

**2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)**

Received: **04/25/2003**

Received By: **phurley**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

By/Representing: **Dyck**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **phurley**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - motor vehicles**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

**Pre Topic:**

---

LFB:.....Dyck -

---

**Topic:**

Internet fees for trip permits

---

**Instructions:**

See Attached

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	phurley 04/25/2003	csicilia 04/25/2003	jfrantze 04/28/2003	_____	mbarman 04/28/2003		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Received: 04/25/2003

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Dyck

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: phurley

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - motor vehicles

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Dyck -

Topic:

Internet fees for trip permits

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	phurley		<i>J</i> 4/28	<i>Self</i> 4/28			

FE Sent For:

<END>

## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Gary, Aaron  
**Sent:** Friday, April 25, 2003 8:55 AM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: CDL

Peggy,

It looks like Paper 771 (which relates to the "errata" at the top of the e-mail) is yours. Paper 788 is mine. I'll take Paper 785 unless you want it. I don't see any other drafting for now. In separate action, JFC also voted against the gov's provisions to eliminate OCR and move its functions to DOT. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary  
*Legislative Attorney*  
*Legislative Reference Bureau*  
608.261.6926 (voice)  
608.264.6948 (fax)  
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2003 4:42 PM  
**To:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: CDL

This is DOT's suggested language on section 2604: The "technical changes" involve adding the reference to 348.27, while the red stuff was related to alternative 2.

The officer or agency authorized to issue a permit under s.348.26 or 348.27 may require any applicant for a permit under s.348.26 or 348.27 to pay the cost of any special investigation undertaken to determine whether a permit should be approved or denied and to pay an additional fee of \$5 established by the department by rule per permit if a department telephone call-in procedure or Internet procedure is used. ~~If the department permits the payment of the fee under par. (a) by telephone or Internet with use of a credit card, the department shall charge a fee to be established by rule for each transaction. The fee shall approximate the cost to the department for providing this service to persons so requesting.~~

I'll send the I/M motion over to you tomorrow. If I forget, and you don't get it, please remind me.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gary, Aaron  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2003 4:38 PM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: CDL

Thanks Jon. I'll look at this in more detail tomorrow. But for Paper 771, I did not get the errata - could you please send a copy over. I'd also like to see the E/I program motion - issues on this always pop up here and there. Thanks. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary  
*Legislative Attorney*  
*Legislative Reference Bureau*  
608.261.6926 (voice)  
608.264.6948 (fax)  
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2003 4:25 PM  
**To:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: CDL

To answer your question, Aaron, Faith did the summary, but then handed everything to me, so you can do the draft for me.

I'll take this opportunity to provide instructions on the Committee's action from today:

Paper 770: The Committee adopted the Governor's position. No one wanted to eliminate the plate replacement requirement, so no draft needed.

Paper 771: The Committee adopted alternative #2. So, I think that means that section 2593 should be eliminated and section 2604 should be amended to include single and multi-trip permits. DOT had suggested language that they sent as part of the errata exercise. Let me know if you did not get a copy of that.

Paper 772: The Committee went with the Governor. No draft.

Paper 773: Deferred.

No other changes on the motor vehicles section. I had done a motion on the emissions inspection program that I thought was going to pass, but it did not. Let me know if you'd like to see a copy for future reference.

No State Patrol changes.

Paper 785: The Committee adopted alternative 2, which will require a nonstatutory provision. Let me know if you have questions on this one. Also, could you tell me which one of you will be working on this?

Paper 786: Purely fiscal. No draft.

Paper 787: Purely fiscal. No draft.

Paper 788: The Committee went with alternative 2, so we need a draft to yank the indirect cost appropriation.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gary, Aaron  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2003 11:02 AM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Subject:** RE: CDL

Jon,

Re OCR, I know that Faith was working on this one. Should I consider this e-mail as the request for the yank, or will there be something more "formal" coming over from you or Faith?  
Thanks. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary  
*Legislative Attorney*  
*Legislative Reference Bureau*  
608.261.6926 (voice)  
608.264.6948 (fax)  
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2003 7:18 AM  
**To:** Gary, Aaron  
**Subject:** CDL

I noticed that the statutory definition of "disqualification" is specific to offenses involving CMV's. Perhaps it isn't a big deal, but since the bill would require DQ for some offenses committed in any vehicle, should the definition be changed?

By the way, JFC voted to delete the OCR provisions in the bill, so you can draft an amendment to yank them. So much good work down the drain. The vote was 16-0.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0106/1

PJH:f:....

ej

LFB:.....Dyck – Internet fees for trip permits

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 990, line 19: delete lines 19 to 21.

3 2. Page 993, line 7: delete "of \$5" and substitute "of \$5 established by the  
4 department by rule".

5 3. Page 993, line 8: after "procedure" insert "or Internet procedure".

6 4. Page 993, line 8: delete the material beginning with "If" and ending with  
7 "transaction." on page 993, line 10.

8 (END)



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0106/1  
PJH:cjs:jf

LFB:.....Dyck – Internet fees for trip permits

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 990, line 19: delete lines 19 to 21.

3 **2.** Page 993, line 7: delete “of \$5” and substitute “of \$5 established by the  
4 department by rule”.

5 **3.** Page 993, line 8: after “procedure” insert “or Internet procedure”.

6 **4.** Page 993, line 8: delete the material beginning with “If” and ending with  
7 “transaction.” on line 10.

8 (END)