

## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>03-1714/3</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-682</b>
------------------------------------	--

**Subject**  
 Drug-free construction sites

**Fiscal Effect**

**State:**

<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations		
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations		

**Local:**

<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs		<b>5. Types of Local Government Units Affected</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Towns <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Village <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate		
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	

<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS	

<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DOT/ Jim Zegers (608) 267-7354	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979	<b>Date</b> 1/13/2004
---	--	--------------------------

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 1/13/2004

LRB Number 03-1714/3	Introduction Number AB-682	Estimate Type Original
<b>Subject</b> Drug-free construction sites		

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Overview: AB-682 would require WisDOT to establish certain contract administration procedures in connection with the implementation of a proposed drug and alcohol testing program by contractors who bid on public works projects. The bill covers identified classifications of workers employed by contractors who are being paid prevailing wages, and requires that the contractor submit with the bid that it has a program that complies with the bill.

#### Added Background:

As a condition of receiving federal-aid highway funds, WisDOT currently provides an annual certification to the Federal Highway Administration that all of our facilities and projects are drug and alcohol free environments.

Companies having employees who must possess a valid commercial drivers license are governed by regulations administered by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. In such cases, companies may be subscribing to a drug and alcohol testing service.

#### Assumptions for WisDOT Costs:

In a typical state fiscal year, WisDOT averages 450 projects that go to bid. At an average of 4 bids per project that equals 1800 bids per year (1829 in SFY03).

WisDOT would need to absorb some staffing related costs to educate contractors and to meet the added requirement of checking each bid submission for the required statement. Local governments could be expected to do the same as part of their bid review process for such public projects. WisDOT would also need to absorb staffing costs for case coordination with WisDWD, to ensure that contract awards are not made where unwarranted, and to administer debarments of firms as needed. These costs are indeterminate. WisDOT anticipates that some contractors may choose to pass the costs of the new program on to WisDOT within their bid proposals.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications