing and eliminates the taking of free-ranging wild animals which is allowed on game farms under current law.

Fiscal Estimate: Costs to the department include issuance of permits, records review, inspections, enforcement investigations and monitoring of licensees. These costs are also expended under current law. An estimated 2.5 FTE of effort is currently expended statewide to issue captive wildlife related permits and licenses. These FTEs are currently Conservation SEG funded and are located in Customer Service and Licensing, Wildlife Management field stations and in Law Enforcement. Under this proposal, these efforts would continue and would still be funded by Conservation SEG.

Under this bill some additional Law Enforcement effort may be needed to issue permits and provide monitoring and investigations to thoroughly implement the provisions of this bill, but this additional level of effort is undetermined at this point. It is assumed that any extra workload will be absorbed by the department, or reevaluated at a later date. There may also be some changes in the number of licensees as a result of the transfer of white-tailed deer farms to DATCP and also as a result of changes in the license fee structure.

Revenues may be reduced. License fees for captive wildlife licenses were raised separately in Wisconsin Act 9 (1999-01 biennial budget). Revenue for License Year 2000, the first year the new fees have been in effect, is approximately \$116,500, an increase of approximately \$80,000 over previous estimated annual revenue. Under this bill, the fee structure will be, for the most part retained, but there may be an undetermined reduction in revenue from license holders who would receive discounts for multiple licenses.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supplemental
LRB	LRB Number 01-3747/1 Introduction Number SB-307								
Subject Captive wildlife, partial transfer to DATCP									
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):									
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:						Impa	act on funds from:
						Increased Co	sts		Decreased Costs
	e Costs by		. – .						
		- Salaries and	Fringes	_			\$		
	Position Ch								
	Assistance	- Other Costs		_					
$oldsymbol{+}$		s or Organizat		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Costs by Cate		\dashv			_ _		
		Source of Fu					\$		\$
GPR		Source of Ful	nas						
FED	·								
\vdash	/PRS	· .		_					
	/SEG-S						- -		
		Complete t	hie only who	<u></u>	roposal	will increase			state revenues
(e.g., ta	ax increase,	decrease in	license fee, e	ets.)))	will increase	or decr	ease	state revenues
						Increased F	lev		Decreased Rev
GPR	Taxes					- 	\$		\$
GPR	Earned								
FED									
	/PRS								
	/SEG-S								
	TOTAL State Revenues					\$		\$	
			NET ANNUA	LIZ	ED FISC	AL IMPACT			
				<u>Sta</u>	<u>ate</u>		Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE						\$		\$	
Agenc	y/Prepared l	Ву		Aui	thorized	Signature			Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe				Polasek	(608) 266-279	4		12/13/01	