Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 01-2734/1	Introduction Number AB	3-262	
Subject			
State aid to replace taxes lost due to phase-in o	of use value assessment of agriculture land		
Fiscal Effect			
Appropriations Rev	rease Existing venues crease Existing venues lincrease Costs - N absorb within ager venues lincrease Costs - N absorb within ager lincrease Costs - N absorb within ager lincrease Costs - N absorb within ager lincrease Costs		
Permissive Mandatory Perr 2. Decrease Costs 4. Dec	nissive Mandatory Counties Counties School	vernment Village	
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 20 Appro	priations	
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS 20.835(1)(ed)		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date	
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310	Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773 04/10/200		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 04/11/2001

LRB Number 01-2734/1	Introduction Number AB-262	Estimate Type Original				
Subject						
State aid to replace taxes lost due to phase-in of use value assessment of agriculture land						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill creates a new state aid, payable in July of each year from 2002 through 2007 (FY03 through FY08) to municipalities, counties, school and technical college districts. Each year's aid is an amount equal to the taxes that would have been levied by the taxing jurisdictions on the difference between the assessment of agricultural land under the statutory formula for phasing in use value assessment and the use value assessment of that land. Each year's aid is calculated using the taxing jurisdiction's tax rates and assessments for the prior year.

Adjusted for changes in the number of acres classified agricultural, the equalized value of agricultural land decreased by about \$2.4 billion from 1999 (the second year of the 10-year phase in) to 2000 when use value was fully implemented. Since 2000 would have been the third year of the phase in, the average annual decrease in the value of agricultural land under the phase in would have been about \$305 million (\$2.4 billion x 1/8).

Since the 2002 payments under the bill are based on 2001 tax rates and values, the difference in the value of agricultural land assessed according to the phase-in formula and full implementation of use value is about \$1.8 billion (\$2.4 billion - \$305 million x 2 years). Assuming the taxing jurisdictions' 1999/2000 tax rates are representative of the tax rates in subsequent years, state aids for 2002 by taxing jurisdiction under the bill are shown in Table 1 (see attachment to fiscal estimate).

Assuming that tax rates remain at their 1999 levels and use values are constant through 2007, estimated total state aids under the bill are shown in Table 2 attached.

The Department will incur one-time costs of \$8,400 for equipment and continuing costs of \$33,500 to administer the aid payments under the bill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None.

Table 1: Estimated State Aids under 2001 AB 262, Payable July 2002

	Difference between	1999 Tax Rates	Estimated State
	Phase In and Full	per \$1,000 of Full	Aid, Payable
Taxing Jurisdiction	Implementation Value	Value *	July 2002
		•	
Municipalities	\$1.8 billion	\$2.74	\$5.1 million
Counties	\$1.8 billion	\$4.87	\$8.9 million
School Districts	\$1.8 billion	\$10.24	- \$18.7 million
Technical College			,
Districts	\$1.8 billion	\$1.59	\$2.9 million
Total	\$1.8 billion	\$19.44	\$35.6 million

^{*} Weighted by the full value of agricultural land in the jurisdiction.

Table 2: Estimated State Alds under 2001 AB 262, 2002 to 2007

Year	Total Aids		
2002	\$35.6 million		
2003	\$29.6 million		
2004	\$23.7 million		
2005	\$17.8 million		
2006	\$11.9 million		
2007	\$5.9 million		
TOTAL	\$124.4 million		

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	01-2734/	1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-262
Subject State a		taxes lost due	e to phase-in	of use value	assessment of	agricultur	e land
annua	I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): \$8,400 for equipment.						
II. Ann	ualized Cos	its:			Annualized	Fiscal Im	pact on funds from:
					Increased Cos	sts	Decreased Costs
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category					
Stat	e Operations	- Salaries and	l Fringes		\$30,70	00	
(FTI	E Position Ch	nanges)			(0.5 FT	E)	
Stat	e Operations	- Other Costs			2,80	_	
Loca	al Assistance				35,600,0	00 -	7.1
Aids	to Individua	ls or Organiza	tions				
Т	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory		\$35,633,5	00	\$
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fu	nds				
GPF	7				35,633,5	00	
FED)						
PRO	D/PRS				<u> </u>		
L.i.	S/SEG-S					<u> </u>	
III. Sta (e.g., t	ite Revenue: tax increase	s - Complete , decrease in	this only wh license fee,	en proposal ets.)	will increase	or decrea	se state revenues
					Increased R	ev	Decreased Rev
GPI	R Taxes					\$	\$
GPI	R Earned						
FED)						
PRO	D/PRS						
SEC	G/SEG-S				<u></u>		
T	OTAL State	Revenues			<u></u>	\$	\$
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT						
					Sta		Local
NET C	CHANGE IN (COSTS			\$35,633,5	00	\$
NET C	CHANGE IN I	REVENUE				\$	\$35,600,000
Agen	cy/Prepared	Bv		Authorized	Signature		Date
-	-	(608) 266-131	0		er (608) 266-5	773	04/10/2001