

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **06/02/2003**

Received By: **agary**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-1182**

By/Representing: **Kathy Marschman (aide)**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **agary**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - motor vehicles**

Extra Copies: **TNF, PJH**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Petrowski@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Antique year of manufacture registration plates on collector vehicles

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	agary 06/23/2003	kgilfoy 06/23/2003		_____			State
/P1		kgilfoy 06/25/2003	chaskett 06/24/2003	_____	sbasford 06/24/2003		State
/1			jfrantze	_____	sbasford	sbasford	

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
			06/25/2003 _____		06/25/2003	06/25/2003	

FE Sent For:

<END>

→ At
Intro.

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 06/02/2003

Received By: agary

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-1182

By/Representing: Kathy Marschman (aide)

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: agary

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - motor vehicles**

Extra Copies: **TNF, PJH**

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: **Rep.Petrowski@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Antique year of manufacture registration plates on collector vehicles

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	agary 06/23/2003	kgilfoy 06/23/2003					State
/P1		11-6/25 Kmg	chaskett 06/24/2003		sbasford 06/24/2003		

6/25
Self
6/25

PA's Jacket "1" for Assm.

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 06/02/2003

Received By: agary

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-1182

By/Representing: Kathy Marschman (aide)

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: agary

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - motor vehicles

Extra Copies: TNF, PJH

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Petrowski@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Antique year of manufacture registration plates on collector vehicles

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	agary	P1-6/23 Kmg	P1 6/23 CPH	cmh/cph 6/23			
FE Sent For:							

<END>

Gary, Aaron

From: Marschman, Kathy
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2003 11:15
To: Frazier, Carson; Gary, Aaron
Cc: Baetsen, Karen; Zarada, Jane
Subject: RE: Drafting request - AB 282
 Alrighty then...

Aaron, please draft the new bill that includes the following provisions:

1. The new bill draft will use existing appropriation, 20.395(4)(ch), to receive contributions for the purpose of implementing the provisions of the bill.
2. Once sufficient revenues are received, but not later than June 30, 2005, DOT shall implement the provisions of the bill. If sufficient revenues have not been received by June 30, 2005 the DOT will return all funds received for the purpose of implementing YOM.

Aaron, can this be a priority bill draft? I've been notified that the State Association is having its annual meeting beginning July 11 and I would really like to have the new bill introduced prior to that.

Thanks to all-
 Kathy

-----Original Message-----

From: Frazier, Carson
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2003 10:53 AM
To: Gary, Aaron; Marschman, Kathy
Cc: Baetsen, Karen; Zarada, Jane
Subject: RE: Drafting request - AB 282

Kathy and Aaron: Re #1. I think we'd be fine with Aaron's suggestion -- we'd assumed that DOT would have to put into place some accounting mechanism to isolate the collectors' gifts from all other gifts/donations. Re #2: we'd be fine with a latest date per Aaron's note, except that, what if we haven't collected sufficient dollars by the date? We'd have to say that if DOT hadn't collected sufficient funds, we'd return the money and not implement.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary, Aaron
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2003 10:15 AM
To: Marschman, Kathy; Frazier, Carson
Cc: Baetsen, Karen
Subject: RE: Drafting request - AB 282

Kathy,

Re item 1., from a statutory/drafting perspective, the appropriation allows expenditure of the funds "to carry out the purposes for which [the gift, donation, etc. is] made and received." s. 20.395 (4) (ch). So I think the existing statute would require DOT to earmark the funds and prohibit DOT from expending the funds for any other purpose.

Re item 2., again from a statutory/drafting perspective, the trigger you describe would be built into the statute, as the time frame could extend beyond this fiscal biennium. If the time frame were "no later than

06/23/2003

June 30, 2005, DOT shall ...", this could be put in a non-statutory provision requiring DOT implementation.

Aaron

Aaron R. Gary
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Reference Bureau
608.261.6926 (voice)
608.264.6948 (fax)
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Marschman, Kathy
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2003 10:03 AM
To: Frazier, Carson
Cc: Baetsen, Karen; Gary, Aaron
Subject: RE: Drafting request - AB 282

Carson-
Thanks for your input.

1. If we use existing appropriation 20.395(4)(ch), how am I guaranteed that contributions by collectors will be used for the YOM project?
2. Regarding the trigger... I am concerned about leaving the implementation out there floating around. I would feel a higher level of confidence if we established a date by which sufficient contributions must be received so that DOT is provided a firm date to begin development work to implement the provisions of the bill. The DOT would not have to provide notification to collectors, so would not incur the additional notification costs you mentioned in your message. An option is to give them 2 years following enactment of the bill to contribute sufficient funds (\$40,900).

Now that we are all back in our respective offices, I look forward to getting this hammered out.
Thanks-
Kathy

-----Original Message-----

From: Frazier, Carson
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2003 2:21 PM
To: Gary, Aaron; Marschman, Kathy
Cc: Baetsen, Karen; Frazier, Carson
Subject: FW: Drafting request - AB 282

Hi, Aaron and Kathy. Karen Baetsen in our budget office and I have discussed, and we believe the contributions could work through the existing appropriation 20.395(4)(ch) which is a continuing appropriation for gifts and grants to DOT. This appropriation already has expenditure authorization specified, and we don't have to worry about sunseting the appropriation.

As to the "trigger", can you write it something like: upon receipt of \$..... in contributions from interested parties, the department "shall begin development work to implement" the provision of historical plates. The

contributions will fund our DP development work, which must be completed before we can link the historical plate and the collector plate record in our system. It also funds some LTE work to process pent-up demand of historical plate requests.

However, I must point out that I put dots in above instead of the \$40,900. The reason is that if we must notify collectors when we've done the development work and are ready to begin accepting applications to display historical plates, it will cost us another \$40,400 to mail a notification to all current collector plates (117,000 X \$.345 postage), for a total cost of \$81,300. We can't have people just putting the historical plates on without telling us, and if they did they'd be cited for improper registration.

One possible alternative is to make the provision allowing display of historical plates and notification to DOT effective six months after DOT obtains adequate contributions, with the idea that we'd have six months to do the DP development work. Then, we don't have to notify everyone, and they can't legally display until the development work is done and we can link the historical plate to the collector plate record.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary, Aaron
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2003 3:48 PM
To: Marschman, Kathy; Frazier, Carson
Subject: FW: Drafting request - AB 282

Sorry, one more related item: In the appropriation, how does DOT want the purpose for the appropriation (expenditure authorization) specified? Thanks. Aaron

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary, Aaron
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2003 3:44 PM
To: Marschman, Kathy; Frazier, Carson
Subject: RE: Drafting request - AB 282

Kathy,

I am working on this and getting hung up on the details, mainly because the bill doesn't actually require DOT to "do" anything - it doesn't actually issue the plates (or unlike 2003 SB 40, designate and mark something). So, as I understand the concept, no person can put a YOM plate on the vehicle until DOT has collected the requisite funds in donations. At that point, what happens? Does DOT issue a notice to all persons who have registered collector vehicles? What does DOT want to be the "trigger" that allows a person to go ahead and put the YOM plate on the person's vehicle?

Also, since we don't know when DOT will collect these funds, we can't really do a non-stat because there is no assurance that DOT will collect the funds within the biennium. So everything drafted will be in statutory language. And since we don't know when DOT will collect the funds, it is hard to sunset the appropriation, as we can't use a specific date and, going back to the first sentence of this e-mail, trying to sunset the appropriation by tying it to some type of official action of DOT is questionable because the draft doesn't really require DOT to take some official action (such as issuing plates).

Can you or DOT provide more details as to how this will work? Thanks. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Reference Bureau
608.261.6926 (voice)
608.264.6948 (fax)
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Marschman, Kathy
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2003 12:07 PM
To: Gary, Aaron; Frazier, Carson
Cc: Rep.Petrowski
Subject: Drafting request - AB 282

03-0961
50076

Aaron-

Please draft a preliminary new bill (rather than a second sub) to replace AB 282 and ASA 1.

In addition to the provisions of ASA 1 to AB 282, I'd like to create a new appropriation under s. 20.395 (5) to enable the department to accept contributions designated towards implementation of YOM plate registration. The original fiscal note estimated a cost of \$40,900 to make the necessary changes associated with the provisions that were included in ASA 1. I think it would be best to state the threshold funding amount in the bill rather than relying on some intangible statement such as "sufficient revenue", which could potentially change based on the costs of computer programming at any particular time.

Once the revenue goal is achieved, 1) the DOT implements the provisions of the bill; 2) the appropriation should be sunset following implementation of the provisions. Please include explicit statements as necessary.

Carson, do you foresee a need for rule-making related to creating such an appropriation? If yes, should we specify in the bill a delayed effective date for the appropriation to allow for rule promulgation?

I hope I haven't overlooked something with this request. Please let me know if you believe I have.

Thanks much-
Kathy

Kathy Marschman
Research Assistant/Committee Clerk
Representative Jerry Petrowski
86th Assembly District
608.266.1182/Toll-Free: 888.534.0086

2003-2004 DRAFTING INSERT
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2792/Plins
ARG:.....

INSERT anal: ✓

The bill allows the display of historical registration plates on special interest vehicles only if DOT receives, prior to June 30, 2005, contributions totaling at least \$40,900 from interested persons to cover DOT's estimated costs of implementation, and, if sufficient contributions are received, only after DOT has completed the development work necessary for implementation. If DOT does not receive sufficient contributions by June 30, 2005, DOT must return all contributions.

INSERT 3-12: ✓

2. Upon receipt of contributions totaling at least \$40,900 from persons interested in the display of historical plates under subd. 1, the department shall commence any development work necessary to implement the provisions of subd. 1. and shall complete the development work within 6 months of its commencement. The development work under this subdivision shall be funded only from the appropriation under s. 20.395 (4) (ch) from contributions received by the department for the purpose of implementing the provisions of subd. 1. If the department does not receive contributions totaling at least \$40,900 for the purpose of implementing the provisions of subd. 1. by June 30, 2005, the department may not commence development work to implement the provisions of subd. 1 and shall promptly return to each contributor all contributions received by the department for the purpose of implementing the provisions of subd. 1.

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2792/P1dn

ARG:...

ATTN: Kathy Marschman

I ultimately decided that the "trigger" date needs to be in a statutory provision because the bill doesn't just require DOT to take action by a certain date; it leaves open the possibility that all of the statutory text will be, basically, a nullity if insufficient funds are received. In other words, it can't be drafted so that, if a person reads the statute, it looks like the person can display the plates but, in reality, the person actually can't because under a non-statutory provision DOT did not receive enough contributions for implementation. Since the "trigger" date is in a statutory provision, it can easily be modified and doesn't have to be within this fiscal biennium.

Please advise if you would like any changes made. If the attached draft meets with your approval, let me know and I will turn it into an introducible "/1" draft.

Aaron R. Gary
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 261-6926
E-mail: aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

Soon
turned in
6/23

- 2792/P1
LRB: ~~00762~~
ARG:kmg:cph
↑

~~ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1~~

~~TO~~ 2003 ~~ASSEMBLY~~ BILL 2821

D-Note

~~May 28, 2003 - Offered by Representative PETROWSKI~~

Mem. Cat.

- 1 AN ACT to amend 341.15 (3) (a) and 341.265 (1m); and to create 341.266 (2) (dm)
- 2 of the statutes; relating to: the display of historical registration plates on
- 3 special interest vehicles that are 20 or more years old and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, an owner of a motor vehicle that is of model year 1945 or earlier may register the vehicle as an antique vehicle. Antique vehicles may be driven only for special occasions such as display and parade purposes or for necessary testing, maintenance, and storage purposes. Antique vehicles may display a historical registration plate from or representing the model year of the vehicle but must carry current registration plates in the vehicle.

Also under current law, an owner of a special interest vehicle that is 20 or more years old may, under specified circumstances, register the vehicle as a special interest vehicle for a one-time fee of twice the regular annual registration fee (\$45 for most automobiles), plus a one-time processing fee of \$50. A special interest vehicle is a motor vehicle that has not been changed from its original manufacturer's specifications and which is being preserved for its historic interest. Vehicles registered as special interest vehicles are issued distinctive registration plates, and may be reregistered for no additional fee if, with an exception, the vehicle is not operated on the highways during the month of January.

bill

This substitute amendment allows a motor vehicle registered as a special interest vehicle to display historical Wisconsin registration plates from or

representing the model year of the vehicle if the historical plates do not bear the same registration number as that assigned by the Department of Transportation (DOT) to any other currently registered vehicle. The owner of the vehicle must carry the current distinctive registration plates in the vehicle. The ~~substitute amendment~~ ^{bill} also requires the owner to notify DOT of the historical plates' registration number and requires DOT to record that number in the vehicle registration records maintained by DOT.

Insert anal

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill. ✓

subject to s. 341.266 (2) (dm) 2.,

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. 341.15 (3) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

2 341.15 (3) (a) A person who operates a vehicle for which a current registration
3 plate, insert tag, decal, or other evidence of registration has been issued without such
4 plate, tag, decal, or other evidence of registration being attached to the vehicle,
5 except when such vehicle is being operated pursuant to a temporary operation
6 permit or plate or displays a historical plate under s. 341.265 or 341.266.

7 SECTION 2. 341.265 (1m) of the statutes is amended to read:

8 341.265 (1m) ~~A~~ Notwithstanding s. 341.15 (1), a person who registers an
9 antique motor vehicle under sub. (1) may furnish and display on the vehicle a
10 historical plate from or representing the model year of the vehicle if the registration
11 and plates issued by the department are simultaneously carried in or, with respect
12 to an antique motorcycle, with the vehicle and are available for inspection.

13 SECTION 3. 341.266 (2) (dm) of the statutes is created to read: and subject to subd. 2. ✓

14 341.266 (2) (dm) ^{1.} Notwithstanding s. 341.15 (1), a person who registers a special
15 interest vehicle under par. (a) may furnish and display on the vehicle historical
16 plates previously issued by the department from or representing the model year of
17 the vehicle if the registration and the plates issued by the department under par. (c)

, after the department has completed the development work specified in subd. 2.,

1 are simultaneously carried in or, with respect to a motorcycle, with the vehicle and
 2 are available for inspection. A vehicle displaying historical plates under this
 3 ^{subdivision} ~~paragraph~~ shall display 2 identical plates, one attached to the front and the other to
 4 the rear of the vehicle, except that a motorcycle shall display one historical plate
 5 attached to the rear of the vehicle. A person who displays any historical plate on a
 6 ^{subdivision} ~~paragraph~~ vehicle under this shall, not less than 10 days prior to displaying the
 7 plate, notify the department of the registration number of the historical plate and
 8 identify the vehicle, and the vehicle registration records of the department shall
 9 reflect the registration number of the historical plate along with the registration
 10 number assigned by the department under par. (c). This ^{subdivision} ~~paragraph~~ does not apply
 11 to any historical plate bearing the same registration number as that assigned by the
 12 department for another currently registered vehicle.

13

(END)

insert
3-12

D-Note

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2792/P1dn
ARC:kmg:cph

June 23, 2003

ATTN: Kathy Marschman

I ultimately decided that the "trigger" date needs to be in a statutory provision because the bill doesn't just require DOT to take action by a certain date; it leaves open the possibility that all of the statutory text will be, basically, a nullity if insufficient funds are received. In other words, it can't be drafted so that, if a person reads the statute, it looks like the person can display the plates but, in reality, the person actually can't because under a nonstatutory provision DOT did not receive enough contributions for implementation. Since the "trigger" date is in a statutory provision, it can easily be modified and doesn't have to be within this fiscal biennium.

Please advise if you would like any changes made. If the attached draft meets with your approval, let me know and I will turn it into an introducible "/1" draft.

Aaron R. Gary
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 261-6926
E-mail: aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

Gary, Aaron

From: Marschman, Kathy
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 10:09
To: Gary, Aaron
Subject: RE: AB 282 replacement bill

That would be great. Thanks so much.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary, Aaron
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:57 AM
To: Marschman, Kathy
Subject: RE: AB 282 replacement bill

03-2792

Thanks. You'll get it today. Do you want it sent out jacketed? Aaron

Aaron R. Gary
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Reference Bureau
608.261.6926 (voice)
608.264.6948 (fax)
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Marschman, Kathy
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:46 AM
To: Gary, Aaron
Subject: FW: AB 282 replacement bill

Aaron-
Thanks for you patience on hard work on this one. Please prepare the P1 as an introducible /1 with as much priority as you can give it. Thanks again, Kathy

-----Original Message-----

From: Frazier, Carson
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:40 AM
To: Gary, Aaron; Marschman, Kathy
Cc: Romanski, Randy; Baetsen, Karen; Brumund, Lorelee; Zarada, Jane; Larson, Beverly - DMV; Klein, Rose; VanDeventer, Victoria
Subject: RE: AB 282 replacement bill

Aaron, thanks for your explanation, it makes sense. I sure am glad you're the drafter and not us! Actually there is a possibility that DOT could issue plates bearing the same number, because before 1991 we actually did issue duplicate numbers as long as it was a different plate type, and some of those old plates are still out there. We definitely should leave the sentence in, then.

And, please forgive me for raising what turns out to be quite correct drafting, I just didn't know. We are ok with the draft, then. Thanks to all!

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary, Aaron
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:16 AM

To: Frazier, Carson; Marschman, Kathy
Cc: Romanski, Randy; Baetsen, Karen; Brumund, Lorelee; Zarada, Jane; Larson, Beverly - DMV; Klein, Rose; VanDeventer, Victoria
Subject: RE: AB 282 replacement bill

Thanks for your feedback. The "first" interpretation of lines 15-17 is correct. With regard to changing this language, the material indicated is standard drafting procedure. I don't believe this could be fairly interpreted to mean anything other than what was first thought. When the statutes say "this subdivision does not apply," it means the whole subdivision, not just a piece of it. Since subdivision contains the authorization to display such plates, the language "this subdivision does not apply" means no authorization exists. I do not believe this language should be changed in the draft. This language was actually included as a matter of caution, and I do wonder whether it is even necessary - perhaps DOT could comment on this. Since the historical plate can only be one previously issued by DOT (in 1983 or before), is there any possibility that DOT could, in different time periods or at a given time, issue two different plates bearing the same number? If not, perhaps the sentence should be taken out entirely. Thanks. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary
Legislative Attorney
Legislative Reference Bureau
 608.261.6926 (voice)
 608.264.6948 (fax)
 aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Frazier, Carson
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 8:20 AM
To: Marschman, Kathy; Gary, Aaron
Cc: Romanski, Randy; Baetsen, Karen; Brumund, Lorelee; Zarada, Jane; Larson, Beverly - DMV; Klein, Rose; VanDeventer, Victoria
Subject: FW: AB 282 replacement bill

Kathy and Aaron: this bill looks excellent with regard to the funding mechanism. Upon close reading, we do have one question for Aaron:

Page 3, lines 15-17 say this subdivision does not apply to historical plates that duplicate a registration number of a currently registered vehicle. We thought it's intended to mean that a person may not display a historical plate that has a number duplicating a current plate number (which is our understanding).

HOwever, another read might be that if you have a historical plate with a number that duplicates a current plate number then you needn't comply with the requirements.

We suggest the language be explicit to say that a person is prohibited from displaying a historical plate bearing the same number as a currently-assigned plate number. That way, there's no misunderstanding or confusion.

06/25/2003

Please give me a call if you have questions. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Marschman, Kathy
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2003 10:51 AM
To: Frazier, Carson
Subject: AB 282 replacement bill

Carson-

Attached is a draft to replace AB 282 and ASA 1 to AB 282. Please take a look at the draft. Section 3 contains the funding provision we previously discussed.

Thanks-
Kathy

Kathy Marschman
Research Assistant/Committee Clerk
Representative Jerry Petrowski
86th Assembly District
608.266.1182/Toll-Free: 888.534.0086



State of Wisconsin
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

TODAY

LRB-2792/100 1
ARG:kmg:cph

~~PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION~~

- 1 AN ACT *to amend* 341.15 (3) (a) and 341.265 (1m); and *to create* 341.266 (2) (dm)
2 of the statutes; **relating to:** the display of historical registration plates on
3 special interest vehicles that are 20 or more years old and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, an owner of a motor vehicle that is of model year 1945 or earlier may register the vehicle as an antique vehicle. Antique vehicles may be driven only for special occasions such as display and parade purposes or for necessary testing, maintenance, and storage purposes. Antique vehicles may display a historical registration plate from or representing the model year of the vehicle but must carry current registration plates in the vehicle.

Also under current law, an owner of a special interest vehicle that is 20 or more years old may, under specified circumstances, register the vehicle as a special interest vehicle for a one-time fee of twice the regular annual registration fee (\$45 for most automobiles), plus a one-time processing fee of \$50. A special interest vehicle is a motor vehicle that has not been changed from its original manufacturer's specifications and which is being preserved for its historic interest. Vehicles registered as special interest vehicles are issued distinctive registration plates, and may be reregistered for no additional fee if, with an exception, the vehicle is not operated on the highways during the month of January.

This bill allows a motor vehicle registered as a special interest vehicle to display historical Wisconsin registration plates from or representing the model year of the vehicle if the historical plates do not bear the same registration number as that assigned by the Department of Transportation (DOT) to any other currently

registered vehicle. The owner of the vehicle must carry the current distinctive registration plates in the vehicle. The bill also requires the owner to notify DOT of the historical plates' registration number and requires DOT to record that number in the vehicle registration records maintained by DOT.

The bill allows the display of historical registration plates on special interest vehicles only if DOT receives, prior to June 30, 2005, contributions totaling at least \$40,900 from interested persons to cover DOT's estimated costs of implementation, and, if sufficient contributions are received, only after DOT has completed the development work necessary for implementation. If DOT does not receive sufficient contributions by June 30, 2005, DOT must return all contributions.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1 **SECTION 1.** 341.15 (3) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

2 341.15 (3) (a) A person who operates a vehicle for which a current registration
3 plate, insert tag, decal, or other evidence of registration has been issued without such
4 plate, tag, decal, or other evidence of registration being attached to the vehicle,
5 except when such vehicle is being operated pursuant to a temporary operation
6 permit or plate or, subject to s. 341.266 (2) (dm) 2., displays a historical plate under
7 s. 341.265 or 341.266.

8 **SECTION 2.** 341.265 (1m) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 341.265 (1m) ~~A~~ Notwithstanding s. 341.15 (1), a person who registers an
10 antique motor vehicle under sub. (1) may furnish and display on the vehicle a
11 historical plate from or representing the model year of the vehicle if the registration
12 and plates issued by the department are simultaneously carried in or, with respect
13 to an antique motorcycle, with the vehicle and are available for inspection.

14 **SECTION 3.** 341.266 (2) (dm) of the statutes is created to read:

1 341.266 (2) (dm) 1. Notwithstanding s. 341.15 (1) and subject to subd. 2., a
2 person who registers a special interest vehicle under par. (a) may, after the
3 department has completed the development work specified in subd. 2., furnish and
4 display on the vehicle historical plates previously issued by the department from or
5 representing the model year of the vehicle if the registration and the plates issued
6 by the department under par. (c) are simultaneously carried in or, with respect to a
7 motorcycle, with the vehicle and are available for inspection. A vehicle displaying
8 historical plates under this subdivision shall display 2 identical plates, one attached
9 to the front and the other to the rear of the vehicle, except that a motorcycle shall
10 display one historical plate attached to the rear of the vehicle. A person who displays
11 any historical plate on a vehicle under this subdivision shall, not less than 10 days
12 prior to displaying the plate, notify the department of the registration number of the
13 historical plate and identify the vehicle, and the vehicle registration records of the
14 department shall reflect the registration number of the historical plate along with
15 the registration number assigned by the department under par. (c). This subdivision
16 does not apply to any historical plate bearing the same registration number as that
17 assigned by the department for another currently registered vehicle.

18 2. Upon receipt of contributions totaling at least \$40,900 from persons
19 interested in the display of historical plates under subd. 1, the department shall
20 commence any development work necessary to implement the provisions of subd. 1.
21 and shall complete the development work within 6 months of its commencement.
22 The development work under this subdivision shall be funded only from the
23 appropriation under s. 20.395 (4) (ch) from contributions received by the department
24 for the purpose of implementing the provisions of subd. 1. If the department does not
25 receive contributions totaling at least \$40,900 for the purpose of implementing the

SECTION 3

1 provisions of subd. 1. by June 30, 2005, the department may not commence
2 development work to implement the provisions of subd. 1 and shall promptly return
3 to each contributor all contributions received by the department for the purpose of
4 implementing the provisions of subd. 1.

5 (END)