

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number **01-3774/5** Introduction Number **AB-621**

Subject
 Access to public records; use of SS numbers; prisoner activities; prescription and credit/debit card information; caller ID blocking; privacy impact statements; place of certain trials; DEG officers

Fiscal Effect

State:

No State Fiscal Effect
 Indeterminate
 Increase Existing Appropriations
 Increase Existing Revenues
 Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
 Decrease Existing Appropriations
 Decrease Existing Revenues

 Yes No
 Create New Appropriations

 Decrease Costs

Local:

No Local Government Costs
 Indeterminate

1. Increase Costs
 3. Increase Revenue
 Permissive Mandatory
 Permissive Mandatory
 2. Decrease Costs
 4. Decrease Revenue
 Permissive Mandatory
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Government Units Affected

Towns Village Cities
 Counties Others
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected **Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS

Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DOR/ Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773	Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700	11/27/2001

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOR 11/28/2001

LRB Number 01-3774/5	Introduction Number AB-621	Estimate Type Original
Subject Access to public records; use of SS numbers; prisoner activities; prescription and credit/debit card information; caller ID blocking; privacy impact statements; place of certain trials; DEG officers		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill would restrict access to social security numbers that are contained on certain public records of the state and local governments. It would also require state agencies to prepare privacy impact statements on bills impacting on personal privacy, and it would preclude taxation districts (municipalities) from putting photographs of residential property on the Internet.

The proposed restrictions on social security numbers are not expected to interfere with the Department of Revenue's administration of state taxes and in particular with enforcing compliance with those taxes. In addition, the proposed privacy impact statements could be prepared using existing resources.

Some municipalities currently place photographs of residential property on the Internet, for example, as part of the online information available on property assessments on such property or as advertising for the sale of foreclosed property. They would incur costs to remove those photos from the Internet, should this bill be enacted. Local governments may also incur additional costs as a result of the proposed restrictions on access to social security numbers. However, the impact on their costs is not expected to be significant.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications