Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated			Corrected	d .		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	03-1589/1			Intro	duction	Number	SE	3-110	
Subje Town		and comprehens	ve plans mus	st have	same a	uthority as	s city, village	map	and pla	n
Fiscal	Effect									
Local	<u> </u>	e Existing tions Existing	Reve Decre Reve	ease Ex	•		Increase Co absorb within Ye Decrease Co	n age s	May be ք ency's bu	possible to adget No
X	Indeterminat 1. Increas Permiss 2. Decreas	e e Costs sive Mandatoı	4. Decre	issive[ease Re	Mand evenue	atory	ypes of Loca Inits Affected Towns Counties School Districts	d	vernmer Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR PRO PRO SEG SEGS										
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Autho	orized S	Signature				Date
DOA/ Kathleen Moore (608) 266-6497				Martha	Martha Kerner (608) 266-1359					4/21/2003

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 4/21/2003

LRB Number	03-1589/1	Introduction Number	SB-110	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject								
Town official map and comprehensive plans must have same authority as city, village map and plan								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Current law allows a town to adopt an official map under certain situations if located in a county that has not enacted a county zoning ordinance. If enacted, the proposed bill authorizes a town to adopt an official map at any time, and requires that a county development plan include the official map if the county has adopted a comprehensive plan.

Local Fiscal Impact:

Predicting how many towns will adopt an official map is impossible, therefore, the local fiscal impact is unknown.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	03-1589/	1	Intro	duction Num	nber S	SB-110			
Subje	ct									
Town	official map a	and compreher	nsive plans m	ust have san	ne authority as ci	ity, village	map and plan			
I. One annua	-time Costs llized fiscal e	or Revenue li effect):	mpacts for S	tate and/or I	ocal Governme	ent (do no	ot include in			
II. Ann	nualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from					
					Increased Cost	s	Decreased Costs			
	te Costs by									
-		- Salaries and	d Fringes		\$	3				
	E Position Ch	<u> </u>								
		- Other Costs								
⊢—	al Assistance									
		s or Organizat								
		Costs by Cat			\$		\$			
		Source of Fu	nds							
GPF										
FED										
<u> </u>	D/PRS									
==	S/SEG-S									
III. Sta (e.g., t	te Revenues ax increase	s - Complete t , decrease in	this only whe license fee,	en proposal ets.)	will increase or	decrease	e state revenues			
					Increased Re	V	Decreased Rev			
GPF	R Taxes				\$	3	\$			
GPF	R Earned									
FED										
	D/PRS									
	S/SEG-S									
T	OTAL State	Revenues			\$		\$			
			NET ANNUA	ALIZED FISC	AL IMPACT					
					State	<u>e</u>	<u>Local</u>			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	3	\$			
NET C	HANGE IN F	REVENUE			\$		\$			
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature	-	Date			
DOA/ Kathleen Moore (608) 266-6497 Marth					urtha Kerner (608) 266-1359 4/21/2					