## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Origina	lk	Updated		Corrected	$\boxtimes$	Supplemental .		
LRB Numb	er <b>03s0134</b> /	1	Introdu	uction Numbe	r A	SA1-AB174		
Subject  All-terrain vehicles on property of department of natural resources, various changes								
Fiscal Effect								
Indetermi Increa Appro Decre Appro Create  Local: No Local Indeterm 1. Incr	ase Existing priations ase Existing priations New Appropriation Government Cost	s  3. Increase Roory Permissive 4. Decrease F	Existing  evenue  Manda Revenue	5.Types of L Government Towns	o within Yes e Costs cocal ent Unit s ties	- May be possible agency's budget  No S Affected Village Cities Others Districts		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR F	ED PRO	PRS SEG	SEG:	S 20.370 (1)(mu)		-		
Agency/Prepar	ed By	Autho	orized Sig	nature		Date		
DNR/ Joe Polas	sek (608) 266-2794	olasek (60	8/18/2003					

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 8/19/2003

LRB Number 03s0134/1	Introduction Number ASA1-AB174	Estimate Type	Supplemental						
Subject									
All-terrain vehicles on property of department of natural resources, various changes									

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: This bill, as amended by AA 1 would allow anyone who is 70 or older, or the holder of a Class A or Class B disabled hunting permit to operate all-terrain vehicles (ATVs) on land under ownership or control of the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), or on any roadway through that land for the purpose of hunting and retrieving game. Current law allows holders of a Class A or Class B permit to operate ATVs or other motorized vehicles on specified roadways or trails on DNR lands to access areas open to public hunting. The original bill, AB 174, would have extended the privilege of ATV use on Department properties to anyone who is 65 or older. This amendment also limits ATV use to deer,elk and bear hunting seasons. The original bill would have allowed use throughout the year.

Fiscal Estimate: This bill, as amended, would significantly expand the number and the use of ATV's on Department property and potentially on lands under easement by DNR. Under this bill ATVs could be used while legally hunting and retrieving deer, elk and bear. In addition ATVs would not be restricted to trails and could be operated anywhere on DNR lands that are open for hunting. This extensive ATV use throughout properties would have the potential to damage areas of critical wildlife habitat or disrupt sensitive species. ATV use would occur in areas that are not capable of holding up to this type of use because of on site conditions such as unstable soils, wet areas, dikes and steep slopes, causing erosion and damage to properties. The resulting damage would require an expensive ongoing maintenance program to repair and restore areas not designed for this type of use. Properties such as state natural areas, parks, streambank protection areas and other sensitive habitats that are not currently open to ATV use would be opened with no use restrictions.

Since this bill, as amended, also would allow those 70 and and older to operate ATVs anywhere on state properties for hunting, it is expected that ATV use on DNR properties would significantly increase as a result. Under the original bill, it is estimated there are about 70,000 resident and nonresident hunters age 65 or older that would be able to operate ATVs on DNR lands under provisions of this bill. Under the amended bill, changing the age for the privilege of using ATVs on DNR lands from 65 or older

to 70 or older, it is estimated there are about 30,000 resident and nonresident hunters age 65 or older that would be able to operate ATVs on DNR lands under the provisions of this bill.

Implementation of this bill would require a substantial increase in enforcement efforts to monitor those using ATVs on the properties and to respond to inquiries regarding that use. There are approximately 600 state properties that would be affected by the provisions in this bill. Additional Law Enforcement and Property Management efforts are estimated to be 7 hours per property, or approximately 4,200 hours total (2.0 FTE). At an estimated cost of \$50,000 per FTE in salary in fringe, the total FTE costs is estimated to be \$100,000. This fiscal estimate remains similar for the amended bill, because it is based on the number of properties affected, and not the numbers of hunters eligible to use ATVs on DNR lands under the bill.

One-time costs of up to \$500,000 would be expended to post all state properties to notify users of the ATV use opportunities.

Management costs would also be incurred to modify property access control gates to allow this ATV access. Property access gates would need to be either left open or modified to accomodate ATV use. No cost has been estimated for modification of gates although it could be significant. It is important to note that leaving access gates open could cause abuse and damage of properties by those not authorized to access the property by motorized vehicles.

This bill may jeopardize federal wildlife restoration funding to Wisconsin which is approximately \$6-7 million

dollars annually. Federal funding is used for management and maintenance of wildlife properties. Under federal funding restrictions no uses may be allowed that interferewith the primary purpose for which the property was acquired and managed. Since state wildlife areas are managed for hunting and protection of wildlife habitat, widespread ATV use may conflict with that purpose.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

ATV registrations are increasing significantly each year and the population is aging at the same time. Under the provisions of this bill the numbers of those 65 and older using ATV's on DNR properties will expand. For the long term this could require substantial maintenance costs.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original	Updated		Corrected	×	Supplemental			
LRB Number 03s0134/	1	Intro	duction N	umber	ASA1-AB174			
Subject								
All-terrain vehicles on property of	department of na	atural res	sources, vario	ous change	es			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue In	npacts for State	and/or	Local Gove	rnment (d	o not include in			
annualized fiscal effect):								
Up to \$500,000 for property posting and gate modification								
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized	Fiscal Imp	oact on funds from:			
		i	ncreased Co	sts	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category								
State Operations - Salaries and	Fringes	\$			-100,000			
(FTE Position Changes)					(-2.0 FTE)			
State Operations - Other Costs								
Local Assistance								
Aids to Individuals or Organizati	ions							
TOTAL State Costs by Cate	gory			\$	\$-100,000			
B. State Costs by Source of Fun	ıds							
GPR								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S					-100,000			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
			Increased R	ev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes				\$	\$			
GPR Earned								
FED								
PRO/PRS					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SEG/SEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues				\$	\$			
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
			Sta	ate	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$-100,000			\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$			\$			
Agency/Prepared By	horized Signature			Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe F	Polasek (608) 266-2794			8/18/2003			