Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected S	Supplemental		
LRB Number 03-3166/1	Introduction Number SB-2	246		
Subject Deadlines for certain agencies to issue permits				
Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues The process of the proce	y be possible to y's budget ⊠No		
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre Permissive Mandatory Perm	rease Revenue Counties Ot	rnment llage ြ Cities thers TCS stricts		
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropr SEG SEGS (7)(r), (2)(ha), (1)(j), (1)(gh			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DATCP/ Don Akamatsu (608) 224-4747 Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 9/15/2003

LRB Number 03-3166/1	Introduction Number	SB-246	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Deadlines for certain agencies to	o issue permits			

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The Chapter 20 appropriations potentially affected include, in addition to those noted above, 20.115(2)(a), (7) (a), and (7)(gm).

The proposed bill would require the agency to promulgate rules related to approval deadlines of applications, automatic approval of applications and fee refunds for failure to meet certain deadlines.

The department already has deadlines, established by rule, for many of the specified license types. For the other license types, there would be one-time costs associated with the rulemaking process for staff & attorney time for research and drafting, and other costs for holding hearings, travel, DATCP Board meetings, legislative delivery, mailings, printing, etc. There would also be additional continuing administrative costs associated with the changes.

The department estimates that the rulemaking process would require an additional 0.50 FTE program and planning analyst position for a period of approximately one year. To reduce duplication of effort, the rulemaking effort would probably be concentrated in the central administrative services program, funded by appropriation 20.115(8)(a).

Currently the department reviews and approves/disapproves almost all license applications within the established deadlines. The department anticipates that the other types of license applications subject to new specified deadlines (to be established by rule) also would be met. There would be no significant fiscal impact from to the requirement to refund fees for delayed approvals of certain types of licenses.

AB 486 would require the department to notify applicants of the review deadlines at the time of receipt of the application. For some types of licenses the agency turnaround time is so short, from receipt of application to providing the license, that the department may be able to send this notification at the same time that it sends the license, at no significant additional cost. For the agency as a whole, there would be some costs associated with this new administrative step in the license renewal process, and it would take some additional time to approve license renewal applications. Currently, staff checks applications as they come in for completeness. If there is something missing (such as a financial statement or notarized signature) staff then contacts the applicant and asks for the missing information. Under this bill, staff would have to notify every applicant of the approval deadline. Additional staff time involved in a more thorough initial check of applications and sending notices is estimated to be approximately 1000 hours per year (or roughly 0.50 FTE) at an additional salary and fringe cost of approximately \$30,000 per year, and \$7500 per year for Supplies and Services including additional mailing expenses.

The automatic license approval provision (for applications not processed within the specified deadline) could result in more after-the-fact enforcement action leading to litigation. The department estimates that an additional 0.50 FTE attorney would be needed at an annual cost of \$73,000 for salary and fringe, and \$6,000 for Supplies and Services, in appropriation 20.115(8)(a).

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Long term costs are described above.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	3 Number	03-3166/	1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	SB-246
Subje	ect						
Dead	lines for certa	in agencies to	issue permits	i			
I. One	e-time Costs	or Revenue In	npacts for S	tate and/or L	ocal Governn	nent (do n	not include in
annua	alized fiscal e	effect):					
The d	lepartment est	timates that the	e rulemaking	process woul	d require an ac	dditional 0	.50 FTE program
salary	/, fringe, and {	Supplies and S	ervices will b	proximately of e approximat	one year. The e ely \$53,000 in	appropriat	one time costs for tion 20.115(8)(a).
	II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
					Increased Cos		Decreased Costs
A. Sta	ate Costs by	Category					
		- Salaries and	Fringes		\$103,00	00	
(FT	E Position Ch	nanges)			(1.0 FT	E)	
		- Other Costs			13,50	00	
	cal Assistance						
Aid	s to Individual	ls or Organizat	ions				
יו	OTAL State	Costs by Cate	gory		\$116,50	00	\$
B. Sta	ate Costs by	Source of Fur	ıds				
GP	R				79,00	00	
FE	D						
	O/PRS				37,50	00	
SE	G/SEG-S						
III. Sta	ate Revenues	s - Complete t	his only whe	n proposal v	will increase o	r decreas	se state revenues
(e.g.,	tax increase,	, decrease in I	icense fee, e	ts.)	The second second		
lop					Increased Re		Decreased Rev
	R Taxes					\$	\$
	R Earned						
FE			·····				
	O/PRS			_			
	G/SEG-S						
	TOTAL State			l		\$	\$
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCA			
NET		20070			Sta		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$116,50		\$		
NEIC	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE				\$	\$
Agen	cy/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized S	ignature		Date
DATC	;P/ Don Akam	atsu (608) 224	-4747	Barb Knapp ((608) 224-4746	3	9/12/2003
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0/ 12/2000	