FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM		200	71 Session	
	LRB # 01-239			
⊠ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED	INTRODUCTION	INTRODUCTION # SB 73		
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #	Admin. Rule #		
Subject Repeal of School District Revenue Limit	t			
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affect sum sufficient appropriation		☐ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues				
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues				
☐ Create New Appropriation		☐ Decrease Costs		
Local: No Local Government Costs				
1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ 3. ☐ Increase	se Revenues	5. Types of Local Governme	ntal Units Affected:	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Per	missive Mandatory	☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities		
	ase Revenues	☐ Counties ☐ Others		
	missive Mandatory	School Districts □ V		
Fund Sources Affected	-	Appropriations		
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SE	:G-S 20.255 (2) (ac)		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:				
school aid by more than a certain amount. Feer pupil revenue for the 2000-01 school year aid (defined as state aid plus the school levie as state school aids plus school property tax. The bill repeals the school district revenue line requirement, but makes no changes in the volument law. The repeal of the two-thirds state percentage of school revenues funded throus services, it is possible that this percentage of than under current law. Available data do not tax levy this bill could engender.	ar was about \$220. es credit) shall cons levies). mit. The bill also re arious school aid di t could lead to high te funding requirem gh state aids. Due ould be lower than percentages of stat	Current law also require titute two-thirds of school peals the two-thirds state of school district spendicent could lead to a character to the demands on the under current law.	res that state school pol revenues (defined the funding than under nge in the state for other ther property taxes	
Long-Range Fiscal Implications: Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Auth	orized Signature/Telepho	one No.	Date	
, agency, repaired by the	-		•	
	ng-Eng Braun Year	gly Bram	3/20/01	
Daniel P. Huegel, (608) 266-5705 (608)	266-2700	. ·		

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	Detailed Estimate of Annu	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect		
☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED	LRB # 01-2395/2		Admin. Rule#	
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION # SB	73		
Subject Repeal of School District Revenue Lir	mit			
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/o	or Local Government (do not includ	de in annualized fiscal effec	:t):	
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal imp		on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs			-	
Local Assistance			-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR		\$	\$ -	
FED			-	
PRO/PRS			-	
SEG/SEG-S			-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposa revenues (e.g., tax increase, deci	al will increase or decrease state rease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -	
GPR Earned			-	
FED			-	
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S			-	
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ -	
NE	T ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
	STATE		LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ see text of fiscal note			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ \$ see text of fiscal note		cal note	
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone	No.	Date	
Wisconsin Department of Revenue			3/20/01	
Daniel P. Huegel, (608) 266-5705	(608) 266-2700	ng Ry Snam	3/20/01	