

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number **01-2469/1** Introduction Number **SB-101**

Subject
 Residency requirements for taking the deputy sheriff examination

Fiscal Effect

State:

No State Fiscal Effect
 Indeterminate
 Increase Existing Appropriations
 Increase Existing Revenues
 Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
 Decrease Existing Appropriations
 Decrease Existing Revenues
 Yes
 No
 Create New Appropriations
 Decrease Costs

Local:

No Local Government Costs
 Indeterminate

1. Increase Costs
 3. Increase Revenue
 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected
 Permissive Mandatory
 Permissive Mandatory
 Towns
 Village
 Cities
 2. Decrease Costs
 4. Decrease Revenue
 Counties
 Others
 Permissive Mandatory
 Permissive Mandatory
 School Districts
 WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected **Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

GPR
 FED
 PRO
 PRS
 SEG
 SEGS

Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
COMM/ Bev Haberman (608) 266-5381	Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629	4/2/01

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

COMM 4/2/01

LRB Number 01-2469/1	Introduction Number SB-101	Estimate Type Original
Subject Residency requirements for taking the deputy sheriff examination		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, counties with populations under 500,000 may impose residency requirements for persons competing for the position of deputy sheriff. An examination of persons residing in this state for at least one full year before the date of the examination is required. Persons with the three highest scores for each position are eligible to be appointed.

This bill eliminates the residency requirement.

A county may see both an increase in costs or a decrease in costs. Without the residency requirement, a county may experience an increase in the number of applicants. This increase may create an increase in costs associated with grading and processing the examinations. On the other hand, a cost savings may occur because it will no longer be necessary to verify the residency of the applicants. These costs will vary from county-to-county depending on the number of deputy sheriff positions in each county and the turnover in those positions.

It is not possible to estimate the amount of the cost savings or the increased costs associated with this bill. Therefore, the fiscal effect of this bill is indeterminable.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 01-2469/1	Introduction Number SB-101	
Subject Residency requirements for taking the deputy sheriff examination		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):		
II. Annualized Costs:		
	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT		
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$Indeterminable
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$Indeterminable
Agency/Prepared By COMM/ Bev Haberman (608) 266-5381		
Authorized Signature Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629		Date 4/2/01