

**2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 12/01/2002

Received By: tfast

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Steve Wieckert (608) 266-3070**

By/Representing: **Scott Becher (aide)**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **tfast**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - highways**

Extra Copies: **ARG, PJH, PG - 1**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Wieckert@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

DOT review of new school construction plans

---

**Instructions:**

redraft 2001 AB-436 (LRB-1064/3)

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	tfast 12/01/2002	csicilia 12/03/2002		_____			State
/1	phurley 03/03/2003	csicilia 03/04/2003	pgreensl 12/04/2002	_____	lemery 12/04/2002		State
/2			jfrantze	_____	lemery	sbasford	

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
			03/04/2003	_____	03/04/2003	05/01/2003	
				_____		sbasford	
				_____		05/01/2003	

FE Sent For: 12/17/2002, 03/17/2003, ~~03/17/2003~~

(1/1") (1/2")

<END>

**2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 12/01/2002

Received By: tfast

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Steve Wieckert (608) 266-3070

By/Representing: Scott Becher (aide)

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: tfast

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - highways**

Extra Copies: **ARG, PJH, PG - 1**

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: **Rep.Wieckert@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

DOT review of new school construction plans

---

**Instructions:**

redraft 2001 AB 436 (LRB-1064/3)

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	tfast 12/01/2002	csicilia 12/03/2002		_____		<i>lt per Dept</i>	State
/1	phurley 03/03/2003	csicilia 03/04/2003	pgreensl 12/04/2002	_____	lemery 12/04/2002		State
/2			jfrantze	_____	lemery		

<u>Vers:</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
			03/04/2003 _____		03/04/2003		

FE Sent For: 12/17/2002.

12  
3/17/03  
SCOTT

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/01/2002

Received By: tfast

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Steve Wieckert (608) 266-3070

By/Representing: Scott Becher (aide)

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: tfast

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - highways

Extra Copies: ARG, PJH, PG - 1

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Wieckert@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

DOT review of new school construction plans

Instructions:

redraft 2001 AB-436 (LRB-1064/3)

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	tfast 12/01/2002	csicilia 12/03/2002		_____			State
/1			pgreensl 12/04/2002	_____	lemery 12/04/2002		

12 cjs 3/4  
03

3/4

3/4

FE Sent For:

12-17-02  
(" / 1")  
Requested  
by Scott

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/01/2002

Received By: tfast

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Steve Wieckert (608) 266-3070

By/Representing: Scott Becher (aide)

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: tfast

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - highways

Extra Copies: ARG, PJH, PG - 1

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Wieckert@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

DOT review of new school construction plans

Instructions:

redraft 2001 AB-436 (LRB-1064/3)

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

FE Sent For:

1 cjs  
 12/2  
 62  
 12/3  
 12/3  
 PS <END> PS/R

2003

Date (time) needed

D-NOTE/  
SOON  
(turned in 12/11)

LRB - 09711 1

TNF: gjs :

**BILL**

Use the appropriate components and routines developed for bills.

AN ACT... [generate catalog] *to repeal* ... ; *to renumber* ... ; *to consolidate and renumber* ... ; *to renumber and amend* ... ; *to consolidate, renumber and amend* ... ; *to amend* ... ; *to repeal and recreate* ... ; and *to create* ... of the statutes; relating to:

.....  
.....  
.....

[NOTE: See section 4.02 (2) (br), Drafting Manual, for specific order of standard phrases.]

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

If titles are needed in the analysis, in the component bar:

For the main heading, execute: ..... create → anal: → title: → head

For the subheading, execute: ..... create → anal: → title: → sub

For the sub-subheading, execute: ..... create → anal: → title: → sub-sub

For the analysis text, in the component bar:

For the text paragraph, execute: ..... create → anal: → text

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

SECTION #.

~~2001 ASSEMBLY BILL 436~~

~~June 3, 2001 - Introduced by Representatives WIECKERT, KRAWCZYK, MCCORMICK, J. LEHMAN, LIPPERT, SUDER, MEYERHOEER, FRISKE, HAIN, PETTIS, TOWNSEND, PLALE, MILLER, HOVEN, SYKORA, LASSA, HUBER, VRAKAS, WASSERMAN and JESKEWITZ, cosponsored by Senators BRESKE and SCHULTZ. Referred to Committee on Transportation.~~

- ① ~~AN ACT to create 84.01 (31) of the statutes: relating to~~ requiring the  
2 department of transportation to provide transportation planning and  
3 assistance in reviewing the site plan of proposed school construction or  
4 enlargement and granting rule-making authority.

---

***Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau***

Current law requires the department of transportation (DOT) to advise cities, villages, and towns (municipalities) and counties with regard to the construction and maintenance of any highway or bridge, when requested. DOT may, upon request, perform any supervision or engineering work necessary in connection with highway improvements by any municipality or county and may charge the municipality or county its costs. Current law also prohibits any person from opening a driveway onto a state trunk highway without first obtaining a permit issued by DOT and requires DOT to review the transportation plan of proposed land subdivisions.

This bill requires DOT, upon request of a school board, to review the site plan of any proposed enlargement of school grounds, or proposed construction or enlargement of school buildings or facilities. In its review, DOT must determine the impact of the proposed enlargement or construction on existing and anticipated highways, and must provide guidance to the school board with regard to transportation-related matters, such as roadways, sidewalks, bicycle paths, and school bus loading and unloading areas, in a manner that adequately protects children in the school zone, ensures motor vehicle access to the school, and minimizes any adverse impact of the enlargement or construction of the school on motor vehicle

**ASSEMBLY BILL 436**

traffic. DOT may, but is not required to, use transportation impact analysis processes in its review. To assist in its review, DOT may request a school board to submit a transportation impact analysis of its proposed enlargement or construction of the school. The bill specifies that a school board is not required to comply with DOT's recommendations and that DOT may not assess a fee for its services. Finally, DOT must define "transportation impact analysis" by rule.

The bill also requires DOT to make available to any school board safety courses, educational materials, and other assistance related to ensuring the convenience and safety of children and motor vehicle traffic in school zones. DOT may assess a fee, not to exceed DOT's cost, for these services. Finally, the bill requires DOT to annually provide to each school board written notice of DOT's obligations and services under this bill.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

- ① **33** SECTION 1. 84.01 (~~33~~) of the statutes is created to read:
- ② 84.01 (~~33~~) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND ASSISTANCE FOR NEW SCHOOLS. (a) 1.
- 3 A school board may request the department to review the site plan of any proposed
- 4 enlargement of school grounds, or proposed construction or enlargement of school
- 5 buildings or facilities. Upon receiving a request, the department shall review the site
- 6 plan submitted to the department by the school board and shall determine the
- 7 impact of the proposed enlargement or construction on existing and anticipated
- 8 highways, as defined in s. 340.01 (22). The department is not required to use
- 9 transportation impact analysis processes in its review of a site plan, but may apply
- 10 the principles of transportation impact analysis in its review of the site plan.
- 11 2. To assist in its review of a site plan under subd. 1., the department may
- 12 request that the school board submit a transportation impact analysis of its proposed
- 13 construction or enlargement to the department. Each school board is encouraged,
- 14 upon request, to submit a transportation impact analysis under this subdivision. ✓

**ASSEMBLY BILL 436**

1           3. The department shall provide guidance to the school board on the laying out  
2 of sidewalks, bicycle paths and racks, roadways for vehicular traffic, school bus  
3 loading and unloading areas, and access to highways, in a manner that adequately  
4 protects children in the school zone, that ensures motor vehicle, pedestrian, and  
5 bicycle access to the school grounds, buildings, or facilities, and that minimizes any  
6 adverse impact of the school grounds, buildings, or facilities on motor vehicle traffic.  
7 No school board is required to comply with the department's recommendations and  
8 the department is not responsible for any costs associated with implementation of  
9 any of its recommendations. The department may not assess any fee for services  
10 provided under this paragraph.

11           4. For purposes of this paragraph, the department by rule shall define  
12 "transportation impact analysis."

13           (b) Upon request, the department shall make available to any school board  
14 safety courses, educational materials, and other assistance not described in par. (a)  
15 related to ensuring the convenience and safety of children and motor vehicle traffic  
16 in school zones. The department may assess a fee, not to exceed the cost to the  
17 department, for services provided under this paragraph.

18           (c) Annually, after May 1 and before September 1, the department shall provide  
19 to each school board written notice of the department's obligations and available  
20 services under pars. (a) and (b).

**SECTION 2. Initial applicability.**

22           (1) This act first applies to requests for assistance received from a school board  
23 on the effective date of this subsection.

**SECTION 3. Effective date.**

**ASSEMBLY BILL 436**

2005 ✓

①

(1) This act takes effect on January 1, ~~2003~~.

2

(END)

D-NOTE

LRB-0971/1dn  
TNF: gjs

DRAFTER'S NOTE

ATTN: Scott Becher

# This bill is a redraft of 2001 AB-436. ✓

I have changed the effective date to  
January 1, 2005; it was January 1, 2003,  
in 2001 AB-436. If you wish an earlier  
effective date, please let me know.

TNF

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-0971/1dn  
TNF:cjs:pg

December 3, 2002

ATTN: Scott Becher

This bill is a redraft of 2001 AB-436. I have changed the effective date to January 1, 2005; it was January 1, 2003, in 2001 AB-436. If you wish an earlier effective date, please let me know.

Timothy N. Fast  
Senior Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-9739  
E-mail: [tim.fast@legis.state.wi.us](mailto:tim.fast@legis.state.wi.us)

# Memo

To: Senator  Representative  Wieckert

(The Draft's Requestor)

Per your request ... the attached is a fiscal estimate was prepared for your un-introduced 2003 draft.

LRB Number: LRB -0971

Version: "1"

Entered In Computer And Copy Sent To Requestor Via E-Mail: 1 / 13 / 2003

Fiscal Estimate Prepared By: (agency abbr.) DOT

If you have questions about the enclosed fiscal estimate, you may contact the agency/individual that prepared the fiscal estimate. If you disagree with the enclosed fiscal estimate, please contact the LRB drafter of your proposal to discuss your options under the fiscal estimate procedure.

\* \* \* \* \*

To: LRB - Legal Section PA's

Subject: *Fiscal Estimate Received For A Un-Introduced Draft*

- > If this draft is **re-drafted** ... please insert this early fiscal estimate into the drafting file ... after the draft's old version (the version this fiscal estimate was based on), and before mark-up of the draft on the updated version.
- > If this draft is **introduced** ... and the version of the attached fiscal estimate is for a **previous version** ... please insert this early fiscal estimate into the drafting file ... after the draft's old version (the version this fiscal estimate was based on), and before mark-up of the draft on the updated version. Have Mike (or Lynn) get the ball rolling on getting a fiscal estimate prepared for the introduced version.
- > If this draft is **introduced** ... and the version of the attached fiscal estimate is for the **current version** ... please write the drafts introduction number below and give this fiscal estimate to Mike (or Lynn) to process.

THIS DRAFT WAS INTRODUCED AS: 2003 \_\_\_\_\_

**Emery, Lynn**

---

**From:** Emery, Lynn  
**Sent:** Monday, January 13, 2003 3:42 PM  
**To:** Rep.Wieckert  
**Subject:** LRB-0971/1 (FE by DOT - attached for your review)



FE\_Wieckert.pdf

FE\_Wieckert.pdf



## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 1/13/2003

LRB Number 03-0971/1	Introduction Number	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Subject</b> DOT review of new school construction plans			

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

#### 1. Annual Notification Requirement - 84.01 (33) (a) 4c

The bill requires, that if requested, the Department of Transportation shall assist school boards in the review of the transportation impacts of proposed new schools or additions or modifications to existing school buildings and facilities. The Department is also required to provide information to schools on an annual basis regarding the availability of assistance.

#### 2. Planning & Assistance for New Schools – 84.01 (33) (a) 1,2

Based on calendar year 2000 referendums passed by voters statewide, it is estimated that 14 new public schools are constructed and 50 (38 in 2000) existing public schools undergo major improvements/additions annually that would be impacted by this legislation. Assuming that school construction activity among private schools is proportionate, it is estimated that 7 new private schools are constructed and 25 existing private schools undergo major improvement annually. It is also estimated for the purposes of this fiscal estimate, that a school board would request DOT assistance for all of the new schools and for half of the major reconstructions.

In addition, school boards may request planning analysis for new schools and major reconstruction conceptual proposals that are never moved to referendum. For the purposes of this fiscal estimate, we estimate that at least 25 of these conceptual analyses will be requested annually.

For new schools and major improvements, the Department would significantly benefit in its review if the school board provides a Traffic Impact analysis (TIA) to the Department. Many consultants are becoming well versed in the understanding of the need for and the development of TIA's and could prepare TIA's for school boards upon request. The legislation also defers to the Department the option of applying "the principles of transportation impact analysis. If a TIA is deemed administratively and technically necessary and the school board refuses to complete it, it will be necessary for the Department to complete the TIA at Departmental expense. This estimate assumes that one-third of all necessary TIA's would need to be completed by the Department or by a consultant at Departmental expense.

#### 3. Transportation Engineering Guidance – 84.01 (33) (a) 3

Upon request, the Department would be required to provide rather broad traffic engineering and transportation engineering guidance to school boards. Implicit in this guidance is an array of traffic engineering services related to site design, pedestrian and vehicular traffic engineering analysis, traffic safety engineering analysis, and roadway design. There are a total of 2,114 public schools and over 1,000 elementary and secondary private schools in Wisconsin (Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction web site - <http://www.dpi.state.wi.us/>) If only ten percent of these schools request some type of transportation engineering guidance on an annual basis, there would be over 300 such requests for service at Departmental expense.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

#### 1. Annual Notification Requirement

The Department is required annually to provide each school board written notification of the Department's obligations and available services. The initial cost to establish a web based system to provide notice of these services is estimated to take 8 person weeks at \$40 per hour. The total one time initial start up cost for the web page is  $40 \times 8 \times \$40 = \$12,800$ .

Annual costs for the notice of services is estimated to require 1 person week at \$40 per hour. The Annual cost is  $5 \times 8 \times \$40 = \$1,600$

(DOT STAFF IMPACT: 1 person-week)

## 2. Planning & Assistance for New Schools

For a new school or major reconstruction, it is estimated that an average TIA would take four person weeks to complete. At \$80 per hour for consultant services, the cost per TIA would be  $20 \times 8 \times 80 = \$12,800$ . For Department review of these TIA's it is estimated that 4 person days would be required. At \$50 per hour the cost per TIA would be  $4 \times 8 \times 50 = \$1,600$ .

We estimate that review and analysis of conceptual proposals in advance of referendum action would require three person-days per occurrence. The cost for each such review would be  $3 \times 8 \times 50 = \$1,200$ .

Total Costs:

Public School Board TIA's – (10 new schools ) x \$12,800 = \$128,000  
Public School Board TIA's – (34 major improvements x 1/2) x \$12,800 = \$217,600  
Private School Board TIA's – (5 new schools ) x \$12,800 = \$64,000  
Private School Board TIA's – (17 major improvements x 1/2) x \$12,800 = \$108,800  
DOT TIA's – (6 new schools + 12 major improvements) x \$12,800 = \$230,400  
DOT Review of School Board TIA's –  
(15 new schools + 51 major improvements x 1/2) x \$1,600 = \$64,800  
DOT Review of Conceptual Proposals (pre-referendum) - 25 x \$1,200 = \$30,000

(DOT STAFF IMPACT: 47 person-weeks)

## 3. Transportation Engineering Guidance

We conservatively estimate that a typical request for service would require two person-days of combined field and office services. At \$50 per hour, the cost per service request would be  $2 \times 8 \times 50 = \$800$ .

Total Costs: 300 requests x \$800 = \$240,000

(DOT STAFF IMPACT: 120 person-weeks)

## 4. TIA Administrative Rule Development – 84.01 (33) (a) 4

The Department, by Administrative Rule, is required to define Transportation Impact Analysis. It is estimated that 40 person days at a rate of \$50 per hour will be required to develop the rule. The cost for this effort is  $40 \times 8 \times \$50 = \$16,000$ .

## 5. Traffic Safety Services – 84.01 (33) (a) 4b

The Department is required to make available to any school board safety courses, educational materials and other assistance related to ensuring the convenience and safety of children and motor vehicles in school zones. The Department may assess a fee not to exceed the cost of the services provided. The obligation of the Department to provide safety courses, educational materials and other assistance as defined by this bill is considered by the Department as part of the normal cost of doing business. Many services are now being made available by the Department, but there has been only modest response by schools statewide to take advantage of those services.

TOTAL ANNUAL WISDOT STAFFING IMPACT: 168 person-weeks OR approximately 3 FTE

WISDOT OPERATIONS CONSULTANT BUDGET FOR TIA'S: \$230,400

ESTIMATED LOCAL AGENCY IMPACTS:  
INCREASE COST-PERMISSIVE  
NET ANNUAL INCREASE = \$518,400

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 03-0971/1	<b>Introduction Number</b>	
<b>Subject</b>		
DOT review of new school construction plans		
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>		
\$16,000 - Define TIA by Rule \$12,800 - Set up DOT Web Page for notification of services available from DOT \$28,800 - TOTAL ONE TIME COSTS		
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>	<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$336,400	
(FTE Position Changes)	(3.0 FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs	307,200	
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$643,600</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S ((3)(EQ) 365)	643,600	
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>		
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$643,600	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$

**Agency/Prepared By**

DOT/ John Corbin (608) 266-0459

**Authorized Signature**

Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979

**Date**

1/13/2003

## Barman, Mike

---

**From:** Barman, Mike  
**Sent:** Monday, March 03, 2003 8:49 AM  
**To:** Becher, Scott  
**Subject:** LRB-0971/1 (attached - per your request)



03-0971/1

*Mike Barman*

Mike Barman - Senior Program Asst. (PH. 608-266-3561)  
(E-Mail: [mike.barman@legis.state.wi.us](mailto:mike.barman@legis.state.wi.us)) (FAX: 608-264-6948)

State of Wisconsin  
Legislative Reference Bureau - Legal Section - Front Office  
100 N. Hamilton Street - 5th Floor  
Madison, WI 53703

2-27-02

mtg w/ Weickert, Scott & 2 DOT folk

- remove "impact" from analysis

- make CTSC first review

- take out "trans impact" sections

+ PJH

2003 BILL

Reger cat

1 AN ACT to create 84.01 (33) of the statutes; relating to: requiring the  
 2 Department of Transportation to provide transportation planning and  
 3 assistance in reviewing the site plan of proposed school construction or  
 4 enlargement and granting rule-making authority.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law requires the Department of Transportation (DOT) to advise cities, villages, and towns (municipalities) and counties with regard to the construction and maintenance of any highway or bridge, when requested. DOT may, upon request, perform any supervision or engineering work necessary in connection with highway improvements by any municipality or county and may charge the municipality or county its costs. Current law also prohibits any person from opening a driveway onto a state trunk highway without first obtaining a permit issued by DOT and requires DOT to review the transportation plan of proposed land subdivisions.

This bill requires DOT, upon request of a school board, to review the site plan of any proposed enlargement of school grounds, or proposed construction or enlargement of school buildings or facilities. (In its review, DOT must determine the impact of the proposed enlargement or construction on existing and anticipated highways, and must provide guidance to the school board with regard to transportation-related matters, such as roadways, sidewalks, bicycle paths, and school bus loading and unloading areas, in a manner that adequately protects children in the school zone, ensures motor vehicle access to the school, and minimizes any adverse impact of the enlargement or construction of the school on motor vehicle

effect

A school board may request a DOT review after the site plan has been reviewed by the County Traffic Safety Commission

**BILL**

traffic. DOT may, but is not required to, use transportation impact analysis processes in its review. ~~To assist in its review, DOT may request a school board to submit a transportation impact analysis of its proposed enlargement or construction of the school.~~ The bill specifies that a school board is not required to comply with DOT's recommendations and that DOT may not assess a fee for its services. ~~Finally, DOT must define "transportation impact analysis" by rule.~~

The bill also requires DOT to make available to any school board safety courses, educational materials, and other assistance related to ensuring the convenience and safety of children and motor vehicle traffic in school zones. DOT may assess a fee, not to exceed DOT's cost, for these services. Finally, the bill requires DOT to annually provide to each school board written notice of DOT's obligations and services under this bill.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

*County Traffic Safety Commission* l.c.

1 SECTION 1. 84.01 (33) of the statutes is created to read:

2 84.01 (33) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND ASSISTANCE FOR NEW SCHOOLS. (a) 1

3 A school board may request the ~~department~~ to review the site plan of any proposed  
4 enlargement of school grounds, or proposed construction or enlargement of school  
5 buildings or facilities. Upon receiving a request, the department shall review the site  
6 plan submitted to the department by the school board and shall ~~determine the~~

*impact* of the proposed enlargement or construction on existing and anticipated  
7 highways, as defined in s. 340.01 (22). The department is not required to use  
8 transportation impact analysis processes in its review of a site plan, but may apply  
9 the principles of transportation impact analysis in its review of the site plan.

11 ~~2. To assist in its review of a site plan under subd. 1., the department may  
12 request that the school board submit a transportation impact analysis of its proposed  
13 construction or enlargement to the department. Each school board is encouraged,  
14 upon request, to submit a transportation impact analysis under this subdivision.~~

*Following the review by the county traffic safety commission, the school board may request the department to review the site plan.*

*7 review the effect*

**BILL**

2

1           2. The department shall provide guidance to the school board on the laying out  
 2 of sidewalks, bicycle paths and racks, roadways for vehicular traffic, school bus  
 3 loading and unloading areas, and access to highways, in a manner that adequately  
 4 protects children in the school zone, that ensures motor vehicle, pedestrian, and  
 5 bicycle access to the school grounds, buildings, or facilities, and that minimizes any  
 6 adverse impact of the school grounds, buildings, or facilities on motor vehicle traffic.  
 7 No school board is required to comply with the department's recommendations and  
 8 the department is not responsible for any costs associated with implementation of  
 9 any of its recommendations. The department may not assess any fee for services  
 10 provided under this paragraph.

11           4. For purposes of this paragraph, the department by rule shall define  
 12 "transportation impact analysis."

13           (b) Upon request, the department shall make available to any school board  
 14 safety courses, educational materials, and other assistance not described in par. (a)  
 15 related to ensuring the convenience and safety of children and motor vehicle traffic  
 16 in school zones. The department may assess a fee, not to exceed the cost to the  
 17 department, for services provided under this paragraph.

18           (c) Annually, after May 1 and before September 1, the department shall provide  
 19 to each school board written notice of the department's obligations and available  
 20 services under pars. (a) and (b).

**SECTION 2. Initial applicability.**

21           (1) This act first applies to requests for assistance received from a school board  
 22 on the effective date of this subsection.  
 23

**SECTION 3. Effective date.**

24



# Memo

To: Senator  Representative  **Wieckert**

(The Draft's Requestor)

Per your request ... the attached is a fiscal estimate was prepared for your un-introduced 2003 draft.

LRB Number: LRB -0971

Version: "12"

Fiscal Estimate Prepared By: (agency abbr.) DPI

If you have questions about the enclosed fiscal estimate, you may contact the state agency representative that prepared the fiscal estimate. If you disagree with the enclosed fiscal estimate, please contact the LRB drafter of your proposal to discuss your options under the fiscal estimate procedure.

Entered In Computer And Copy Sent To Requestor Via E-Mail: 04 / 01 / 2003

\* \* \* \* \*

To: LRB - Legal Section PA's

Subject: *Fiscal Estimate Received For A Un-Introduced Draft*

- > **If re-drafted** ... please insert this cover sheet and attached early fiscal estimate into the drafting file ... after the draft's old version (the version this fiscal estimate was based on), and before mark-up of the draft on the updated version.
- > **If introduced** ... and the version of the attached fiscal estimate is for a **previous version** ... please insert this cover sheet and attached early fiscal estimate into the drafting file ... after the draft's old version (the version this fiscal estimate was based on), and before mark-up of the draft on the updated version. Have Mike (or Lynn) get the ball rolling on getting a fiscal estimate prepared for the introduced version.
- > **If introduced** ... and the version of the attached fiscal estimate is for the **current version** ... please write the drafts introduction number below and give to Mike (or Lynn) to process.

THIS DRAFT WAS INTRODUCED AS: 2003 AB 369

**Barman, Mike**

---

**From:** Barman, Mike  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 01, 2003 3:27 PM  
**To:** Rep.Wieckert  
**Subject:** LRB-0971/2 (FE by DPI - attached - for your review)



FE\_Wieckert.pdf

FE\_Wieckert.pdf

# Memo

To: Senator  Representative  Wieckert (The Draft's Requester)

**Per your request: ... the attached fiscal estimate was prepared for your unIntroduced 2003 draft.**

LRB Number: LRB - 0971

Version: "1/2"

Fiscal Estimate Prepared By: (agency abbr.) DOT

If you have questions about the enclosed fiscal estimate, you may contact the state agency representative that prepared the fiscal estimate. If you disagree with the enclosed fiscal estimate, please contact the LRB drafter of your proposal to discuss your options under the fiscal estimate procedure.

Entered In Computer And Copy Sent To Requester Via E-Mail: 04/08/2003

\* \* \* \* \*

To: LRB – Legal Section PA's

Subject: *Fiscal Estimate Received For An Unintroduced Draft*

- > **If redrafted** ... please insert this cover sheet and attached early fiscal estimate into the drafting file ... after the draft's old version (the version that this fiscal estimate was based on), and before the markup of the draft on the updated version.
- > **If introduced** ... and the version of the attached fiscal estimate is for a **previous version**... please insert this cover sheet and attached early fiscal estimate into the drafting file ... after the draft's old version (the version that this fiscal estimate was based on), and before the markup of the draft on the updated version. Have Mike (or Lynn) get the ball rolling on getting a fiscal estimate prepared for the introduced version.
- > **If introduced** ... and the version of the attached fiscal estimate is for the **current version** ... please write the draft's introduction number below and give to Mike (or Lynn) to process.

THIS DRAFT WAS INTRODUCED AS: 2003 AB 369

## Emery, Lynn

---

**From:** Emery, Lynn  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 08, 2003 10:57 AM  
**To:** Rep.Wieckert  
**Subject:** LRB-0971/2 (FE by DOT - attached - for your review)



FE\_Wieckert.pdf

FE\_Wieckert.pdf