

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/14/2001

Received By: dykmapj

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Revisor of Statutes

By/Representing: Bruce Munson

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: dykmapj

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: State Government - miscellaneous

Extra Copies: CMH
Rep. Jensen (Attn: Ellen)

Submit via email: NO

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revisor's Correction Bill

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	dykmapj 11/14/2001	hhagen 02/11/2002					
/P1		chanaman 04/16/2002	pgreensl 02/18/2002		lrb_docadmin 02/18/2002		
/P2			rschluet 04/18/2002				
/P3		chanaman 04/24/2002	rschluet 04/24/2002		lrb_docadmin 04/24/2002		

per Rachel
Vern
@
Leg. Council
for Assembly

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1			rschluet 04/25/2002	_____	lrb_docadmin 04/25/2002	lrb_docadmin 04/25/2002	

FE Sent For:

→ Not Needed

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **11/14/2001**

Received By: **dykmapj**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Revisor of Statutes**

By/Representing: **Bruce Munson**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **dykmapj**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **State Government - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies: **CMH
Rep. Jensen (Attn: Ellen)**

Submit via email: **NO**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revisor's Correction Bill

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Revised</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	dykmapj 11/14/2001	hhagen 02/11/2002		_____			
/P1		chanaman 04/16/2002	pgreensl 02/18/2002	_____	lrb_docadmin 02/18/2002		
/P2			rschluet 04/18/2002	_____			
/P3		chanaman 04/24/2002	rschluet 04/24/2002	_____	lrb_docadmin 04/24/2002		

*per Rachel Veine
@ Leg-Council
for the Assembly*

Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed Submitted Jacketed Required

/1

rschluet _____
04/25/2002 _____

lrb_docadmin
04/25/2002

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/14/2001

Wanted: As time permits


For: Revisor of Statutes

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

May Contact:

Subject: State Government - miscellaneous

Submit via email: NO



Received By: dykmapj

Identical to LRB:

By/Representing: Bruce Munson

Drafter: dykmapj

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies: CMH
Rep. Jensen (Attn: Ellen)

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revisor's Correction Bill

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Revised</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>
/?	dykmapj 11/14/2001	hhagen 02/11/2002		
/P1		chanaman 04/16/2002	pgreensl 02/18/2002	
/P2			rschluet 04/18/2002	
/P3			rschluet 04/24/2002	

PA's -
 Please send the ~~1~~ to
 RSB (Bruce Toesly)
 Nick Zavas at Leg. Council
 Don Schneider @ SLL
 Ellen Nowak @ Jensen's
 office

Please e-mail a copy to
 Rachel Veum @ Leg. Council.

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **11/14/2001**

Received By: **dykmapj**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Revisor of Statutes**

By/Representing: **Bruce Munson**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **dykmapj**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **State Government - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies: ~~5~~
CMH

→ Rep. Jensen
(Attn: Ellen)

Submit via email: **NO**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revisor's Correction Bill

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>
/?	dykmapj 11/14/2001	hhagen 02/11/2002			
/P1		chanaman 04/16/2002	pgreensl 02/18/2002		lrb_docadmin 02/18/2002
/P2			rschlue 04/18/2002 lrb_wpo		

PA's-
Please send rough copies of
-4255/P2 & /P3 to Bruce
Hesly at RSB.
single-sided
send one clean copy too.

Thanks,
cmh

FE Sent For:

<END>

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **11/14/2001**

Received By: **dykmapj**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Revisor of Statutes**

By/Representing: **Bruce Munson**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **dykmapj**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **State Government - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies:


~~CMH~~
CMH

Submit via email: **NO**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revisor's Correction Bill


Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	dykmapj 11/14/2001	hhagen 02/11/2002		_____			
/P1			pgreensl 02/18/2002	_____	lrb_docadmin 02/18/2002		

FE Sent For:


<END>
4-24-2

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/14/2001

Received By: dykmapj

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Revisor of Statutes

By/Representing: Bruce Munson

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: dykmapj

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: State Government - miscellaneous

Extra Copies: HMH
CMH

Submit via email: NO

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revisor's Correction Bill

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	dykmapj	1/1 hmb 11/19/01	2/13 PS	2/14 PS			
FE Sent For:							
<END>							

Hagen, Heather

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2001 10:17 AM
To: Hagen, Heather
Cc: Munson, Bruce
Subject: RE: LRB-0953/1

In addition to redoing the merger correctly like I said yesterday, lets also correct the act section at the back of the bill as follows (strike out 40.58, the email doesn't allow doing that):

2001 Wisconsin Act ____ (AB 512) is amended to read:

Section 29. The treatments of 40.58 40.51 (8m) of the statutes by 1999 Wisconsin Acts 95 and 115 are not repealed by 1999 Wisconsin Act 155. All treatments stand.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hagen, Heather
Sent: Wednesday, December 12, 2001 3:51 PM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Subject: LRB-0953/1



Houston, we have a problem.

Section 29 of LRB-0953/1 has an error in it. It has 40.58 (8m) listed and should be 40.51 (8m). Because of this, we need to include 40.51 (8m) in another revisor's bill. Can it be included in one of the drafts that's over here right now? Let me know.

Heather

Hagen, Heather

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Wednesday, December 12, 2001 3:58 PM
To: Hagen, Heather
Subject: RE: LRB-0953/1

Sure. put in 4255

-----Original Message-----

From: Hagen, Heather
Sent: Wednesday, December 12, 2001 3:51 PM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Subject: LRB-0953/1



Houston, we have a problem.

Section 29 of LRB-0953/1 has an error in it. It has 40.58 (8m) listed and should be 40.51 (8m). Because of this, we need to include 40.51 (8m) in another revisor's bill. Can it be included in one of the drafts that's over here right now? Let me know.

Heather

Hagen, Heather

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2001 10:36 AM
To: Hagen, Heather
Cc: Munson, Bruce
Subject: RE: rb 5 -4255

OK.

1. In section 6, 15.215 (1) should not be renumbered. Delete "is renumbered 15.215 and"
2. In the note to section 92, make the whole note 10 pt. It ends in 12 pt.
3. In section 105. The effective date for 77.52 (2) (a) 10. should be August 1, 2002.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hagen, Heather
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2001 10:22 AM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Subject: RE: rb 5

Actually, I have started on it. Are the changes small enough that you can just e-mail them to me and I can make them on this end?

-----Original Message-----

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2001 10:14 AM
To: Hagen, Heather
Subject: rb 5

have you started to work on the bill I labeled rb5? I'd like to check it out and make a couple corrections if that doesn't mess up what you are doing or have done.

Bruce Hoesly

Bruce Hoesly
Deputy Revisor of Statutes

Hagen, Heather

From: Hanaman, Cathlene
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2001 11:05 AM
To: Hagen, Heather
Subject: FW: act 20

-----Original Message-----

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2001 3:46 PM
To: Hanaman, Cathlene
Cc: Munson, Bruce
Subject: act 20

FYI: From our next correction bill:

118.02 (17) of the statutes, as created by 2001 Wisconsin Act 20, is renumbered 118.02 (17m).

Note: Confirms renumbering by the revisor under s. 13.93 (1) (b). 2001 Wis. Act 16 also created a provision numbered s. 118.02 (17).

Bruce Hoesly
Bruce Hoesly
Deputy Revisor of Statutes

Hagen, Heather

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2001 2:20 PM
To: Hagen, Heather
Subject: RE: rb5 (aka LRB-4255)

There is a very good reason for that. Because the section title does not allow the inclusion of the name of the agency created, the subsection title must be retained for the agency name to appear in a title, which, I believe is consistent with the way other things are titled in that chapter (even if it does look dumb)

I don't remember anything about my original draft or an email, or much of anything else for that matter (although I can tell you my junior high locker combination and a best friend's). Having no memory of anything, I can offer no explanation for my erratic behavior. Maybe it was the other Bruce.

Anyway sorry for the confusion.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hagen, Heather
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2001 2:11 PM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Subject: rb5 (aka LRB-4255)



I have a question for you about s. 15.215 (1)

You initially had it in as a renumber to 15.215. Then you sent the e-mail telling me to only amend it, not renumber it. Why are we keeping the (1) and (1) (title) if there are no other subsections to it?

I'm confused.

Hagen, Heather

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2001 10:39 AM
To: Hagen, Heather
Cc: Munson, Bruce
Subject: rb 5 inserts



I put a document labeled 01 rb5 billinsert 1 in the new bills folder, which contains a new section to be added to 01 rb 5.

Bruce Hoesly
Bruce Hoesly
Deputy Revisor of Statutes

Hagen, Heather

From: Hanaman, Cathlene
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2001 2:37 PM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Cc: Hagen, Heather
Subject: RE:

Change not intended--although it reads so nicely without the article.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2001 3:42 PM
To: Hanaman, Cathlene
Subject:

s. 660 In s. 20.395 (3) (eq), 2nd word after the title, "the" , was dropped. Change intended. I doubt it but want to be sure

Bruce Hoesly

Bruce Hoesly
Deputy Revisor of Statutes

X
Changed
in
4255

Hagen, Heather

From: Hanaman, Cathlene
Sent: Thursday, August 16, 2001 6:42 PM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Cc: Hagen, Heather
Subject: RE:

The first "only" in the sentence (not the last word) should not be there. The compiled draft was based on a draft that was based on a draft that was based on a 1993 amendment. Back then "only" did appear (although it is incorrectly placed, but you did not ask for my opinion, did you?). Change not intended.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2001 2:18 PM
To: Hanaman, Cathlene
Subject:

s. 29r In the last sentence of s. 6.15 (3) (b), the word "only" was added without scoring. Change Intended?

Bruce Hoesly

Bruce Hoesly
Deputy Revisor of Statutes

*Changed in
4255*

Emery, Lynn

From: Hanaman, Cathlene
Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2002 10:50 AM
To: Laura Beam; Lynn Emery; Mike Barman; Sarah Basford
Subject: Revisor's request

Bruce Munson asks if we could send Don Schneider (Senate Chief Clerk) copies of -1160/1, -3698/1, -4255/1, and -5066/1.

When 4703 and 5080 become /1s, Don will need copies of those too.

OK?

Thanks,
Cathlene

Kahler, Pam

From: Hoesly, Bruce
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2002 12:15 PM
To: Kahler, Pam
Subject: RE: Revisor's bill, LRB-4255

Go for it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kahler, Pam
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2002 12:12 PM
To: Hoesly, Bruce
Subject: Revisor's bill, LRB-4255

Hi, Bruce:

I'm reviewing some sections in LRB-4255 right now. I discovered an error in Act 16 just a couple of days ago while I was working on an amendment that affected a section containing the error. Would it be okay with you if I corrected the error in this bill? There is only one error but it occurs in a number of places. See, for example, s. 560.96 (5) (b). There should be an "s" after the apostrophe at the end of "business," i.e., "business's." This is the possessive form of a singular noun. In the /4 version of the draft, the editor, who had not edited the draft before, removed the "s" after the apostrophe. I assumed she got confused, since it would have been correct to end with the apostrophe if the noun had been plural. (And it can look strange to have an "s" after an apostrophe when the base word ends in "s.") She changed it in subs. (4) (a) 2. and 3. and (5) (b), (e), and (h). Then, unfortunately, I used that draft as the base draft for another "zone" program, i. e., s. 560.798. So we have the same error in s. 560.798 (4) (a) 2. and 3. and (5) (f). I wish I had seen it during the budget process, but by /4 of a budget draft you aren't going over every word with a fine tooth comb, especially ones that had remained unchanged in every previous version.

Let me know if it's okay to add those changes. Thanks.

Pam

Barman, Mike

From: Hanaman, Cathlene
Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2002 10:56 AM
To: Laura Beam; Lynn Emery; Mike Barman; Sarah Basford

When 4255/p3 emerges from typing, could we send it quickly to the RSB? They are chomping at the bit.

I guess I would ask that 4703 and 5080 get the same treatment when they emerge (although they are not in typing yet).

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4255/P3dn
PJK&GMM:cmh:rs

April 24, 2002

Bruce:

Note added changes to ss. 560.798 (4) (a) 2. and 3. and (5) (f) and 560.96 (4) (a) 2. and 3. and (5) (b) (intro.), (e), and (h), which we discussed.

Pamela J. Kahler
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-2682
E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.state.wi.us

Bruce:

Please note that this redraft deletes "aged" and inserts "years of age" in s. 51.13 (4) (g), as well as in s. 51.13 (4) (g) (intro.) for internal consistency.

Gordon M. Malaise
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-9738
E-mail: gordon.malaise@legis.state.wi.us

979.10 (2) also refers to a "certification" rather than a permit. If the bill amends sub. (4) it should also amend sub. (2)—or alternatively amend neither.

Emery, Lynn

From: Emery, Lynn
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2002 8:57 AM
To: Veum, Rachel
Subject: LRB-4255/1 (attached)

Lynn Emery

Program Asst. (PH. 608-266-3561)
(E-Mail: lynn.emery@legis.state.wi.us)

Legislative Reference Bureau - Legal Section - Front Office
100 N. Hamilton Street - 5th Floor
Madison, WI 53703

4/25/2002