## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

X	Original		Updated		Correc	eted		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	01-3870/2		Introd	ductio	n Number	SE	3-322	
Subjec	et								
Creation of park and recreation districts									
Fiscal	Effect								
	<del></del>	e Existing tions Existing	Revenue	es e Existing		Increase Co absorb withi Ye Decrease Co	n age s		
	No Local Go Indeterminat 1. Increas Permis 2. Decrea	e Costs sive Mandato se Costs	3. ☑ Increase	ive Mand e Revenue	latory	5.Types of Loc Government Affected Towns Counties	Units	Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities Park & recreation districts
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations									
☑ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.566 (1) (gc), 20.835 (4) (gc)									
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву	A	uthorized	Signatu	re			Date
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705 Del				ennis Collie	nnis Collier (608) 266-5773				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 11/29/01

LRB Number <b>01-3870/2</b>	Introduction Number	SB-322	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Creation of park and recreation districts									

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under the bill, two or more contiguous municipalities (except for municipalities with a majority of their territory in Milwaukee County) could jointly create a park and recreation district. Such a unit would be a local unit of government separate and distinct from its underlying municipalities.

The bill would exempt a park and recreation district from local property taxes and from state corporate income taxes. Purchases by such districts would be exempt from state and local sales and use taxes. Transfers of property to such districts would be exempt from the real estate transfer fee.

Under the bill, a park and recreation district board would have the power to impose a sales and use tax, a property tax, or both. A sales and use tax of 0.1% could be imposed if approved by both the district board and by a majority of the district's electors voting on the resolution to impose the tax. The board may also impose a property tax of no more than 0.6 mill, less any sales taxes collected in the prior year.

If a sales tax is imposed, the tax shall be collected by the Department of Revenue (DOR), which would retain 1.5% of the taxes collected to pay the costs of collecting the tax and distribute the remaining 98.5% to the district.

It is not possible to reasonably estimate the number of park and recreation districts that would be created as a result of the bill. It is therefore not possible to estimate the amount of property taxes or sales and use taxes that such districts would impose.

Based on its experience with administering other local sales and use taxes, DOR estimates that the bill would result in one-time development costs of \$588,200 and initial processing costs of \$164,500 in FY02, and ongoing costs of \$346,000 beginning in FY03. The bill allows DOR to retain 1.5% of collections to cover its costs of administering the proposed tax. DOR is unable to reasonably estimate the number of park districts that may form as a result of the bill and how many of these districts will adopt the sales tax. DOR is therefore unable to reasonably estimate the amount of park district sales taxes it will retain. However, it is highly likely that the amount of park district sales taxes retained by the Department would be insufficient to cover ongoing costs. To recover ongoing costs, tax collections from the 0.1% park district tax would need to be \$23 million (\$346,000 / 1.5%). This is less than the amount generated by the five-county 0.1% tax imposed by the Southeast Wisconsin Regional Baseball Park District in 2000. The state's share of collections would also be insufficient to fund initial development costs.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	01-3870/	2	Intro	duction Nu	ımber S	SB-322		
Subje Creati		d recreation di	istricts						
annua	-time Costs Ilized fiscal o	or Revenue Ir effect):	npacts for St	ate and/or l	∟ocal Governi	ment (do no	ot include in		
II. Anr	nualized Cos	ts:			Annualized	l Fiscal Imp	act on funds from:		
					Increased Co	sts	Decreased Costs		
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category							
<del></del>		- Salaries and	Fringes		\$231,200				
(FT	E Position Ch	ianges)			(5.0 F	TE)			
Stat	e Operations	- Other Costs			114,8	300			
Loc	al Assistance								
Aids	to Individual	ls or Organizat	tions						
	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory		\$346,0	000	\$		
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fu	nds						
GPI	3				346,0	000			
FEC									
	D/PRS								
SEC	3/SEG-S								
III. Sta (e.g., t	ite Revenues tax increase	s - Complete t , decrease in	this only whe license fee, e	n proposal ets.)	will increase	or decreas	e state revenues		
					Increased F	Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPI	R Taxes					\$	\$		
	R Earned								
FED									
<del></del>	D/PRS								
<del></del>	G/SEG-S								
	OTAL State	Revenues				\$	\$		
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT				
					St	tate	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$346,0	000	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$ \$See					
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date		
DOR/	Daniel Hueg	el (608) 266-5	705	Dennis Colli	ennis Collier (608) 266-5773				