Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

⊠ Or	riginal		Jpdated		Corre	cted		Supple	mental
LRB Nui	mber 01-2 4	155/1		Introd	ductio	on Number	SI	B-209	
Subject									
Zoning of u	ıpland environme	ntal corrid	dors						
Fiscal Effe	ect								
Indet	state Fiscal Effect terminate ncrease Existing ppropriations decrease Existing ppropriations create New Appro		Increase Ex Revenues Decrease E Revenues	Ū		Increase Co absorb with Ye Decrease C	in age es		
☐ Indo 1. ☑ 2. ☐	Local Government terminate Increase Costs Permissive M Decrease Costs Permissive M	landatory	3. Increase Re Permissive 4. Decrease Re Permissive	Manda Nevenue	•	5.Types of Loc Government Towns Counties School Districts	Units	s Affected Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities Reg. Planning Coms.
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370(3)(ma)									
w Grn			rno M SEG		.us 20	J.370(3)(ma)			
Agency/Pr	repared By		Auth	orized S	Signati	ure			Date
DNR/ Joe I	Polasek (608) 26	6-2794	Joe I	Polasek ((608) 2	266-2794			10/23/01

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 10/23/01

LRB Number 01-2	455/1	Introduction Number	SB-209	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject							
Zoning of upland environmental corridors							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

SUMMARY OF BILL - This bill creates an upland environmental corridor zoning program similar in nature to the current shoreland zoning program. Under the bill, the DNR must promulgate rules to establish criteria for determining what are to be considered upland environmental corridors and to establish standards for identifying these corridors, including minimum size requirements. Once the DNR identifies these corridors, the regional planning commission (RPC) for the area in which each upland environmental corridor is located must map the corridor by 2007. If a corridor is not under the jurisdiction of an RPC, the county, city, or village in which the corridor is located must either map the corridor or contract with an RPC to perform the mapping. The bill also requires the DNR to promulgate rules to establish objectives for protecting these corridors from land use practices, including development, that reduce "natural values." Each local unit of government in which an upland environmental corridor is located must enact an ordinance that meets these objectives. If a local unit of government fails to do so within one year after mapping is completed, the DNR must enact an ordinance for that unit of government.

FISCAL IMPACT - These requirements would have a fiscal impact on both local government and the DNR.

Assume that the definition of upland environmental corridor is somewhat similar to environmental corridor definitions currently used by regional planning commissions for water quality planning purposes.

Assume needed natural resources data do not exist or are not readiliy available. All counties, except perhaps the seven-county Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission area, will likely need to engage in resource inventory work to support any mapping that is to be done. Cost for inventory work assumed at \$40,000-\$50,000 per county (total cost \$2.6 - \$3.25 million).

Based on recent environmental corridor mapping contract with Bay-Lake RPC, assume cost of mapping (using existing and available data) to be \$20,000 - \$30,000 per county for the counties (at least 53 of them) with no existing environmental corridor maps (total cost: \$1.06 - \$1.59 million). At least 9 counties have mapped environmental corridors (not necessarily upland environmental corridors, however). Assume cost to revise/update maps in these counties to be \$10,000 - \$20,000 per county (total costs: \$90,000 - \$180,000).

Environmental corridors are mapped currently for communities with wastewater treatment facilities in at least 10 other counties. Assume cost for maps in these counties (i.e. to map corridors in remainder of county and update the existing maps) will be in the neighborhood of \$20,000 per county (total cost \$0.2 million).

Given these assumptions and calculations, the total cost of preparing maps would range from \$1.35 million to \$1.97 million. Note: this is likely an under-estimate of actual mapping costs because it assumes the use of state-of-the-art GIS technology for the preparation of maps. Not all counties have this ability.

Assume on-going program implementation costs at the local level would increase with the addition of this program to existing local zoning programs. Assume one additional FTE per county, at \$60,000 each (total cost of \$4.32 million annually).

Assume state operations costs for on-going program implementation and enforcement would be analogous to the state shoreland zoning program, which is approximately \$600,000 per year. This cost includes 2-3 FTEs for the Central Office and a portion of numerous FTEs' time in the regional field offices.

Summary of costs is as follows:

Cost Category One-Time Estimated Range of Costs On-going Cost per Year Low High A. Counties

Inventories

1. Estimate 65 counties will \$2,600,000 \$3,250,000 require new resource inventories at \$40,000-\$50,000 per county

Mapping

- 1. Mapping corridors in 53 \$1,060,000 \$1,590,000 counties with no existing maps at \$20,000-\$30,000 per county
- 2. Updating corridor maps in 9 \$ 90,000 \$ 180,000 counties with existing corridor maps at \$10,000-\$20,000 per county
- 3. Mapping corridors in 10 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 counties with wastewater treatment facilities (therefore with partial corridor maps) at \$20,000 per county

On-going Management and Enforcement 1 FTE per each of 72 counties at \$4,320,000 \$60,000

TOTAL LOCAL COST \$3,950,000 \$5,220,000 \$4,320,000

B. Dept. of Natural Resources

On-going program management and \$ 600,000 enforcement

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Program implementation and compliance/enforcement costs would likely be similar to costs associated with implementing and enforcing the current shoreland zoning program (approx. \$600,000 annually for the DNR) and would represent new on-going costs for local governments (\$4.3 million annually)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Upda	ted Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 01-2455/1	Introduction Number	er SB-209				
Subject						
Zoning of upland environmental corridors	6					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts		(do not include in				
annualized fiscal effect):						
\$3.9 - \$5.2 million						
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisca	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	es \$400,000					
(FTE Position Changes)	(6.5 FTE)					
State Operations - Other Costs	200,000					
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$600,000	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR	600,000					
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this on (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license		crease state revenues				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET A	NNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>	Loca				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$600,000	\$4,320,000				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
	_					
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	10/23/01				