Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

×	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental		
LRB	Number	01-3658/1		Intro	duction Nun	nber	SB-285			
Subjec	Subject									
Binding referendum of continuation of state lottery										
Fiscal	Effect									
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing tions Existing	Increase E Revenues Decrease Revenues s	· ·	absor		s - May be p agency's bu ts			
	Indeterminate 1. Increase I Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive Mandato se Costs	3. Increase R y Permissive 4. Decrease I y Permissive	□Mano Revenue	Units A datory Alatory Mattery Solution	Affected owns ounties	Governmen Village Others WTCS Districts	t Cities Special districts		
Fund Sources Affected GPR PRO PRO PRS SEG SEGS Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations 20.455(2)(r), 20.566(2r) and (8), and 20.835(3)(q) - (s)										
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву	Aut	horized	Signature			Date		
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310 Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700						10/30/01				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 10/30/01

LRB Number 01-3658/1	Introduction Number	SB-285	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Binding referendum of continuation of state lottery									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill would require a referendum in November 2002 asking voters whether the sale of lottery tickets should be prohibited as of January 1, 2003. If a majority votes to prohibit lottery sales, the Secretary of Revenue would be required to submit by February 1, 2003 a plan to phase out lottery operations.

Assuming the referendum is approved, sales of lottery tickets would end as of January 1, 2003. 2001 Act 16 (the biennial budget) indicates that total sales of lottery tickets are expected to be about \$402.9 million in FY03. Since the bill would eliminate lottery ticket sales for the second half of FY03, it is assumed that total lottery revenue would decrease by 50% or about \$201.5 million (50% x \$402.9 million). On an annual basis, lottery revenue would decrease by about \$403 million. The attached table reproduces the lottery fund summary from 2001 Act 16 (pages 47-48) with an additional column showing estimates for FY03 under SB 285 and a column showing the change for FY03 under SB 285 compared with current law.

Lottery administrative expenses for FY03 are expected to total \$63.7 million, including \$21.5 million for general program operations, \$28.4 million for retailer compensation and \$12.8 million for vendor fees. Under the bill, the Secretary of Revenue is required to develop a plan to phase out these expenses. The phase-out would have to address the Lottery's long-term contracts and other commitments, including the 240-day window in which winners can claim prizes and the annuitized bonds for 25-year prize payouts. Due to these long-term commitments, the phase-out would include costs in FY04 and possibly in subsequent years. However, on an annual basis, about \$64 million in expenses would be eliminated.

Lottery revenue available for property tax relief is the residual remaining after deduction of prizes and administrative expenses plus the fund's opening balance, interest earnings and gaming-related revenue. Typically, a reserve is maintained which becomes the following year's opening balance. However, under the bill it is assumed that there would not be a reserve. Therefore, as shown in the attached table, the amount available for property tax relief would decrease by about \$79.7 million (\$113.9 million – \$34.2 million) in FY03 and by about \$114 million each year thereafter under the bill. Since all property tax jurisdictions receive lottery revenue, all jurisdictions would have to increase property taxes or other revenue sources, or reduce services, to offset the loss of lottery revenue.

Also, under current law, the Farmland Tax Relief Program is appropriated \$15 million annually from the Lottery Fund. Under this program, eligible farmers receive an income tax credit of up to \$1,500 as reimbursement for property taxes on their agricultural land. Since the Lottery Fund would be eliminated under the bill, an alternative funding source would have to be identified or this tax relief program would have to be eliminated.

Under the bill, counties would incur the cost of placing the referendum question on the ballot and processing the votes. Since the referendum would be part of a regularly scheduled election, election costs attributable to the referendum are likely to be minimal.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Comparison of Lottery Fund under Current Law and SB 285							
Current Law							
FY03 under							
	<u>FY02</u>	<u>FY03</u>	<u>SB 285</u>	<u>Change</u>			
Gross Revenue	\$403,719,100	\$402,943,000	\$201,471,500	-\$201,471,500			
Expenses:							
Prizes	230,258,200	229,867,000	114,920,639 *	-114,946,361			
Administrative Expenses	<u>63,363,300</u>	<u>63,685,000</u>	63,685,000	0			
	\$293,621,500	\$293,552,000	\$178,605,639	-\$114,946,361			
Net Proceeds	\$110,097,600	\$109,391,000	\$22,865,861	-\$86,525,139			
Total Available for Property Tax	Relief:						
Opening Balance	\$9,324,400	\$8,074,400	\$8,074,400	\$0			
Net Proceeds	110,097,600	109,391,000		-86,525,139			
Interest Earnings	2,335,000	2,455,000	1,227,500	-1,227,500			
Gaming-Related Revenue	<u>2,477,300</u>	<u>1,995,900</u>	1,995,900	0			
	\$124,234,300	\$121,916,300	\$34,163,661	-\$87,752,639			
Property Tax Relief	\$116,159,900	\$113,857,400	\$34,163,661	-\$79,693,739			
Gross Closing Balance	8,074,400	8,058,900	0	-8,058,900			
Reserve	8,074,400	8,058,900	<u>0</u>	<u>-8,058,900</u>			
Net Closing Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
* Assumes prizes are 57% of gross revenue, the same percentage currently in the budget.							

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Jpdated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number 01-	3658/1		Intro	Introduction Number SB-285				
Subjec	ot .								
Binding	g referendum of co	ntinuation	of state lott	ery					
I. One-	time Costs or Rev	venue Imp	pacts for S	tate and/or L	ocal Governr	nent (do no	ot include in		
annua	lized fiscal effect)	:							
II. Ann	ualized Costs:				Annualized	Fiscal Imp	act on funds from:		
					Increased Cos	sts	Decreased Costs		
	te Costs by Categ								
	e Operations - Sala		ringes			\$	-229,867,000		
	Position Changes	<u> </u>			***		(-110.5 FTE)		
-	e Operations - Othe	er Costs					-229,867,000		
	al Assistance						-109,391,000		
	to Individuals or O								
	OTAL State Costs					\$	\$-402,943,000		
	te Costs by Sourc	e of Fund	is						
GPF									
FED									
	D/PRS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
SEC	G/SEG-S (Lottery)						-402,943,000		
III. Sta (e.g., t	te Revenues - Co ax increase, decr	mplete the	is only whe ense fee, o	en proposal ets.)	will increase o	or decrease	state revenues		
					Increased R	ev	Decreased Rev		
	GPR Taxes					\$	\$		
<u> </u>	GPR Earned								
FED									
	D/PRS		·						
	SEG/SEG-S (Lottery)						-402,943,000		
Шт	TOTAL State Revenues					\$	\$-402,943,000		
		N	ET ANNUA	ALIZED FISC	AL IMPACT				
					Sta	<u>ite</u>	Local		
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$-402,943,0	00	\$		
NET C	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$-402,943,0	00	\$-113,857,400		
Agend	cy/Prepared By			Authorized	Signature		Date		
DOR/	DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310			Brian Pahnk	e (608) 266-27	00	10/30/01		