Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Update	ed Corrected Sup	plemental					
LRB Number 01-2455/1	Introduction Number SB-20	9					
Subject							
Zoning of upland environmental corridors							
Fiscal Effect							
Appropriations Decrease Existing	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Increase Costs - May be absorb within agency's I						
Permissive Mandatory III 2. Decrease Costs 4. III Permissive Mandatory III	5.Types of Local Government Units Affected Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Mandatory Counties Others School Districts	☑ Cities Regional planning commissions					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785	Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773	7/9/01					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 7/9/01

LRB Number 01-2455/1	Introduction Number	SB-209	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject						
Zoning of upland environmental corridors						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under the bill, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) shall establish criteria and requirements for upland environmental corridors. The DNR will also establish objectives for protecting these corridors from land use practices that reduce the corridors' natural value, including objectives as to the amount and type of development that may occur. Both the criteria and objectives for the corridors are to be established by administrative rule.

Under the bill, regional planning commissions or local units of government where the corridors are located or the DNR would be required to map the corridors. Local units of government would be required to enact ordinances that meet the objectives established by rule.

Under current law, assessors must consider the effect on the value of property of any zoning ordinances related to wetlands, shorelands, and conservation easements. The bill requires assessors to consider the effect of zoning ordinances related to upland environmental corridors as well. The assessor must consider whether the ordinances change the property's highest and best use and thus affect the property's assessed value. Minor restrictions on the property have little or no effect on value; severe restrictions can have a major impact on the assessed value of property. To the extent that the assessed value of property in a corridor is reduced due to restrictions on use, property taxes will be shifted away from the property to other taxable property in the taxing jurisdiction. On the other hand, assessed value of property within or adjoining a corridor could increase due to its aesthetic characteristics, thereby leading to a mirror property shift from other taxable property to the affected property.

Costs to Local Units of Government. The bill does not provide sufficient detail of the mapping requirements to allow a reliable estimate of the one-time mapping costs that would be incurred by regional planning commissions, local units of government or DNR.

Local units of government would also incur one-time costs associated with enacting required ordinances; these are not expected to be significant, particularly if the DNR provides model ordinances to assist communities.

State Costs. The bill would require minor modification to the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual, the costs of which could be absorbed.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☐ Updated	Corrected	Supple	emental			
LRB Number 01-2455/1	Introduction Num	ber SB-20 9)			
Subject						
Zoning of upland environmental corridors						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for S annualized fiscal effect):	itate and/or Local Governme	nt (do not incluc	le in			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
·	Increased Costs	Decre	ased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$		_			
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-				
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$		\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only who (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee,	ets.)	decrease state r	evenues			
	Increased Rev	Dec	reased Rev			
GPR Taxes	\$		\$			
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$		\$			
NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$See text of fiscal note.	\$See text of fiscal note.				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$		\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature		Date			
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785	Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773 7/9/01					