



## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 10/2/01

LRB Number	<b>01-3581/2</b>	Introduction Number	<b>SB-253</b>	Estimate Type	<b>Original</b>
<b>Subject</b>					
Judgments in traffic cases and in municipal court					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

#### Conclusion:

State Costs: Small but indeterminable effect on the Division of Motor Vehicles.

State Revenue: Small but Indeterminable loss of revenue.

Local Costs: Small but indeterminable increase in costs for Municipal Courts .

#### Basis for Conclusion:

1. Most circuit and municipal courts already allow installment plans for defendants who are unable to pay judgements because of poverty.
2. There will be a small but indeterminable reduction in driver license FPF (Failure to Pay Forfeiture) suspensions because more people will probably choose to pay forfeitures through an installment plan agreement because of poverty.
3. The number of people who will qualify for an installment plan agreement because of poverty is indeterminable. Each court may have different guidelines to determine poverty.
4. The suspension is terminated if the person chooses to pay by installment agreement. No definition of "terminate" is provided; current action to "vacate" the case with no reinstatement fee may be similar.
5. If a person fails to pay on the installment agreement, the suspension is reinstated and the person is not eligible for another installment agreement for the forfeiture. This may result in some indeterminate increase in caseload activity for the Department.
6. Courts will incur some small increase in costs because they will be required to determine, in person or in writing, if the person meets poverty guidelines for an installment agreement, before the suspension can be ordered.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>01-3581/2</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>SB-253</b>	
<b>Subject</b>		
Judgments in traffic cases and in municipal court		
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>		
None.		
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>	<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$0	
(FTE Position Changes)	(0.0 FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S	0	
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)</b>		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S	0	
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>		
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$0	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$0	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		
<b>Authorized Signature</b>		<b>Date</b>
DOT/ Eileen Ostrowsky (608) 266-1449		10/2/01
Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979		