Received By: rchampag

Received: 03/03/2004

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Wanted:	Soon		Identical to LRB:					
For: Julie	e Lassa (608)	266-3123	By/Representing: Jessica					
This file	may be shown	to any legislate	Drafter: rchampag					
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: State Finance - bud generally					Extra Copies:			
Submit v	ia email: YES			7				
Requeste	r's email:	Sen.Lassa	@legis.state	.wi.us				
Carbon co	opy (CC:) to:							
Pre Topi	ic:				,			
No specif	ic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Preparation	on of state buc	lget						
Instructi	ons:							
See Attac	hed.				÷			
Drafting	History:	,						
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?							S&L	
/1	rchampag 03/03/2004	jdyer 03/09/2004 jdyer 03/09/2004	jfrantze 03/09/200	14	mbarman 03/09/2004	lnorthro 03/10/2004		

03/10/2004 09:32:18 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<**END>**

Received By: rchampag

Received: 03/03/2004

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Wanted: §	Soon		Identical to LRB:					
For: Julie	Lassa (608)	266-3123		By/Representing: Jessica				
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: rchampag			
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: State Finance - bud generally					Extra Copies:			
Submit vi	a email: YES							
Requester	's email:	Sen.Lassa@	legis.state.	wi.us				
Carbon co	opy (CC:) to:							
Pre Topi	c:							
No specif	ic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:					·			
Preparation	on of state bud	get						
Instructi	ons:							
See Attac	hed.				•			
Drafting	History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?							S&L	
/1	rchampag 03/03/2004	jdyer 03/09/2004 jdyer 03/09/2004	jfrantze 03/09/2004	4	mbarman 03/09/2004			

03/09/2004 03:20:30 PM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 03/03/2004

Wanted: Soon

For: Julie Lassa (608) 266-3123

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

May Contact:

Subject:

State Finance - bud generally

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Sen.Lassa@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Preparation of state budget

Instructions:

See Attached.

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Submitted

Received By: rchampag

By/Representing: Jessica

Identical to LRB:

Drafter: rchampag

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Jacketed

Required

/?

FE Sent For:

<END>

Champagne, Rick

From:

Kelly, Jessica

Sent:

Wednesday, March 03, 2004 10:48 AM

To:

Champagne, Rick

Subject:

RE: Draft Request

I've discussed all these items with Senator Lassa and Mary Offerdahl at Leg. Council and Senator Lassa wants to go ahead with the bill. ;-)

This is the Leg. Council memo I was referring to, in case you may need to know. http://www.legis.state.wi.us/lc/2002studies/FISC/memo14.pdf

Jessica Ford Kelly

Office of Senator Julie Lassa State Capitol, 3-South P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882 608-266-3123 (Madison) 1-800-925-7491 (Toll Free) 608-267-6797 (Facsimile)

----Original Message-----

From:

Champagne, Rick

Sent:

Tuesday, March 02, 2004 11:56 AM

To: Subject: Kelly, Jessica RE: Draft Request

Jessica:

The item in your first paragraph is clearly unenforcable without a constitutional amendment. The powers of the legislature are limited by the constitution, not rules of proceedings contained in the statutes. I can draft it, but the legislature can ignore it.

The item in your second paragraph can certainly be drafted, but the question arises what happens if the governor chooses not to follow it. While the legislature can tell the governor to do something, we can't tell him or her how to do it. In other words, the legislature can tell the governor to submit a report, but it can't tell him or her what to put in the report. The same would be true for a bill.

The third item can be drafted as a directive to the secretary of DOA and is enforceable in terms of the secretary's duties in the budget preparation process.

Let me know what you want to do.

Rick

----Original Message----

From: Kelly, Jessica

Sent: Tuesday, March 02, 2004 11:32 AM

To: Champagne, Rick **Subject:** Draft Request

Rick -

Senator Lassa would like to specify by statute a goal for reducing WIsconsin's GAAP-reported budget deficit as of June 30 of each fiscal year by \$100 million until the deficit is eliminated.

She would also like to amend current law to require that the Governor, beginning in 2005, respond to an increase in the GAAP-reported budget deficit as of June 30 of an odd-numbered year by including provisions in the current executive budget bill that reduce the GAAP-reported budget deficit by the amount of the increase to prohibit any

increases in the GAAP Deficit.

We would also like a provision included somewhere in Chapter 16 - DOA (I spoke with Jan Mueller, she seemed to feel this was the best place for it) stating that after the GAAP Deficit is eliminated, the state should adopt GAAP accounting for its biennial budget. Will this be a constitutional amendment? We do NOT want a constitutional amendment if its avoidable.

Jessica Ford Kelly Office of Senator Julie Lassa State Capitol, 3-South P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882 608-266-3123 (Madison) 1-800-925-7491 (Toll Free) 608-267-6797 (Facsimile)



1

2

State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-4414/1
RAC:

Gen

AN ACT ...; relating to: preparing the executive budget bill or bills according to

generally accepted accounting principles; prohibiting the executive budget bill

or bills from increasing the state's budget deficit; and

legislation to reduce the state's budget deficit.

(GAAP)

Plain

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the Department of Administration (DOA) is required to submit, as part of the biennial budget report, a comparison of the state's budgetary surplus or deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by DOA for the most recent fiscal year, and the estimated change in the surplus or deficit based on recommendations in the biennial budget bill or bills. Contrally accepted accounting principles are those principles for state and local governments adopted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Organized in 1984, GASB is an independent organization founded to establish standards of financial accounting and reporting for state and local governmental entities. Its standards generally guide the preparation of external financial reports of those entities.

This bill provides that for the fiscal biennium occurring after the fiscal year in which DOA determines that the state does not have a budget deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, and each fiscal biennium thereafter, the biennial budget or bills must be prepared according to generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, the bill provides that no executive budget bill or bills may contain appropriations increasing the state's budget deficit, if any, according to

Inchase

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

generally accepted accounting principles, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by DOA.

Finally, under the bill, beginning on July 1, 2005, if there is in any fiscal year a state budget deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by DOA, each house of the legislature must pass legislation in that fiscal year to reduce the budget deficit by the lesser of \$100,000,000 or the entire amount of the deficit.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 13.39 of the statutes is created to read:

13.39 Legislation to reduce state budget deficit. Beginning on July 1, 2005, if there is in any fiscal year a state budget deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by the department of administration, the legislature shall pass legislation in that fiscal year to reduce the budget deficit by the lesser of \$100,000,000 or the entire amount of the deficit.

SECTION 2. 16.467 of the statutes is created to read:

16.467 Preparation of biennial budget bill or bills according to generally accepted accounting principles. For the fiscal biennium that occurs after the fiscal year in which the department determines, in any audited financial report prepared by the department, that the state does not have a budget deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, as adopted by the governmental accounting standards board or its successor bodies, and each fiscal biennium thereafter, the biennial budget bill or bills shall be prepared according to generally accepted accounting principles.

Section 3. 16.47 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16.47 (1) Except as provided in s. 16.529 (2), the executive budget bill or bills
shall incorporate the governor's recommendations for appropriations for the
succeeding biennium. The appropriation method shown in the bill or bills shall in
no way affect the amount of detail or manner of presentation which may be requested
by the joint committee on finance. Appropriation requests may be divided into 3
allotments: personal services, other operating expenses and capital outlay or such
other meaningful classifications as may be approved by the joint committee on
finance. No executive budget bill or bills may contain appropriations increasing the
state's budget deficit, if any, according to generally accepted accounting principles,
as reported in any audited financial report prepared by the department.

History: 1971 c. 125; 1979 c. 34, 221; 1981 c. 20; 1983 a. 27; 1987 a. 4; 2003 a. 33.

(END)

d-note

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4414/1dn RAC: منام

Senator Lassa:

Please note that s. 13.39 **State** is not legally enforceable, but rather constitutes a rule of proceedings under article IV, section 8, of the Wisconsin constitution. The Wisconsin supreme court has held that the remedy for failing to comply with rules of its own proceedings lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See *State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt*, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363–369 (1983). In other words, while rules of proceedings may govern internal legislative procedure, courts will not enforce procedural rules, and the rules do not affect the validity of any enactment resulting from a procedure that may be viewed as contravening them.

Also, please note that in the event the governor chooses not to prepare the executive budget bill or bills as required under s. 16.47 (1) the only remedy available to the legislature is not to introduce the bill or bills.

Rick A. Champagne Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9930

E-mail: rick.champagne@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4414/1dn RAC:jld:jf

March 9, 2004

Senator Lassa:

Please note that proposed s. 13.39 is not legally enforceable, but rather constitutes a rule of proceedings under article IV, section 8, of the Wisconsin Constitution. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has held that the remedy for failing to comply with rules of its own proceedings lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See *State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt*, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363–369 (1983). In other words, while rules of proceedings may govern internal legislative procedure, courts will not enforce procedural rules, and the rules do not affect the validity of any enactment resulting from a procedure that may be viewed as contravening them.

Also, please note that in the event the governor chooses not to prepare the executive budget bill or bills as required under s. 16.47 (1) the only remedy available to the legislature is not to introduce the bill or bills.

Rick A. Champagne Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9930

E-mail: rick.champagne@legis.state.wi.us

Northrop, Lori

From:

Sent:

Kelly, Jessica Wednesday, March 10, 2004 9:16 AM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft review: LRB 03-4414/1 Topic: Preparation of state budget

It has been requested by <Kelly, Jessica> that the following draft be jacketed for the SENATE:

Draft review: LRB 03-4414/1 Topic: Preparation of state budget