## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

| Original Updated  | Corrected Suppl  | emental         |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| LRB Number <b>03-2856/3</b>   | Introduction Number SB-214   |                 |  |  |  |
| Subject   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Licenses to carry a concealed weapon  |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Effect   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Appropriations Rev Decrease Existing Dec Appropriations Rev Create New Appropriations  Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate | ease Existing enues rease Existing enues  Increase Costs - May be absorb within agency's b  Yes Decrease Costs  5.Types of Local Governme Units Affected Towns Village | udget No No ent |  |  |  |
| 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr   | rease Revenue School WTCS nissive Mandatory Districts District   |                 |  |  |  |
| Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR PRO PRO SEG SEGS s.20.475(1)(d)  |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Agency/Prepared By  | Authorized Signature   | Date            |  |  |  |
| DA/ Stu Morse (608) 267-2700  | Stu Morse (608) 267-2700   |                 |  |  |  |

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 7/31/2003

| LRB Number                           | 03-2856/3 | Introduction Number | SB-214 | Estimate Type | Original |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| Subject                              |           |                     |        |               |          |  |  |
| Licenses to carry a concealed weapon |           |                     |        |               |          |  |  |

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

It is very difficult to assess the exact increase in District Attorney office workload were this bill to pass. It is clear, however, that it cause some increase in workload. The magnitude of the increase would depend on three factors:

First, the workload impact on DA offices would be affected by which counties, if any, would vote to permit their sheriffs to not issue any permits and the decisions of the sheriffs in those counties. Clearly if Milwaukee and other large urban counties did not issue concealed weapons licenses, then the impact of this bill, were it to become law, would be lessened.

Second, the workload impact on DA offices would be affected by the number of cases referred to the DA office that would be generated by the new penalties included in the bill regarding the licensing of concealed weapons.

Third, and perhaps the most significant factor, is the number of additional cases requiring DA office work, which would have not otherwise arisen but for the existence of the legally licensed concealed weapon. Presumably, whenever a concealed weapon is actually used or even brandished, law enforcement would be compelled to determine if this usage was legal. The DA office would be involved in all of these cases. This would include determinations by the DA office as to whether the use or blandishment of a legally concealed weapon was justified or was a crime and, in those cases where the DA office determined that it was a crime, the prosecution of those crimes. Given the lethal nature of the concealed weapons permitted by this bill, the DAs' reviews of the instances of the use or blandishment of the concealed weapons could well be time consuming, as would the prosecution of any criminal use of the concealed weapon. Further, it is likely that any crimes committed by use or blandishment of concealed weapons would be serious, time consuming felonies.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

As data becomes available on the actual magnitude of the three factors discussed above, a determination can be made as to whether additional prosecutorial resources will be needed and in which AD offices.