2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Receive	d: 04/28/2003				Received By: dk	ennedy	
Wanted	: As time perm	nits			Identical to LRB	:	
For: Le	gislative Fiscal	Bureau 266-3	8847		By/Representing	: Onsager (Y	vonne)
This file	may be showr	to any legislate	or: NO		Drafter: dkenned	ly	
May Co	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:		
Subject:	Health	- social service	s		Extra Copies:	RLR	
Submit	via email: YES	;					
Request	er's email:						
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:						
Pre Top	oic:						
LFB:	.Onsager (Yvo	nne) -					
Topic:		-					
Retired S	Senior Volunte	er Program					
Instruc	tions:						
See Atta	ched						
Draftin	g History:				:		
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	dkennedy 04/28/2003	csicilia 04/29/2003					
/1			chaskett 04/29/2009	3	amentkow 04/29/2003		

04/29/2003 12:10:35 PM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Received: 04/28/2003

Received By: dkennedy

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau 266-3847

By/Representing: Onsager (Yvonne)

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: dkennedy

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Health - social services Extra Co

Extra Copies:

RLR

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Onsager (Yvonne) -

Topic:

Retired Senior Volunteer Program

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers. <u>Drafted</u>

Reviewed

Typed

Proofed

<u>fed</u> <u>Submitted</u>

Jacketed

Required

/? dkennedy

1 95 4

ys 4/29 14

1 cph Seif 4/29 4/29

.

FE Sent For:

<END>

Kennedy, Debora

From:

Onsager, Yvonne

Sent:

Friday, April 25, 2003 10:13 AM

To:

Kennedy, Debora

Subject:

JFC action on RSVP, Paper 440

Debora -

JFC passed alternatives 5b and 6 in paper 440 on the RSVP program. Since they provided money for the program, the Governor's changes relating to this item should be reversed (draft 1507/1) and should include the language in Alternative 6.

Let me know if you have any questions or need a copy of the paper.

Thanks, Yvonne

Yvonne M. Onsager Legislative Fiscal Bureau (608) 266-3847 Yvonne.Onsager@legis.state.wi.us



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

April 24, 2003

Joint Committee on Finance

Paper #440

Retired Senior Volunteer Program (DHFS -- Community Aids and Supportive Living)

[LFB 2003-05 Budget Summary: Page 263, #2]

CURRENT LAW

Under current law, the Department of Health and Family Services (DHFS) may establish and operate a retired senior volunteer program (RSVP) modeled after the federal retired and senior volunteer program to support volunteer services provided by individuals who are 60 years of age or older. DHFS distributes \$502,600 GPR annually to 16 public and private agencies in Wisconsin that serve 29 counties. These state funds supplement federal funds that the agencies receive directly from the Corporation for National and Community Services (CNS), the federal agency that administers the federal RSVP program.

GOVERNOR

Delete \$502,600 GPR annually to eliminate all state funding that is currently budgeted to supplement federal funds agencies receive to support RSVP. Repeal statutory provisions that authorize DHFS to establish and operate RSVP projects and all statutory references to the program.

DISCUSSION POINTS

1. RSVP is a federal program that develops and supports volunteer opportunities for adults age 55 and older, enabling volunteers to stay or become active in the community through volunteer services. The RSVP projects plan, develop, organize, and administer volunteer service opportunities. The projects then recruit, interview, train, and place men and women with the public and private nonprofit agencies requesting service, usually established local community and faith-based organizations. This includes schools, nursing homes, hospitals, senior centers, substance

abuse prevention programs, tutoring programs, and transporting disabled and elderly individuals to appointments.

- 2. The RSVP agencies in Wisconsin directly receive approximately \$1.3 million in federal RSVP grant funds annually from CNS. Agencies use these funds to sponsor and operate RSVP projects in the community. These projects recruit seniors to serve from a few hours a month to almost full time. Nationally, the average time contributed by participating volunteers is four hours a week.
- 3. There are 17 RSVP programs in Wisconsin that serve 30 counties. Of these programs, 16 receive supplemental funding from the state. There are over 11,500 senior volunteers in Wisconsin. These programs and their state grant amounts are shown in the following table. Funding is distributed on a calendar year basis.

TABLE 1
Allocation of State RSVP Funds, 2003

Project Sponsor	Counties Served	<u>GPR</u>
ADVOCAP	Winnebago & Green Lake	\$36,437
Volunteer Center of Brown County	Brown	27,843
Bethany Lutheran Homes	La Crosse, Monroe, Vernon & Crawford	45,370
RSVP of Dane County	Dane	33,765
Holy Family Memorial	Manitowoc	24,344
Interfaith Older Adult Program	Milwaukee	65,819
Catholic Charities Bureau	Forest, Lincoln, Oneida & Vilas	28,813
Northwestern Wisconsin Community		20,013
Services Agency	Ashland, Bayfield, Iron & Price	28,111
Portage County Aging Resource Center	Portage	19,770
Catholic Charities Bureau	Douglas	20,124
RSVP of Waukesha County	Waukesha	25,318
American Red Cross, Southeastern Wisconsin	Walworth	
Volunteer Center of Racine	Racine	22,466 36,437
RSVP of Rock County	Rock	36,437
Western Dairyland EOC	Buffalo, Trempealeau, Jackson & Eau Claire	29,400
Volunteer Center of Outagamie County	Outagamie	37,989
Kenosha Family & Aging Services	Kenosha	0 _ <u>20,648</u>
Total		\$502 654

4. State funding for RSVP projects was initially provided in the 1981-83 biennium. Under state law, DHFS is required to provide a state supplement to federally funded RSVP projects that were in operation on December 1, 1988, and administered by qualified public and non-profit private agencies. Of the currently operating sites, the Volunteer Center of Outagamie County, the Volunteer Center of Racine, and ADVOCAP were not in operation on December 1, 1988. Current law further specifies that state funds are to be allocated to the RSVP projects based on the county's

percentage of the state's population of low-income persons over age 60 who reside in each county. DHFS allocated the funding that was initially provided for existing RSVP projects under this formula. As new projects were started, additional funding was provided to DHFS to allocate to the new projects. Once all of the projects were established and additional GPR funds were provided for the program, those funds were allocated proportionally among the existing projects. The only RSVP project that does not receive state funding is the Volunteer Center of Outagamie County. This project was established using federal RSVP funds and has not requested any state funding.

- 5. RSVP projects typically use local, state, and federal funds to pay for project coordinators, liability insurance for volunteers, and other expenses incurred by the volunteers, such as transportation. Seniors are not paid for their volunteer work.
- 6. The RSVP projects are currently staffed by approximately 41 positions, of which approximately 8.2 positions are supported with state funds. Under federal law, volunteers cannot supplant paid workers, so if the GPR funds were not provided to the projects, those positions that are supported with GPR would either need to be eliminated or supported with other funds, including federal RSVP funds. However, this would reduce the availability of these funds to fund other services that support the volunteers.
- 7. Under federal law, RSVP projects are responsible for generating financial support for the RSVP project from all sources, federal and non-federal, including grants, cash, and in-kind contributions, to meet the budgeted costs of the project. The local RSVP projects are expected to supplement a federal grant with other support to the fullest extent possible. Projects are required to provide 10% in matching funds in the first year of the federal grant, 20% in the second year, and 30% in the third and each subsequent year. In Wisconsin, three RSVP projects have a 20% match requirement and the remaining projects have a 30% match requirement. Beginning in 2004, all projects will have a 30% match requirement.
- 8. Some projects have argued that they would lose their federal funds without the state funds, since the projects use the state funds to meet the federal match requirement. However, federal law allows RSVP projects to request a waiver from the match requirement. CNS may allow exceptions to the local support requirements in certain cases, including an economic downturn that severely reduces sources of local support or if there is an unexpected discontinuation of local support that a project has relied on for a period of years.

The Governor's recommendation is intended to reduce GPR spending as a response to the current economic downturn. However, it is not known whether CNS would grant waivers to the federal match requirement based on the state's decision to no longer provide a state supplement for these projects.

9. CNS is less likely to approve waivers for projects that are 100% federally funded. The match requirement is intended to show community support for the program and community support is a requirement for the receipt of federal funds. However, federal regulations do not require that states provide any portion of projects' required matching funds.

- 10. In 2001, 30 states provided state funds for Senior Corps programs, which include the RSVP, foster grandparents, and senior companion programs. In Wisconsin, state funds were first provided in the 1981-83 biennium to assist the RSVP projects to expand their programs. Before the state funds were provided, the projects met their match requirements through in-kind contributions. Federal law allows projects to meet their match requirement with in-kind contributions, which gives projects more flexibility in meeting their match requirement. Therefore, it may be possible for the projects to meet their match requirements through in-kind contributions. Other aging programs also have federal match requirements. For example, the area agencies on aging have a 25% match requirement and meet this through in-kind contributions. Given this history, the Committee could approve the Governor's recommendation to delete state funds for the RSVP program.
- 11. However, in order to give the local RSVP projects more time to secure additional local funding or in-kind support, the Committee could delay the elimination of state support for the RSVP projects until 2004-05.
- 12. Alternatively, the Committee could provide state funding equal to the amount of state funding that the RSVP projects use to meet their federal match requirement. Under this alternative, the projects would receive either their current GPR allocation or GPR funding equal to their federal grant match level, whichever is lower. The effect of this alternative is shown in Table 2, with more detail shown in Attachment 1. This alternative would provide \$342,100 GPR in 2003-04 and \$352,600 GPR in 2004-05.

TABLE 2
Funding Provided to RSVP Projects Under Alternative 3

Project	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>
ADVOCAP	\$32,020	\$36,437
Volunteer Center of Brown County	18,226	18,226
Bethany Lutheran Homes	20,055	20,055
RSVP of Dane County	33,765	33,765
Holy Family Memorial	19,629	19,629
Interfaith Older Adult Program	23,123	23,123
Catholic Charities Bureau	16,496	16,496
Northwestern Wisconsin Community Services Agency	15,257	15,257
Portage County Aging Resource Center	19,770	19,770
Catholic Charities Bureau	15,855	15,855
RSVP of Waukesha County	25,318	25,318
American Red Cross, Southeastern Wisconsin	10,795	16,192
Volunteer Center of Racine	22,026	33,039
RSVP of Rock County	26,297	26,297
Western Dairyland EOC	17,788	17,788
Volunteer Center of Outagamie County	0	0
Kenosha Family & Aging Services	<u>15,307</u>	<u>15,307</u>
Total	\$331,727	\$352,554

13. The Committee could also consider incorporating the amount of other local funding that the RSVP projects receive when determining the amount of state funding that could be provided to the projects to allow them to meet their federal match requirement. Under this alternative, projects would receive a GPR allocation only if the amount of "other" funding that the project has reported is less than the required federal match. The effect of this alternative is seen in Table 3, with more detail provided in Attachment 2. This alternative would provide \$83,700 GPR in 2003-04 and \$98,200 GPR in 2004-05.

TABLE 3
Funding Provided to RSVP Projects Under Alternative 4

Project	2003	<u>2004</u>
ADVOCAP	\$32,020	\$48,030
Volunteer Center of Brown County	0	0
Bethany Lutheran Homes	0	0
RSVP of Dane County	0	.0
Holy Family Memorial	0	0
Interfaith Older Adult Program	2,565	2,565
Catholic Charities Bureau	12,496	12,496
Northwestern Wisconsin Community Services Agency	835	835
Portage County Aging Resource Center	0	0
Catholic Charities Bureau	0	0
RSVP of Waukesha County	0	0
American Red Cross, Southeastern Wisconsin	0	1,992
Volunteer Center of Racine	7,716	18,729
RSVP of Rock County	0	0
Western Dairyland EOC	13,567	13,567
Volunteer Center of Outagamie County	0	0
Kenosha Family & Aging Services	0	0
Total	\$69,199	\$98,214

14. The Committee could also provide a portion of the current funding for the RSVP projects. Table 4 presents some alternative funding amounts, set as percentages of the current funding level. Local projects have argued that any loss of state funds would negatively affect the number of volunteers that they can recruit and train and it would reduce the number of volunteer opportunities that they are able to support. The RSVP projects have suggested that this would have a negative impact on many areas in local communities, where RSVP volunteers are now active.

TABLE 4
RSVP Funding Alternatives

Alternative	Percent of Current Funding	Annual <u>Amount</u>	Annual Amount	Change to Bill
5a	25%	\$125,700	\$251,400	\$753,800
5b	50%	251,300	502,600	502,600
5c	75%	377,000	754,000	251,200
5 d	100%	502,600	1,005,200	0

- 15. Finally, if the Committee determines that any reduction in state funding for RSVP projects would adversely affect the program, the Committee could restore funding for RSVP. This is shown as alternative 5d in Table 4.
- 16. If the Committee decides to restore any of the GPR funding for RSVP, the statutory requirements for the program should be changed to conform to federal law. Currently, state law indicates that the GPR funds are to support RSVP programs that engage the services of individuals aged 60 or over as volunteers. However, federal law requires RSVP programs to engage the services of individuals 55 years of age or older. DHFS staff have administered the state funding under the federal regulations, with the understanding that federal rules override state statutes. Therefore, to conform state laws with current practice and federal rules, the Committee could reduce the age in state statutes related to RSVP to 55 years of age or older.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve the Governor's recommendation to eliminate state funding for the RSVP projects.
- 2. Modify the Governor's proposal by providing \$502,600 in 2003-04 to maintain the current amount of state funding for the program in the 2003-04 fiscal year, but eliminate all state funding for the RSVP projects beginning in 2004-05.

Alternative 2	GPR
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$502,600

3. Provide \$342,100 GPR in 2003-04 and \$352,600 GPR in 2004-05 for the RSVP projects, such that the current projects would receive either their current level of GPR funding or an amount equal to their federal match requirement, whichever is less.

Alternative 3	GPR
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$694,700

4. Provide \$83,700 in 2003-04 and \$98,200 in 2004-05 for the RSVP projects, such that current projects would receive GPR funding only to supplement their local funding to meet their federal match requirement.

Alternative 4		GPR
2003-05 FUNDING (Chang	ge to Bill)	\$181,900

- 5. Choose one of the following options, which provide a portion of the current funding for the RSVP projects.
 - a. Provide \$125,700 annually for RSVP. This is 25% of the amount allocated to RSVP projects under current law.

Alternative 5a		•	GPR
2003-05 FUNDING (Ch	ange to Bill)	;	\$251,400

b.) Provide \$251,300 annually for RSVP. This is 50% of the amount allocated to RSVP projects under current law.

Alternative 5b	GPR
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$502,600

c. Provide \$377,000 annually for RSVP. This is 75% of the amount allocated to RSVP projects under current law.

Alternative 5c	GPR
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$754,000

d. Maintain current law.

2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$1,005,200
Alternative 5d	GPR

6. In addition to Alternatives 2, 3, 4, or 5, modify the statutes to specify that the RSVP program shall engage persons aged 55 or over as volunteers, instead of 60 or over, as stated in current law.

Prepared by: Yvonne M. Onsager

Attachment

ATTACHMENT 2

Estimated Fiscal Effect of Alternative 4

Total	Western Dairyland EOC Volunteer Center of Outagamie County* Kenosha Family & Aging Services	Volunteer Center of Racine RSVP of Rock County	Cainolic Charities Bureau RSVP of Waukesha County American Red Cross, SP Wisconsin	Portage County Aging Resource Center	Catholic Charities Bureau	Holy Family Memorial Interfaith Older Adult Program	Bethany Lutheran Homes RSVP of Dane County	ADVOCAP Volunteer Center of Brown County	Project
\$1,372,509	59,294 97,791 51,023	110,129	52,850 94,951 53,974	75,254	54,987	65,430 77,076	66,850 153,534	\$160,099 60,752	FED Amount Per
	58 54	46 68	2 & 2	50	£ 63	55 47	31 27	81% 55	ÆD Percent
\$502,654	37,989 20,648	36,437	20,124 25,318 22,466	19,770	28,813	24,344 65,819	45,370 33,765	\$36,437 27,843	GI Amount
	37	23 15	3 15 7	i 13 8	3 33	2 0	6	19% 25	GPR Percent
\$946,831	4,221 22,999	14,310	46,114 131,823 14 200	55,315	4,000	29,620 20,558	102,206 390,997	\$0 22,054	Other Funds Amount Perc
	24	39	52	37 5	5	3	68	0% 20	unds Percent
			3 3 5			3 3 3	30 30	20% 30	Percent Match
	17,788 29,337 15,307								
	-13,567 0 7,692	-7,716 47,695	30,259 103,338 3,405	-835 32,739	-12,496	9,991 -2.565	82,151 344,937	-\$32,020 3,828	CY 03 Requiremen Other
\$69,199	-13,567 13,567 0 0 7,692 <u>0</u>	7,716	000	0	12,496	0 2.565	0 0	\$32,020 0	t- GPR Supplement
	30	30	3 3 3	30 5	30	30	30	30% 30	ercent <u>//atch</u>
\$411,753	17,788 29,337 15,307	33,039	15,855 28,485	15,257 22,576	16,496	19,629 23.123	20,055 46,060	\$48,030 18,226	Required Match
	-13,567 0 7,692	-18,729 -18,729 47,695	30,259 103,338	-835 32,739	-12,496	9,991 -2.565	82,151 344,937	-\$48,030 3,828	CY 04 Requirement Other
\$98,214	13,567								

^{*}Additional information is not available from this project since they do not receive state funding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Soon- In edit 4/29 tate of Misconsin

State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

D-NOTE

LFB:.....Onsager (Yvonne) – Retired Senior Volunteer Program

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2. Page 550, line 17: after "Program" insert "and Retired Senior Volunteer Program".

3. Page 550, line 20: delete lines 20 to 22.

2

1

(END)



DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

To Yvonne Onsager:

Please note that I retained the repeal of s. 46.85 (3), stats. That provision appears to be obsolete.

Debora A. Kennedy Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0137

E-mail: debora.kennedy@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb0111/1dn DAK:cjs:cph

April 29, 2003

To Yvonne Onsager:

Please note that I retained the repeal of s. 46.85(3), stats. That provision appears to be obsolete.

Debora A. Kennedy Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0137

E-mail: debora.kennedy@legis.state.wi.us



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0111/1 DAK:cjs:cph

LFB:.....Onsager (Yvonne) – Retired Senior Volunteer Program

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

- 1. Page 550, line 8: delete lines 8 to 12 and substitute "regardless of income, as volunteers in similar activities. The department may also establish and operate a retired senior volunteers program Retired Senior Volunteer Program modeled after the federal retired senior volunteers program Retired Senior Volunteer Program under 42 USC 5001, in effect on April 30, 1980, to provide voluntary services in a community. If operated, the program shall engage persons aged 60 55 or over older as volunteers."
- Page 550, line 17: after "Companion Program" insert "and Retired Senior
 Volunteer Program".

1

3. Page 550, line 20: delete lines 20 to 22.

2 (END)